U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

+ + + + +

OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING: ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR

COMMERCIAL WARM AIR FURNACES

+ + + + +

CALL # 50402 EE-5B

+ + + + +

MONDAY, MARCH 2, 2015

The above-referenced working group met in Room 8E-809, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C., 20585 at 9:00 a.m., Doug Brookman, facilitating.

```
2
 1
                     PRESENT
  DOUG BROOKMAN,
        Meeting Facilitator, Public Solutions
   DAVE CASE,
        U.S. Department of Energy
 4
 5 JOHN CYMBALSKY,
        Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable
 6
        Energy, Building Technologies Office, U.S.
        Department of Energy
   ERIC STAS,
        Office of the General Counsel, U.S.
 8
        Department of Energy
 9
                 ALSO PRESENT
10
   DAN ARNOLD,
    Nortek Global HVAC
11
12 ADAM DARLINGTON,
        Navigant Consulting
13
   VICTOR FRANCO,
        Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
14
15 JILL HOOTMAN,
        Trane
16
   KEVIN JARZOMSKI,
17
        U.S. Energy Information Administration
18 DOUG KOSAR,
        Gas Technology Institute
19
   CHRISTOPHER LAU,
20
         Navigant Consulting
21 ALEX LEKOV,
        Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
22
```

```
3
 1 JOHN LOHRER,
        U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust
        Division
 3 JOANNA MAUER,
       ACEEE
   MICHAEL MCCABE
   SAM MCCLIVE,
 6
        Navigant Consulting
 7 MIKE RAY,
        Lennox International
 8
  MICHAEL RIVEST,
 9
       Navigant Consulting
10 ANIRUDDH ROY,
        AHRI
11
  HARVEY SACHS,
12 ACEEE
13 FRANK STANONIK,
        AHRI
14
CONSTANTIN VON WENTZEL,
15 Navigant Consulting
16 ROBERT WHITWELL,
        Carrier
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

			4
1	C O N T E N T S		
2	Welcome, Introductions, Agenda Overview Doug Brookman	5	
3		-	
4	Purpose of Public Meeting; Opening Statements; Regulatory Authority and Rulemaking Overview John Cymbalsky	10	
5			
6	Market and Technology Assessment; and Screening Analysis; Engineering Analysis Adam Darlington	15	
7	-		
8	Break		
9	Markups; Energy Use Characterization; and Lifecycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis	77	
10	Victor Franco	77	
11	National Impact Analysis, Shipments, and Regulatory Impact Analysis	120	
12	Victor Franco	138	
13	Manufacturer Impact Analysis Christopher Lau	153	
14	Environmental Impacts and		
15	Indirect Employment Impacts Victor Franco	178	
16	Closing Remarks from Interested Parties		
17	John Cymbalsky	187	
/	Adjourn	187	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

PROCEEDINGS

5

- MR. BROOKMAN: Okay, let's begin. Good
 morning, everyone. Welcome. This is the Notice
 of Proposed Rulemaking Public Meeting on Energy
 Conservation Standards for Commercial Warm Air
 Furnaces, here at the U.S. Department of Energy at
 the Forrestal Building in Washington, D.C. Today
- 8 is March 2nd. Glad to see you here this morning.
- 9 We're going to start with welcoming remarks from
- 10 John Cymbalsky.

- MR. CYMBALSKY: Thanks, Doug. Thanks to
- 12 all of you who braved the two-hour delay here and
- 13 we're going to start pretty much on time. So I
- 14 appreciate everyone's effort in making it. I know
- 15 a few of us who wish to be here are on the webinar
- 16 instead due to travel issues. So please
- 17 participate through the webinar as if you were in
- 18 the room because we really want this to be a good
- 19 dialogue today. Thank you.
- 20 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. And we'll
- 21 talk more about the webinar in a moment. I'm
- 22 going to start with introductions, as I typically

6 do. I'll start to my immediate left, and Harvey, if you'd say your name and organizational affiliation, we can get used to turning these microphones on and off. MR. SACHS: Hi. I'm Harvey Sachs, American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy, otherwise known as ACEEE. 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. 9 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. 10 MR. RAY: Mike Ray, Lennox. 11 MR. VON WENTZEL: Constantin von Wentzel, Navigant Consulting. 12 13 MR. DARLINGTON: Adam Darlington, Navigant Consulting. 15 MR. STAS: Eric Stas, DOE General 16 Counsel Office. 17 MR. LAU: Chris Lau, Navigant. 18 MR. FRANCO: Victor Franco, Lawrence 19 Berkeley National Laboratory. 20 MR. LEKOV: Alex Lekov, Lawrence 21 Berkeley National Laboratory. 22 MR. MCCLIVE: Sam McClive, Navigant

7 Consulting. 2 MR. RIVEST: Mike Rivest, Navigant 3 Consulting. MR. MCCABE: Michael McCabe, 4 representing myself. 6 MR. CASE: Dave Case, U.S. Department of 7 Energy. 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Thanks again to all of you for braving the cold and ice this morning to get here. All of you received a packet of information I hope. I'm going to do a very brief 11 agenda review. Immediately following this agenda 12 review, there's an opportunity for anybody that wishes to, to make opening remarks, brief summary 15 remarks here at the outset about issues that 16 matter to you. Following that, we're going to hear the 17 purpose of the public meeting and regulatory 19 authority and rulemaking overview. We'll move 20 from there directly into market and technology 21 assessment and screening analysis. We'll take a 22 break midmorning around about 10:15 or so.

- 1 engineering analysis followed by markups, energy
- 2 use characterization, lifecycle cost and payback
- 3 period analysis.
- 4 We'll take lunch around about noon or
- 5 so, describe any details surrounding that as
- 6 necessary when we get there. Returning from
- 7 lunch, lifecycle cost and payback period analysis
- 8 and then national impact analysis, shipments and
- 9 regulatory impact analysis. We intend to take a
- 10 break around about 2:15 or so and then or
- 11 whenever we get there manufacturer impact
- 12 analysis, environmental impacts and indirect
- 13 employment impacts.
- 14 And then, at the end of the day, yet
- 15 another opportunity for closing remarks, any
- 16 issues that anybody wishes to raise that they
- 17 don't think have been covered sufficiently during
- 18 the course of the day. As the agenda reflects, we
- 19 expect we'll be adjourning today no later than 4
- 20 o'clock.
- 21 I'd ask for your consideration. Please
- 22 speak one at a time. If you would, say your name

- 1 for the record each time you speak; you've already
- 2 gotten used to turning the microphones on and off.
- 3 There'll be a complete transcript of this meeting
- 4 made available. If you could, be concise and
- 5 share the airtime. If you haven't done so
- 6 already, please turn your cellphone on silent mode
- 7 and if you can limit the sidebar conversations,
- 8 that'd be helpful.
- 9 Webinar participants, we welcome you.
- 10 The Department of Energy is trying very hard to
- 11 make these meetings totally accessible.
- 12 Especially it's useful on a day like this when the
- 13 federal government opens late and travel is
- 14 perilous. Please turn your phones on mute if you
- 15 would and raise your hands in the software
- 16 provided to be recognized to speak. It's been
- 17 working pretty well here recently. So if you wish
- 18 to speak, we'll unmute you and you can speak and
- 19 we ought to be able to hear you in the room. So
- 20 you can participate in this conversation, you can
- 21 follow the slides on your computer.
- 22 And I would also just encourage everyone

- 1 including everyone joining us via the Web to
- 2 please submit written comments following today's
- 3 meeting. The department benefits by having
- 4 detailed written comments on all these matters,
- 5 and timely if at all possible; so we appreciate
- 6 you doing that. And then we'll go to the purpose
- 7 of the public meeting; John Cymbalsky?
- 8 MR. CYMBALSKY: Thanks, Doug. This is
- 9 John Cymbalsky, from DOE. Okay, our purpose of
- 10 the public meeting. As I look around the room, we
- 11 have attendees here who are used to this by now,
- 12 so I'm not sure I'm going to read through all
- 13 this. But in a nutshell, we're going to present
- 14 our results from our proposal for commercial warm
- 15 air furnaces and, again, we can't say this enough.
- 16 Let's get a good dialogue going with lots of
- 17 comments as we go through our analysis here.
- Okay, so we're going to just open it up
- 19 now for opening remarks. Those who wish to say a
- 20 few words about the proposal for commercial warm
- 21 air furnaces, now's your chance.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Comments here at the

outset? No? None at the time. Okay, then --MR. CYMBALSKY: Any on the webinar? 2 MR. BROOKMAN: Then I think we'll 3 proceed with the content, moving to regulatory authority. 5 6 MR. CYMBALSKY: Okay. Thanks, Doug. Still John Cymbalsky at DOE. Okay, so as we probably all know by now, DOE's regulatory authority for most appliances traces back to the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975. There were also updates throughout the years including 11 EPCA 1992, which is what added commercial warm air 12 13 furnaces as a type of covered equipment. The statute at this time also set 14 initial standards for commercial warm air 16 furnaces. EPCA also directs DOE to consider 17 amending existing federal energy conservation 18 standards for commercial warm air furnaces 19 whenever ASHRAE amends the levels in 90.1. So, 20 further into the timeline on updates to EPCA, EISA 21 2007 and the American Energy Manufacturing

Technical Corrections Act amended EPCA to require

- 1 that every six years the secretary publish either
- 2 a Notice of Determination that standards do not
- 3 need to be amended for, in this case, commercial
- 4 warm air furnaces, or a Notice of Proposed
- 5 Rulemaking that includes new standards. And so,
- 6 that's what we have here today.
- 7 AEMTCA also required that DOE consider
- 8 amended energy conservation standards for
- 9 equipment for which more than six years had lapsed
- 10 since the most recent energy conservation standard
- 11 for this product. And so, that's the authority
- 12 under which we're acting here today.
- Definitions: So, EPCA defines a warm air
- 14 furnace as a "self-contained oil or gas-fired
- 15 furnace designed to supply heated air through
- 16 ducts to spaces that require it and includes
- 17 combination warm air furnace/electric air
- 18 conditioning units but does not include unit
- 19 heaters and duct furnaces", and you can see the
- 20 citation to the Code.
- 21 DOE further defines a commercial warm
- 22 air furnace as "a warm air furnace that is

- 1 industrial equipment and that has a capacity as
- 2 rated by maximum input of 225,000 Btu per hour or
- 3 more", and you can see the citation in the Code of
- 4 Federal Regulations.
- 5 And then, for the scope, this rulemaking
- 6 covers self-contained gas-fired and oil-fired warm
- 7 air furnaces that supply heated air through ducts
- 8 with input ratings above 225,000 Btu per hour. It
- 9 includes commercial warm air furnaces that are
- 10 designed for makeup air heating and it also
- 11 includes combination warm air furnace/electric air
- 12 conditioning units, but does not include unit
- 13 heaters or duct furnaces.
- 14 Regulatory history: Equipment classes
- 15 were established by EPACT in 1992. It divided the
- 16 products into two classes; gas and oil-fired
- 17 units. The current minimum standards were
- 18 established by EPACT with an effective date of
- 19 January 1, 1994 and the thermal efficiencies are
- 20 listed below: 80 percent for gas-fired commercial
- 21 warm air furnaces, and 81 for oil.
- 22 Test procedure and efficiency metric,

- 1 the test procedure is in the CFR, and it's a
- 2 uniform test method for the measurement of energy
- 3 efficiency of commercial warm air furnaces. It
- 4 incorporates ANSI standard Z21.47-2006, also the
- 5 UL standard 727-2006, and ASHRAE standard 103-
- 6 1993. The efficiency metric for commercial warm
- 7 air furnaces is thermal efficiency, which equals
- 8 100 percent minus the percent flue loss determined
- 9 using the testing procedure prescribed under 10
- 10 CFR 413.76.
- Okay, as we probably all know by now, in
- 12 evaluating whether or not new standards are
- 13 justified, DOE has its seven EPCA factors that it
- 14 considers. They are listed here and we will go
- 15 through all these analyses over the course of the
- 16 day. And here we are at the proposal stage, or
- 17 the NOPR stage, and you can see the chevrons
- 18 indicating the analysis that went into the
- 19 proposal here today and we will go through each
- 20 and every one of these as we go through the day.
- 21 Okay, with that, if there are no questions, we're
- 22 going to move on to Adam Darlington to present the

- 1 market and technology assessment and screening
- 2 analysis.
- MR. HARVEY: Have you been trained up on
- 4 that?
- 5 MR. DARLINGTON: I have not. I'm trying
- 6 to figure out how to work this.
- 7 MR. CYMBALSKY: Oh, just to the right.
- 8 MR. DARLINGTON: To the right?
- 9 MR. CYMBALSKY: Yeah.
- 10 MR. DARLINGTON: And then, is this is a
- 11 -- do we have like a little pointer.
- MR. CYMBALSKY: Yeah.
- MR. DARLINGTON: It's not pointing. All
- 14 right, well -- yeah, it's not going on the screen
- 15 though. I guess I'll do without. All right, well
- 16 anyways, good morning, everyone. Adam Darlington
- 17 with Navigant. So I'll be taking us through the
- 18 market and technology assessment and the screening
- 19 analysis and the engineering analysis.
- 20 So the market and technology assessment,
- 21 here we have the purpose and methodology for this
- 22 particular portion of the analysis. So the market

- 1 and technology assessment -- the market assessment
- 2 develops a quantitative and qualitative
- 3 characterization of the commercial furnace
- 4 industry, basically looking at manufacturers,
- 5 market shares, regulatory and non-regulatory
- 6 programs, historical market information; that type
- 7 of information.
- 8 The technology assessment develops a
- 9 list of potential technologies for improving the
- 10 energy efficiency of commercial furnaces and the
- 11 sources for these are all outlined in the TSD
- 12 chapter three. It's based on a variety of
- 13 publicly available information sources.
- Okay, and so this slide is basically a
- 15 quick overview of the type of products we're
- 16 talking about here. So the majority of the market
- 17 for commercial furnaces are gas-fired commercial
- 18 furnaces. And typically these units are packaged
- 19 with the commercial air conditioning system
- 20 generally installed on rooftops. And so, as you
- 21 can see on the slide, it says "primarily installed
- 22 outdoors, supplies heated air to commercial

- 1 buildings via ducts." Most are manufactured in a
- 2 single package as I just said, and they are
- 3 weatherized, meaning they are designed to be
- 4 installed outdoors.
- 5 Oil-fired commercial furnaces are a much
- 6 smaller portion of the market. They are generally
- 7 not packaged -- actually I think always the models
- 8 that we identified were never packaged with a
- 9 central AC -- an air conditioning component. And
- 10 they're generally intended for indoor
- 11 installation. So they're non-weatherized.
- 12 And so, as the slide says, DOE
- 13 identified four major manufacturers and nine
- 14 manufacturers with relatively smaller market
- 15 shares.

- 17 This slide is sort of a snapshot of the
- 18 models distribution. So DOE developed a database
- 19 based on the AHRI directory; and also for non-AHRI
- 20 members, DOE looked at product listings on
- 21 manufacturer websites. And DOE basically
- 22 maintained the existing equipment class breakdown

- 1 into gas-fired and oil-fired. And so, on this
- 2 slide we're showing -- you can see the first two
- 3 columns, we're looking at 95 percent of the models
- 4 being gas-fired compared to 5 percent being oil-
- 5 fired. And then we also, just for informational
- 6 purposes, are looking at non-weatherized versus
- 7 weatherized. As you can see there, about tenfold
- 8 weatherized models to non-weatherized for the gas-
- 9 fired and then for the oil-fired they were all
- 10 non-weatherized. And keep in mind this is just
- 11 distribution of models. So actual unit sales or
- 12 shipments might be even more skewed in one of
- 13 these directions.
- 14 So the big -- one of the big things that
- 15 comes out of the market assessment is this, you
- 16 know, we look at the efficiencies of models on the
- 17 market. It kind of gives us a starting point, what
- 18 levels we're going to look at in the engineering
- 19 analysis. So, as you can see on this slide we're
- 20 looking at, the current federal minimum standard
- 21 is 80 percent. There are a lot of models at the
- 22 baseline. Still a good number at 81 as well, and

- 1 then a few are at 82, and then just a handful
- 2 there at the condensing levels about 90 percent
- 3 and then nothing between 82 and 90 which, as you
- 4 all probably know, is the near-condensing range.
- 5 MR. RAY: Question.
- 6 MR. BROOKMAN: Please, Mike?
- 7 MR. RAY: Mike Ray, with Lennox. The 90
- 8 and 92 percent, do they fall into the above
- 9 225,000 Btu range?
- 10 MR. DARLINGTON: They are above the
- 11 225,000, yes. And so, I don't know if you caught
- 12 it in the scope section, but this rulemaking
- 13 includes makeup air units. And so, these are
- 14 actually smaller manufacturers of makeup air
- 15 units, yeah. So they're not what you would think
- 16 of as a conventional --
- 17 MR. RAY: What'd you call them again?
- 18 MR. DARLINGTON: Makeup air, yeah.
- MR. RAY: Okay.
- 20 MS. HOOTMAN: [Off mic] -- outside air
- 21 units.
- MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, and then so this

- 1 slide shows the oil-fired efficiency distribution.
- 2 And again, so the baseline is 81 percent and
- 3 that's the current federal standard. Most of the
- 4 models, you know, are at 82 percent with a couple
- 5 down there at 92 as well. And again, you know,
- 6 obviously a much smaller market and fewer models
- 7 than we saw for the gas.
- And so, the other big part of the market
- 9 and technology assessment is the technology
- 10 assessment, and again as I stated earlier, the
- 11 goal is to develop a preliminary list of
- 12 technologies to improve the efficiency of
- 13 commercial furnaces. So DOE looked at a variety
- 14 of sources, reviewed manufacturer literature,
- 15 manufacturer brochures, spec sheets, took the
- 16 comments and inputs from stakeholders, discussions
- 17 during manufacturer interviews, and also
- 18 information gained during tear-down analysis and
- 19 we looked at all of these things to identify the
- 20 technologies that could be incorporated or are
- 21 currently incorporated to improve the efficiency
- 22 of commercial furnaces.

And this brings us to the list shown on 1 this slide, where a number of technologies were identified, and all of these are defined and described in chapter three of the TSD. basically I would just say that this is sort of the initial entire list. So just because something's on this list doesn't mean that it's necessarily driving any of the analysis. talk about it in the screening analysis and the engineering analysis which of these technologies 10 were actually implemented and which were screened 11 out and which were not considered for other 12 13 reasons. 14 And so, here we're coming to our first 15 request for comment. Basically we are seeking 16 comment on the proposed equipment classes. 17 note here in particular there's a question of 18 whether there's a need for separate classes for 19 non-weatherized and weatherized commercial 20 furnaces. And I guess just a little bit of background on that point. So the question kind of 21 22 relates to whether there are any reasons to have

- 1 separate product classes for them and I know that,
- 2 you know, we look at certain technology options
- 3 that may be more proven in non-weatherized, the
- 4 obvious example being condensing. But it's such a
- 5 small portion of the market, it's really unclear
- 6 if there would be too much benefit, so we're
- 7 seeking comment on that.
- 8 Also seeking comment on the technologies
- 9 identified, primarily whether DOE missed any
- 10 technologies, whether technologies are out there
- 11 that weren't included that possibly should have
- 12 been included and then also just generally on any
- 13 part of the market and technology assessment we're
- 14 seeking comment. So with that, do you want to
- 15 take it away, Doug?
- 16 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. Comments
- 17 here? You see the comment boxes, the request for
- 18 comment. Harvey Sachs?
- 19 MR. SACHS: This is Harvey Sachs with a
- 20 naquestion. Are the hundred percent makeup air
- 21 units differentiated enough to warrant
- 22 consideration of a separate product class for them

- 1 or would that just lead to people doing some
- 2 submarining by adapting conventional units to
- 3 provide that function?
- 4 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, I mean, I quess
- 5 that's a question for maybe the manufacturers,
- 6 whether you're aware of any significant
- 7 differences. I mean, we did tear-down analysis.
- 8 We looked at outdoor air units. We looked at
- 9 conventional packaged units. You know, overall
- 10 the functionality I think is very similar,
- 11 obviously the big difference being that the
- 12 outdoor air, the makeup air units bring more than
- 13 just return air. They bring in outdoor air. So
- 14 as far as, you know, big design changes, you know,
- 15 I guess I'm not aware of anything that would maybe
- 16 drive it. But maybe the manufacturers could maybe
- 17 speak to some of the concerns if there are any.
- 18 MR. SACHS: Presumably the makeup --
- 19 hundred percent makeup air units or outdoor air
- 20 units would be missing a damper and a duct stub
- 21 for the return air.
- 22 MR. DARLINGTON: Well, sure. But I mean

24 1 2 MR. SACHS: So the question -- I think it's appropriate for the manufacturers --MR. BROOKMAN: Louder, Harvey. 4 I think it's an appropriate MR. SACHS: question for the manufacturers whether these might warrant a separate class. 8 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, certainly if there's going to be any difference in how the efficiency can be improved as compared to other 10 types of commercial furnaces. 11 12 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you, Adam. Jill? 13 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. gas furnace in a makeup air unit versus a 15 conventional package air conditioning system that has a heating -- gas heating system, for all intents and purposes really that gas furnace in that makeup air unit can be tested the same as far 19 as the furnace. It certainly can be tested in the 20 same standard, Harvey. So I think that as far as 21 the test for its efficiency it's the same. 22 Now, whether you design those units in

- 1 their application the same is another whole
- 2 question. They're certainly not designed the same.
- 3 The fan systems are different. Cabinet strength
- 4 and durability is different. Cabinet sizing is
- 5 different. So you don't design them because one is
- 6 for comfort conditioning and one is literally for
- 7 just ventilation and taking that outside air and
- 8 making it more comfortable for an air conditioning
- 9 system sometimes to condition on top of that. So
- 10 they're definitely two different utilities for how
- 11 they're used.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. Mike, do you
- 13 have a comment? No?
- 14 MR. RAY: Mike Ray, with Lennox. The
- 15 hundred percent outside air unit; it traditionally
- 16 is a significantly larger cabinet and that's one
- 17 of the differences. The mainstream gas electrics,
- 18 if you will, are significantly smaller and don't
- 19 take into account all the various things that are
- 20 necessary as you design a hundred percent outside
- 21 air unit. So there are significant differences
- 22 between just a regular HVAC gas electric unit and

- 1 a hundred percent outside air unit.
- 2 From a cooling standpoint, your coils
- 3 are significantly bigger, et cetera. But overall,
- 4 the gas heating component is just -- you know, I
- 5 mean, getting to 90 percent, I understand now the
- 6 -- who had 90 percent and where it was applied.
- 7 In general you don't see that in a commercial
- 8 arena.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: I don't think we've
- 10 received any comment yet about whether separate
- 11 equipment classes are needed. Jill?
- MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. I
- 13 think what might be good here is what does the
- 14 department feel defines a product class? I mean,
- 15 in our eyes, these are different applications.
- 16 They're designed differently when we design them.
- 17 So we approach them totally differently. But that
- 18 doesn't mean that that's the definition by which
- 19 the department defines a product class. So maybe
- 20 an explanation of product class might be
- 21 appropriate.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Uh-huh, or Adam, maybe

- 1 you can start with the actual illustration here.
- 2 MR. DARLINGTON: Well, so the -- sorry,
- 3 the illustration?
- 4 MR. BROOKMAN: Go ahead. Keep going.
- 5 MR. DARLINGTON: Well, I was going to
- 6 say that there are statutory criteria for
- 7 establishing product classes, which is what I
- 8 think Eric is looking into right now. So you
- 9 know, I know it's capacity.
- 10 Do you have the -- so according to the
- 11 statute, we have the secretary can establish
- 12 separate equipment classes if the secretary
- 13 determines that the covered products within such
- 14 group, A) consume a different kind of energy from
- 15 that consumed by other covered products within
- 16 such type, B) have capacity or other performance-
- 17 related feature which other products within such
- 18 type (or class) do not have and such feature
- 19 justifies higher or lower standard from that which
- 20 applies (or will apply) to other products within
- 21 such type (or class). And I believe that's it.
- 22 Yeah, that's all.

- 1 MR. SACHS: The term -- this is Harvey.
- 2 The term utility does not find itself in the
- 3 definition, different utility.
- 4 MR. DARLINGTON: A performance
- 5 characteristic I think was the term.
- 6 MR. CYMBALSKY: This is John, from DOE.
- 7 So I mean, to me the consumer is demanding warm
- 8 air and both are delivering the warm air. So I
- 9 don't know if a consumer would get any additional
- 10 utility from the warm air from a makeup unit
- 11 versus the more conventional one, my personal
- 12 opinion.
- 13 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike Rivest?
- 14 MR. RIVEST: So just from a practical
- 15 application from product classes and other
- 16 rulemakings, the thing that's guided DOE in the
- 17 past has been, you know, can this product achieve
- 18 the same AFUE values without risking that standard
- 19 set for one class would render that product non-
- 20 available. So for example -- so if the standard -
- 21 if the standard were set for a regular
- 22 commercial furnace and at an AFUE that would

- 1 result in non-availability of gas packs, like a
- 2 bare unit, for example, that would definitely, you
- 3 know, create automatically a trigger for a
- 4 separate product class.
- 5 MR. SACHS: So Mike --
- 6 MR. RIVEST: Now, in this case, you
- 7 know, it's -- you know, is there something
- 8 inherently different about the gas product itself
- 9 and the AFUE.
- MR. SACHS: Yes.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs, go
- 12 ahead.
- 13 MR. SACHS: This is Harvey and what
- 14 caught my attention is several things. First of
- 15 all, an existence proof that we do have condensing
- 16 makeup air units available in the market. So
- 17 they're clearly to some degree --
- 18 MR. RIVEST: That only proves that --
- 19 you'd say, you know, those units consume a lot of
- 20 energy, right? So there's an economic argument.
- 21 But you know, the product class is not an economic
- 22 argument.

MR. SACHS: Let me continue my mental 1 meandering please. 3 MR. RIVEST: Okay. This is Harvey. We do have MR. SACHS: an existence proof. It is a type of equipment that gets special designs, as Mike and Jill have told us, larger coils, for example. It is a definite purpose class of equipment and I would be the first to admit that the general body of commercial gas furnaces incorporated in RTUs is 10 not yet ready for a condensing furnace. But given 11 the large amount of energy each of these large 12 units consumes or transforms and the existence of 13 products that comply with condensing on the 15 market, my question was simply should it be 16 considered for a separate class. Thank you. 17 Excuse me, one more thing. My memory is short and I forgot about John's comment. I don't 19 see the RTU with return air and the dedicated 20 outdoor air makeup unit as being -- as providing 21 the same immunity to some extent there's an 22 application difference that the hundred percent

- 1 unit is more likely to be applied in a different
- 2 system, a dedicated outdoor air system than a
- 3 mixed air chiller-based or unitary -- large
- 4 unitary equipment.
- 5 So the one is providing an essentially
- 6 ventilation-targeted service and bringing the
- 7 temperature and sometimes the humidity of the
- 8 makeup air into a range that can be handled by the
- 9 terminal units in a DOAS system whereas the other
- 10 is doing a hundred percent of the work all in one
- 11 box. That is the conventional RTU with gas pack.
- 12 So there is conceptually to me a significant
- 13 difference in the applications. They're not both
- 14 just providing warm air. In particular, the
- 15 hundred percent makeup might be providing so-
- 16 called neutral air.
- MS. HOOTMAN: Exactly.
- 18 MR. BROOKMAN: So are you advocating
- 19 a separate product equipment class?
- 20 MR. SACHS: I am -- this is Harvey. I
- 21 am strongly advocated -- advocating that we look
- 22 at that question because of the differences in

```
32
   utility.
2
             MR. BROOKMAN:
                               Okay.
3
             MR. SACHS: I don't have an answer. I
   don't have enough data. Thank you.
             MR. BROOKMAN: I didn't think I'd
   heard it yet.
             [Laughter.]
             MR. BROOKMAN: Jill, do you have an
   additional comment here?
10
             MS. HOOTMAN: Well, yeah. I would just
   -- Jill Hootman, Trane. I would support what
11
   Harvey has said. It definitely has a different --
12
   it definitely has a different use in the building.
   It doesn't always. In my mind, I would say a
   makeup air unit is not necessarily a comfort
   conditioning. It is not providing the comfort
   conditioning. It's providing room-neutral and
   then another system is taking care of the actual
19
   comfort conditioning.
20
             So yes, there are applications.
                                             I would
21
   agree, Mike. There are applications that have an
22
   exorbitant temperature difference it has to
```

- 1 overcome and with a hundred percent outside air.
- 2 So yes, it is burning quite a bit of gas in some
- 3 applications. I'm sure that northern Minnesota
- 4 and parts of this country are, you know --
- 5 MR. RIVEST: Yeah. I'm not making a
- 6 case for or against. I was just saying if you
- 7 could not reach it, the same AFUE, then definitely
- 8 it would warrant a separate product.
- 9 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah. So when I look at
- 10 those -- when I look at energy --
- MR. BROOKMAN: So that was Mike
- 12 Rivest. Now back to Jill. Go ahead.
- 13 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah, sorry. When I agree
- 14 when I look at energy and capacity, I mean, the
- 15 designing of that heat exchanger is pretty much
- 16 similar I would say and it can be tested to the
- 17 same test conditions for sure. So I'm not so
- 18 sure. I'm sitting here on the fence really saying
- 19 that there is a different product class or not.
- 20 MR. RIVEST: So what's the product
- 21 definition?
- MR. BROOKMAN: Mike, say it again

```
34
1 please.
             MR. RIVEST: What is the product
3 definition?
            MR. BROOKMAN: Adam?
            MS. HOOTMAN: It's just a furnace that -
7
             MR. RIVEST: It doesn't get into space
  conditioning versus --
9
             MS. HOOTMAN: No.
10
             MR. CYMBALSKY: What is the range of
11 efficiency of these things?
12
             MS. HOOTMAN: Eighty to 90.
13
            MR. CYMBALSKY: It is the whole range,
14 okay.
            MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah. Oh, makeup air?
15
             MR. CYMBALSKY: yeah, the makeup air.
16
17
   No, no the makeup air, so it will span that whole
18
  range.
19
             MS. HOOTMAN: yeah. The packaged units
20 do not, okay?
21
            MR. CYMBALSKY: Correct.
22
            MS. HOOTMAN: The packaged air units do
```

35 1 not. 2 MR. CYMBALSKY: I got that one. It's just the makeup airs. MR. BROOKMAN: Okay, thank you, Jill. Daniel Arnold? We're going to unmute your phone, Daniel. Let's hope we can hear you in the room. MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Can you hear me? MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, you sound good. Keep going. 10 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. I'm with -- well, I'm getting terrible echo on my end, sorry. 11 12 MR. BROOKMAN: Yeah, thank you. We're getting reverb here in the room. 13 14 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, let me try and -- can 15 you hear me now? 16 MR. BROOKMAN: Yeah. Okay, try it. 17 MR. ARNOLD: Hello? 18 MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, you sound good. 19 MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Sorry about that. 20 We had two phones going. Yeah, this is Dan Arnold. I'm with Nortek Global HVAC, formerly 21 Nordyne and we do make makeup air units and I 22

- 1 would just advocate to keep them in the same
- 2 class. I just don't really see the justification
- 3 for a separate class. I've been listening to the
- 4 discussion and, you know, as was mentioned,
- 5 they're essentially following the same test
- 6 procedure more or less to determine the steady-
- 7 state efficiency.
- 8 The heat exchanger, air burners, et
- 9 cetera, the technologies are similar to, you know,
- 10 a standard warm air furnace. So to put -- you
- 11 know, I just don't see the need for a separate
- 12 class and to go through that extra analysis.
- 13 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thanks, Dan.
- 14 And for those of you joining us via the Web, we've
- 15 confirmed that this Web feature works for those of
- 16 you who wish to join us and speak. So please feel
- 17 free to do so. You see the comment box listed
- 18 here. Any additional comments before we move on?
- 19 Okay, we're moving on.
- 20 MR. DARLINGTON: All right. This is
- 21 Adam Darlington again. So I'll take us through
- 22 the screening analysis. The purpose of the

- 1 screening analysis, as I alluded to previously, is
- 2 to basically remove from consideration designs
- 3 that are -- I guess they're not yet quite ready to
- 4 be considered. So here on the slide we show the
- 5 four criteria for screening and they are
- 6 technological feasibility; practicability to
- 7 manufacture, install and service; adverse impacts
- 8 on equipment, utility or availability to
- 9 customers; and adverse impacts on health or
- 10 safety.
- 11 And then, we also note that DOE would
- 12 only look at efficiency levels that can be
- 13 achieved using proprietary designs if there were
- 14 also non-proprietary technologies capable of
- 15 achieving the same efficiency although I don't
- 16 think that really came into play too much here.
- 17 And then, the last bullet, DOE also removes from
- 18 consideration any technologies that don't affect
- 19 the energy consumption or I guess actually the
- 20 energy efficiency as measured by the Department of
- 21 Energy test procedure.
- 22 So chapter four is the TSD chapter that

- 1 corresponds to the screening analysis and it lays
- 2 out a lot of the justification for all of these.
- 3 But here you can see that we screened out several
- 4 technologies. A number of technologies were
- 5 removed because they would not affect the
- 6 efficiency as rated by the DOE test procedure,
- 7 which rates the thermal efficiency. So it's an
- 8 active mode test and it's actually very much like
- 9 a combustion efficiency test where it's actually
- 10 just 100 percent minus flue losses. So as you can
- 11 see, there were a number of technologies that
- 12 wouldn't really have an impact on the thermal
- 13 efficiency, or would have a very tiny impact. So
- 14 they weren't really considered further.
- 15 And then this next slide -- so we also
- 16 removed condensing -- or, sorry, concentric
- 17 venting which is generally only applicable in non-
- 18 weatherized installations because most of these
- 19 were, you know, as I said earlier, are weatherized
- 20 and also there's not a great deal of information
- 21 on the efficiency benefits as far as commercial
- 22 furnaces. So sort of the key takeaway from this

- 1 slide is the technologies that were primarily
- 2 considered in the engineering analysis and they
- 3 all related to the heat exchanger.
- 4 So we had the addition of secondary or
- 5 condensing heat exchanger which would allow you to
- 6 get, you know, up into the 90-plus range.
- 7 Increased heat exchanger surface area; that was
- 8 primarily used, you know, looking at the non-
- 9 condensing, how to improve the efficiency of
- 10 those. And then we also took a closer look at the
- 11 incorporation of the heat exchange surface
- 12 features such as dimples, also internal features
- 13 such as baffles and turbulators.
- 14 And so, that was just kind of a quick
- 15 run-through of the screening. Here we're
- 16 inviting comments generally on the screening
- 17 analysis, you know, whether there are comments
- 18 about any of the technologies that were in or out.
- 19 And then, item 2-3, specifically we're asking
- 20 about the potential for the lessening of product
- 21 utility or unavailability of product types for any
- 22 -- you know, any of the commercial furnaces

- 1 meeting the proposed standards which would be at
- 2 82 percent, so would there be any issues with the
- 3 levels and the technologies considered. So with
- 4 that, I'll let Doug go from there.
- 5 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Harvey Sachs?
- 6 MR. SACHS: I'm embarrassed not to have
- 7 done more of my homework. But if you would return
- 8 to slide 15 for a second, because I think it's
- 9 germane. That's test procedure and efficiency
- 10 metric. Oh, this is Harvey Sachs. Does ANSI
- 11 standard Z21.47-2006 look like the AFUE test or
- 12 does it look like a steady state?
- MR. DARLINGTON: It's a steady-state, a
- 14 steady-state thermal efficiency test.
- MR. SACHS: A steady-state thermal
- 16 efficiency.
- 17 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, except again,
- 18 sorry, it's called a thermal efficiency test.
- MS. HOOTMAN: Everyone keeps using AFUE
- 20 and that's not right.
- 21 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah. Well, even then,
- 22 so the thermal efficiency test, I think that we're

- 1 all familiar with, would include the jacket losses
- 2 and that's not included.
- 3 MR. SACHS: Well, then returning from
- 4 that to slide 28, we see that the department has
- 5 given great consideration to the move from EER,
- 6 which is for air conditioners an analog to thermal
- 7 efficiency, to an IEER following the lead of
- 8 ASHRAE and I guess I'm disappointed considering
- 9 the duty cycle of most commercial equipment that
- 10 we haven't seen a parallel push toward the
- 11 adoption of an IEER-like rating that would give --
- 12 de facto give credit to two-stage modulating
- 13 capacities since we have seen their benefits even
- 14 in DOE rulemakings on the residential side. I
- 15 think this is something for which we all bear some
- 16 responsibility for negligence. We didn't beat you
- 17 up and you all didn't propose it. But it is a
- 18 route by which you can get to significant
- 19 decreases in energy utilization. End of rant.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- 21 MS. HOOTMAN: So Harvey, I understand
- 22 what you're saying. I guess I do want to say that

- 1 the nature of heating in most of this country for
- 2 comfort conditioning in commercial buildings is
- 3 one of morning warm-up and then you may never have
- 4 the heater on again.
- 5 MR. SACHS: Good point.
- 6 MS. HOOTMAN: So you know, that -- I am
- 7 generalizing here. Obviously there are
- 8 applications for two stages and we make them and
- 9 modulating burners and we make them. But they are
- 10 usually a combination of a higher outside air
- 11 combination. So they're trying to do a dual
- 12 purpose with the outside -- you know, significant
- 13 outside air, whether that's a hundred percent or a
- 14 50 percent rate or whatever it might be, you know,
- 15 it's a little bit higher. It's compensating for
- 16 the outside air effect. But you know, in general,
- 17 even in the northern parts of the country, you are
- 18 still just morning warm-up and then the internal
- 19 loads tend to take over in a commercial building.
- 20 MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs, again. Point
- 21 well taken, Jill --
- 22 FEMALE: Mic, please.

- 1 MS. HOOTMAN: He thought he hit it.
- 2 MR. SACHS: I'll be more aggressive with
- 3 the mic later. Point well taken, Jill. This is
- 4 Harvey, and I guess that I'm still thinking that
- 5 on all but the peak days, I may be able to benefit
- 6 from that more lightly loaded heat exchanger and
- 7 particularly in these situations -- well,
- 8 particularly in these situations where I'm doing
- 9 warm-up of an unoccupied building and not having
- 10 to deal with massive quantities of outside air.
- 11 So I think it is something that should be
- 12 considered by the department and that I didn't
- 13 push it. Nobody else pushed it. But I think it's
- 14 -- as we move forward, it certainly ought to be on
- 15 the bucket list for revising the standard.
- 16 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Michael McCabe?
- 17 MR. MCCABE: This is Michael McCabe.
- 18 Question for you, Adam, kind of to go back to the
- 19 questions on the makeup air and also the screening
- 20 analysis. Looking at the chart that you had that
- 21 had the units achieved, the 90 and 92 percent
- 22 thermal efficiency were makeup air units?

44 1 MR. DARLINGTON: That's right, yes. 2 MR. MCCABE: Looking at the design options that come out of the screening analysis, is it possible for the non-makeup air units, that is, the rest of the units, to achieve the 90, 92 percent level with those technologies that are being considered by the department? 8 MR. DARLINGTON: Did you want to answer 9 that? 10 MR. VON WENTZEL: I can take it. Constantin von Wentzel. We believe so based on 11 our tear-down analysis and analysis of smaller, 12 residential units that are already on the market 13 that have that technology in them and it would 15 just be a matter of scaling it up to commercial 16 scale. But the technology is fundamentally the 17 same. 18 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. Jill? 19 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. 20 would agree if that's all you were to look at. 21 could probably make them in a commercial arena. 22 It will have way more impact on the installation

- 1 of that unit. And that's where I think we lose
- 2 utility and that's where I think we lose and have
- 3 issues with. While it is in some cases possible to
- 4 take that caustic condensate and bring it back
- 5 through the building, you will still have a gap in
- 6 the curb that is outside that would still need to
- 7 have heat tape or some kind of protection for
- 8 freeze protection on it that's not conditioned in
- 9 the curb.
- 10 So that's a possibility. And yet, even
- 11 bringing it down into the building, there are
- 12 definitely building codes that would not allow
- 13 that and where that caustic, you know, condensate
- 14 gets dumped into potable water systems, there's
- 15 codes against that as well. So I think there's a
- 16 significant barrier in the installation of these
- 17 systems. But I would not disagree in that we
- 18 could probably make a condensing furnace that fits
- 19 in most of these units.
- Now, when you are getting up to -- this
- 21 has no upper end of Btus. When you're getting up
- 22 into the million and the 2 million Btu heat

- 1 exchangers that are made in packaged units, that's
- 2 another question. We don't -- I mean, they're
- 3 going to get so big and the secondary heat
- 4 exchangers are going to get so big that by the
- 5 time you design the fan to go across that, that
- 6 starts to get huge and significantly starts to
- 7 have an issue with replacement of existing systems
- 8 because that cabinet will be big. And we're
- 9 already the largest load that can go down a
- 10 highway in those units. So I think we start to
- 11 lose the ability to put that in, in some of those
- 12 units.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?
- 14 MR. RAY: I'd like to reinforce what
- 15 Jill just said about the size of the equipment.
- 16 Excuse me. As you add a secondary heat exchanger
- 17 to the cabinet, that then means you have to do
- 18 something to grow your cabinet to allow for that
- 19 secondary heat exchanger. That's one piece of it.
- 20 Physically, it has to get larger. The second
- 21 piece that goes along with that is the fan has to
- 22 increase because it's fighting the air of the

47 secondary heat exchanger, which then impacts the efficiency of the unit itself. 3 Now I've got to put in a larger horsepower motor and now I'm hurting my cooling efficiency which is not being taken into account in the calculation that we've got going. So, and to Jill's point, as I grow into my larger cabinets and we're getting now to the point where it is reaching the point where we're going to have a lot of flatbeds going down the road, you know, being 10 able to ship these units down the road is becoming 11 more and more challenging because we're increasing 12 efficiencies and as the cabinets grow, it makes it 13 more difficult to get them to transport down the 15 road. So there's a lot of challenges associated with a simple thing of going to 92 percent 17 efficiency for gas heating. There's a lot of 18 impacts that aren't being taken into account. 19 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay, thank you. 20 Harvey? 21 MR. SACHS: Just a -- this is Harvey.

Just a clarification, Mike. My sense has been

- 1 that the real growth in the RTU industry has been
- 2 in what amount to almost -- well, to the very
- 3 largest capacities, the 60 tons, the 40 tons. We
- 4 probably don't have much difference in the
- 5 shipping challenges for 10-ton units. They're
- 6 bigger. You can't put as many of them on the
- 7 truck.
- 8 MR. RAY: Correct.
- 9 MR. SACHS: But they don't require
- 10 follow cars.
- MS. HOOTMAN: Right.
- MR. SACHS: So good. So it's really the
- 13 supplementing of built-up systems by packaged RTUs
- 14 in the largest capacities is where we're seeing
- 15 the problem.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- MS. HOOTMAN: Yes. Sorry, yes. Jill
- 18 Hootman, Trane. But also remember, I mean, almost
- 19 60 percent of the business is replacement and so
- 20 if these units have gotten bigger, they no longer
- 21 replace themselves easily. So when we get to the
- 22 point, and I know we will talk about the

- 1 additional cost that that incurs, so you're right.
- 2 We start to lose, you know, the ability to put as
- 3 many on a truck. So our freight and then down the
- 4 road we'll also lose the ability to replace
- 5 ourselves. So it has the lessening of some of the
- 6 product utility.
- 7 MR. BROOKMAN: Robert Whitwell, we
- 8 would like to hear from you. You should be
- 9 unmuted now.
- 10 MR. WHITWELL: Okay, yes. Thank you.
- 11 This is Bob Whitwell from Carrier. Can you hear
- 12 me okay?
- MR. BROOKMAN: You sound good.
- MR. WHITWELL: Okay. So I just wanted
- 15 to expand on a point that Mike made earlier when
- 16 if we were to add the heat exchanger -- secondary
- 17 heat exchanger for condensing efficiencies in the
- 18 rooftop, he talked about the impact it would have
- 19 on the fan power.
- 20 And we have to keep in mind that we
- 21 would have that impact all year round. So it
- 22 would be not only impact the cooling efficiency

- 1 but we also would have that additional fan watts
- 2 during ventilation periods and also during the
- 3 heating periods.
- 4 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you. Do
- 5 we have additional comments, perhaps final
- 6 comments on screening analysis, generally or
- 7 specifically? Nothing additional. Okay, then do
- 8 you want to take a break now or do you want -- how
- 9 long is the -- yeah, we'll keep going then.
- 10 Engineering analysis.
- MR. DARLINGTON: Okay. This is Adam
- 12 Darlington with Navigant again. So here we see
- 13 the purpose of the engineering analysis is to
- 14 establish the relationship between equipment cost
- 15 and increased efficiency and the methodology for
- 16 this is to look at the efficiency level approach.
- 17 As I mentioned on the previous slide, we use the
- 18 efficiency information obtained from the market
- 19 assessment to select which efficiency levels to
- 20 look at, basically did reverse engineering, tear-
- 21 downs and cost modeling to develop estimates of
- 22 manufacturer production costs at each efficiency

- 1 point. We incorporated a number of sources,
- 2 equipment tear-downs and cost modeling
- 3 manufacturer interviews, equipment testing,
- 4 manufacturer product literature and certification
- 5 data.
- 6 So here was the first step in looking at
- 7 the efficiency levels that were going to be
- 8 analyzed. Basically we had the baseline, the max
- 9 tech which is the highest efficiency available and
- 10 the intermediate efficiency levels. So looking at
- 11 the gas-fired and the oil-fired, both of them were
- 12 doing pretty much all of the levels that are
- 13 available since we had so few. I think we didn't
- 14 do the 90 for the gas. But that was the only one
- 15 that didn't actually get included in this. So
- 16 this table shows a quick summary of which
- 17 efficiency levels we were looking at. This is
- 18 sort of a high level description of what happens
- 19 during the engineering analysis.
- 20 So after we got our efficiency levels,
- 21 we select models for tear-down, and try to be
- 22 representative of typical models on the market.

- 1 We conduct the physical tear-downs. In each
- 2 physical tear-down we catalog each individual
- 3 component of the furnace, measure it, weight it,
- 4 put it in a BOM spreadsheet and those BOMs act as
- 5 inputs for our cost model and the cost model, you
- 6 know, includes a lot of information about material
- 7 prices, fabrication processes, you know, labor
- 8 rates, things like that that would go into the
- 9 final part cost.
- 10 So once we get the cost estimate for
- 11 each component, they're summed with the cost
- 12 estimate for the furnace. We conduct manufacturer
- 13 interviews to further refine the inputs to the
- 14 cost model. And so, this slide basically shows
- 15 the breakdown of what we're looking at when we're
- 16 talking about these costs. So the full
- 17 manufacturer selling price is broken down into the
- 18 production cost and the nonproduction cost. Your
- 19 nonproduction cost is mainly SG&A, R&D and
- 20 interest. And we'll cover a lot of that later
- 21 when we talk about how we get to the manufacturer
- 22 selling price from the manufacturer production

- 1 cost.
- 2 It's important to note that the
- 3 production cost includes direct labor, direct
- 4 material and overhead. And so, this slide is just
- 5 a general description of how we try to go about
- 6 doing the engineering analysis. So the first step
- 7 is usually to develop a baseline MPC. Then we
- 8 would look at the design options and the
- 9 technology used to achieve each efficiency level
- 10 higher than the baseline and that would help us to
- 11 develop an efficiency pathway by which
- 12 manufacturers would be able to achieve higher
- 13 efficiency levels. Then we use the cost modeling
- 14 and the tear-down results to calculate the MPCs
- 15 for each efficiency level above the baseline and
- 16 generate the cost efficiency curve which is the
- 17 relationship between the MPC and the efficiency
- 18 level.
- 19 So this slide summarizes the design
- 20 options that we primarily looked at. As I alluded
- 21 to at the end of the screening analysis, we've got
- 22 basically going from 80 to 81 and from 81 to 82 in

- 1 the gas and then from 81 to 82 in the oil, looked
- 2 primarily at increasing the surface area for the
- 3 primary heat exchanger and then of course to get
- 4 to the max tech level in both cases we would need
- 5 to have the addition of the condensing secondary
- 6 heat exchanger as the primary design that drives
- 7 efficiency. And of course with that, you know,
- 8 you would have cabinet size increases and
- 9 condensate disposal components and things like
- 10 that. But we'll talk about that in a bit.
- 11 So this slide is just to hopefully make
- 12 the results of the engineering analysis a little
- 13 bit more clear. As I mentioned earlier, the gas-
- 14 fired units are typically packaged in a single
- 15 package with a commercial air conditioner. And
- 16 the gas-fired commercial furnace results, they
- 17 only include the cost of the furnace components.
- 18 They don't include the cost of the air
- 19 conditioning components. We did that to try to
- 20 normalize out any cost differences that might have
- 21 been caused by the air conditioning.
- 22 So the cost at the higher efficiency

55 level, as I said, only reflects the changes to the furnace components. But they also do reflect the changes that would be necessitated for instance by the addition of a condensing heat exchanger. when we looked at the amount of cabinet space available, we saw that the overall cabinet size would need to increase to accommodate the secondary heat exchanger. And so, that incremental difference in cost was included in our 10 estimates. 11 And then lastly for the oil-fired, those 12 are -- as I mentioned, typically standalone units. They're not packaged with an air conditioner. the MPC for those actually reflects the entire unit cost rather than just a portion of the unit 17 cost. So now, this side --18 MR. SACHS: Adam? 19 MR. DARLINGTON: Yes?

MR. DARLINGTON: Yep.

MR. SACHS: If we could go back to that

20

21

22

slide, this is Harvey.

MR. SACHS: Do we find that there's 1 essentially zero freestanding non-weatherized commercial furnaces, gas-fired? 4 MR. DARLINGTON: No. There are some. MR. SACHS: There are some. Are they 2 percent of the models or 10 percent of the models? MR. DARLINGTON: It was about 7 percent I think I showed on that earlier slide. So the non- weatherized were standalone units, going back to the slide I showed in the market assessment. MR. SACHS: Okay. Thank you. I didn't 11 12 pick that up. 13 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah. What slide is that? Slide 21. Okay. So for the tear-down analysis for the gas-fired units, DOE tested and tore down two gas- fired units. One unit tested -17 - or sorry, rated at 80 percent and tested at 82. One rated at 82 and tested at 82. So basically 19 what happened with the engineering analysis is we 20 made an assumption based on the product 21 literature, based on the manufacturer feedback 22 during interviews about how the size of the heat

- 1 exchanger would change.
- 2 So we did the tear-down of the 82
- 3 percent and we estimated that about a 10 percent
- 4 increase in heat exchanger surface area gives you
- 5 a 1 percent increase in thermal efficiency. So we
- 6 used that estimate to sort of based on the tear-
- 7 down of the 82 percent back up the 80 and 81
- 8 percent thermal efficiency. And so, we modeled
- 9 the size difference of the heat exchanger based on
- 10 these assumptions to determine our MPC estimates.
- 11 And so, that's a little bit different I guess from
- 12 what we described with going from the baseline up
- 13 which is why I wanted to highlight that. But
- 14 essentially it leads to this. So this is our cost
- 15 efficiency curve for the gas-fired commercial
- 16 furnaces.
- 17 And as you can see down there in the
- 18 non- condensing range, you know, we're looking at
- 19 a 10 percent increase in heat exchanger material,
- 20 which is only translating to about \$5, \$6 at those
- 21 lower efficiency levels and then you see a really
- 22 big jump going up to condensing with the addition

- 1 of the secondary heat exchanger with the
- 2 additional cost of expanding the outer packaging
- 3 and then also with the additional cost of the
- 4 condensate disposal components as well. And so,
- 5 again, just a reminder, those are -- that's for
- 6 the furnace components only. So that would not be
- 7 an entire packaged rooftop system per se.
- And then, to the oil-fired, this one
- 9 would be standalone, as I mentioned. So that's --
- 10 this is for the entire unit. And again, looking
- 11 from 81 to 82, we're looking at about \$20 for heat
- 12 exchanger size increase and then 82 to 92, again
- 13 we're looking at condensing secondary heat
- 14 exchanger, expanding the outer jacket and
- 15 packaging. And then, so if we want to keep going,
- 16 after we get to MPCs, the next step is to go from
- 17 the MPCs to the MSPs which is the selling price
- 18 and we do that by applying manufacturer markup.
- 19 The markup is initially based on SEC 10K reports
- 20 from publicly-available, publicly-traded companies
- 21 that provide annual reports and information on
- 22 this.

- 1 And we calculate an industry average
- 2 markup based on market share weighting and then we
- 3 talk to manufacturers during our interviews about
- 4 the markup that we calculate. And if necessary,
- 5 we'll calibrate that based on manufacturer
- 6 feedback and so for this particular rulemaking
- 7 we're estimating a 1.31 markup for gas-fired
- 8 commercial furnaces and 1.28 for oil. And the end
- 9 result of the engineering analysis is the MSP.
- 10 And so, MSP as you can see on the slide, it equals
- 11 the MPC times the manufacturer markup plus the
- 12 shipping cost. So the manufacturer markup is
- 13 applied, the shipping cost is added and is not
- 14 marked up and that gives us the results shown on
- 15 this slide, as you can see how the MSP compares to
- 16 the MPC and it looks like we have a question.
- 17 MR. BROOKMAN: Joanna Mauer has a
- 18 question. Joanna, please speak.
- 19 MS. MAUER: Hi. This is Joanna Mauer
- 20 from ACEEE. I'm sorry. I wanted to just go back
- 21 for a minute to Harvey's comment about two stage
- 22 and modulating furnaces and ask a question which

- 1 is in applications where you are using heating
- 2 throughout the day, are there significant gas
- 3 savings in the field from two-stage or modulating
- 4 furnaces compared to single-stage units?
- 5 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- 6 MS. HOOTMAN: I would not -- this is
- 7 Jill Hootman from Trane. I haven't done direct
- 8 analysis of that. But I would say from my
- 9 experience not as much significant savings as
- 10 you've seen in cooling for sure. You know, the
- 11 industry does make two-stage and modulating. Like
- 12 I said, it has been my experience that those are
- 13 from more customer demands about the fact that
- 14 they have higher outside air percentages. It's not
- 15 necessarily about saving.
- 16 It's just about making it more
- 17 comfortable instead of blasts of full heat
- 18 exchanger on and off. It's more of a soft way of
- 19 handling the midrange temperatures inbetween at
- 20 that higher outside air. So it's more of a
- 21 comfort need than it is a demand for saving
- 22 significant energy.

		61
1	MR. BROOKMAN: Joanna?	OI
2	MS. MAUER: Thanks, Jill.	
3	MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you.	
4	Aniruddh Roy has a question or comment. Please,	
5	Aniruddh?	
6	MR. ROY: Thank you, Doug. So I just	
7	have a question regarding the manufacturer	
8	production cost, the incremental increase from 80	
9	to the next levels. As far as I guess, you know,	
10	you identify the increase in heat exchanger	
11	surface area as being the design option that leads	
12	to those changes or incremental increase.	
13	So have you considered the cost of maybe	
14	retooling in order to increase the heat exchanger	
15	surface area as well as the cost that would be	
16	incurred, let's say, to overcome any additional	
17	pressure drops through the heat exchanger and	
18	also, you know, to account for providing more	
19	airflow across the heat exchanger.	
20	And so, other components that may need	
21	to be factored into that, is all that rolled over	
22	into, let's say, an incremental \$10 cost from 80	

62 percent to 82 percent? 2 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah. So I mean, we 3 did a --4 MR. BROOKMAN: Adam Darlington. MR. DARLINGTON: Oh, sorry. Adam Darlington. So I think we did look at what you mentioned about, you know, the additional fan power that would be needed to overcome, you know, the additional pressure drop from maybe a larger heat exchanger. 10 11 So when we looked into this issue, you know, when we did the tear-downs, so from an 12 inducer fan side, we saw restrictor plates to restrict the airflow. So we assumed that they 15 could just be made less restrictive and be a relatively minor adaptation and so the inducer fan wouldn't actually need to change. 18 And then, as far as the actual blower, 19 the assumption there was that it's more size based 20 on the system ductwork than on anything related to 21 the furnace and that's what we kind of -- the 22 conclusion that we came to when we looked at the

- 1 product literature is that a lot of times that's
- 2 driven more by the cooling side and more by the
- 3 system that it's actually going into than the
- 4 furnace components.
- 5 But we certainly welcome, you know, your
- 6 feedback as to whether that's your experience or
- 7 whether, you know, changes need to be assumed for
- 8 that.
- 9 MR. ROY: Okay.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- MS. HOOTMAN: Do you have more,
- 12 Aniruddh? I don't want to interrupt you.
- MR. ROY: No, Jill. Please, go ahead.
- MS. HOOTMAN: So Jill Hootman, Trane.
- 15 So I've got a question. What you define as a
- 16 combustion blower or the fan for the space,
- 17 combustion blower is something that is blowing fan
- 18 -- you know, into the heat exchanger.
- 19 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah. No, I didn't
- 20 mean to say combustion blower, if I did.
- MS. HOOTMAN: Okay.
- 22 MR. DARLINGTON: So there's an inducer

- 1 fan, right?
- MS. HOOTMAN: Right, okay.
- MR. DARLINGTON: And so, there's usually
- 4 a blower which is the return air to the building.
- 5 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah, exactly. Okay, I
- 6 just wanted to understand what you were -- okay.
- 7 MR. DARLINGTON: Apologies if I
- 8 misstated, yeah.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Additional thoughts,
- 10 questions, comments here? Bob Whitwell, please,
- 11 you are now unmuted we hope.
- MR. WHITWELL: Yes. Thank you. This is
- 13 Bob Whitwell from Carrier. First, a question and
- 14 then a comment. So as I look at the technical
- 15 support document in the engineering section, I see
- 16 the \$5 that it cost to go from 80 to 81 and then
- 17 another \$5 roughly to go from 81 to 82. And it
- 18 appears that that analysis is all done on a
- 19 250,000 Btu per hour input, a heat exchanger,
- 20 which is basically at the lower end of the range
- 21 that DOE covers. The range has no upper end and
- 22 so we have gas heat sections that go up to 2

- 1 million Btu and beyond in the industry.
- 2 So my question to DOE and Navigant is
- 3 did you scale the cost based on input capacity or
- 4 did you use that same \$5 and \$10 independent of
- 5 the size of the heat exchangers?
- 6 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, this is Adam at
- 7 Navigant. So we do the analysis at a
- 8 representative capacity, as you pointed out, and
- 9 we -- the representative capacity was at 250,000.
- 10 And so, the assumption there is that serves as a
- 11 good point to judge the cost effectiveness. As
- 12 far as the engineering analysis goes, that's where
- 13 we stopped it. We didn't do any scaling at higher
- 14 or lower capacities. And I'll defer to the LBNL
- 15 team about whether that was included in the LCC,
- 16 if anything was done. Was that? No? Yeah, no.
- 17 So it's all based around the representative
- 18 capacity of 250,000.
- 19 MR. WHITWELL: Well, wouldn't you agree
- 20 that as you go up in heating capacity, the size of
- 21 the heat exchangers would grow and if you have to
- 22 increase the heat exchanger size by 10 percent or

- 1 20 percent, that the additional -- that the cost
- 2 would be much higher as you go up to larger sizes?
- 3 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike Rivest?
- 4 MR. RIVEST: Yes, absolutely. So you
- 5 know, in the selection of our representative unit
- 6 is meant to be -- you know, the economics are done
- 7 on that unit and then applied to the whole class.
- 8 The assumption is that the cost-benefit analysis
- 9 at 250,000 is representative of, say, the 1
- 10 million product class. So in this situation, the
- 11 cost of the product would go up but so would the
- 12 savings because the amount of energy used is a lot
- 13 greater. If you think there are reasons to
- 14 believe that those costs don't scale, you know, in
- 15 the same manner, then that's something that could
- 16 be looked at.
- 17 MR. BROOKMAN: Bob, you've still got
- 18 the floor.
- 19 MR. WHITWELL: Yeah, so I think that
- 20 that should be evaluated, whether there would be -
- 21 whether that scaling would hold as you go up in
- 22 size and may have to add not just change the

- 1 length but maybe add some additional heat sections
- 2 which would include additional inducer fans and
- 3 additional burners and gas valves.
- 4 MR. RIVEST: It would be helpful in your
- 5 comments if you like provided a capacity to us to
- 6 look at. So you know, maybe that could become a
- 7 second representative unit for us to look at.
- 8 MR. WHITWELL: Okay.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay.
- 10 MR. WHITWELL: Okay.
- 11 MR. CYMBALSKY: This is John from DOE.
- 12 Do we have the market data on what's being sold
- 13 capacity-wise that would help? So I think the
- 14 250,000 is the common -- a common size. Do we
- 15 have another?
- 16 FEMALE: [Off mic]
- 17 MR. CYMBALSKY: Well, I'm not asking for
- 18 all the data. How about, you know, if we're going
- 19 to do two representative units, maybe if a million
- 20 is the right one, can we get some data on the cost
- 21 of the million and we can work with that?
- 22 MR. BROOKMAN: I don't know whether

- 1 the manufacturers present can come gather that or
- 2 whether we need to rely on Aniruddh or somebody to
- 3 do a survey. He moved? Oh, sorry.
- 4 FEMALE: It would be Frank.
- 5 MR. BROOKMAN: Frank, okay. I can't
- 6 keep up with the movement. So thank you for the
- 7 request, John. Harvey Sachs?
- 8 MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs. Referring to
- 9 slide 42, we have 1.31 as the markup inferred for
- 10 gas- fired commercial furnaces. How does that
- 11 compare with the markup inferred for commercial
- 12 rooftop air conditioners? I'm just looking for a
- 13 calibration.
- 14 MR. DARLINGTON: One moment. Chris is
- 15 looking up what was used for the commercial air
- 16 conditioners. It should be the same.
- 17 MR. LAU: Yeah, it's roughly the same.
- MR. DARLINGTON: It's roughly the same.
- 19 MR. BROOKMAN: Chris Lau?
- 20 MR. LAU: Yeah. So for the -- this is
- 21 Chris Lau with Navigant. For the commercial air
- 22 conditioner rulemaking, there were a range of

- 1 capacity sizes and the markups there ranged from
- 2 roughly 1.3 at the low end to 1.4 for the very
- 3 largest units.
- 4 MR. SACHS: Thank you. That's
- reassuring about precision without stating
- 6 anything on accuracy. Thanks.
- 7 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- 8 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. But
- 9 agreed, Harvey, if we had that kind of range, I
- 10 mean, it's this kind of same range for these kind
- 11 of products. So maybe a flat 1.3, and I agree, we
- 12 need to possibly look at another size range and
- 13 let you know the distribution between those size
- 14 ranges, between the 250,000 and another size range
- 15 because the cost -- I believe the costs go up
- 16 exponentially versus the savings. But I'll have
- 17 to get those comments for you.
- MR. BROOKMAN: You don't think they
- 19 scale just directly.
- MS. HOOTMAN: No. It does not scale
- 21 directly.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey?

70 MR. SACHS: Thanks. As I said, my 1 mental -- this is Harvey. My mental model is that the manufacturer's processes are very similar because the units are integrated and so I was just looking to see that the inferred markups were similar. MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. MR. SACHS: I should have done my homework. 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Did you cover the engineering analysis slide? 11 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, and we moved to 12 13 the comment slide. 14 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Let's proceed with the presentation content. 16 MR. DARLINGTON: Okay. Yeah, so I mean, 17 I'd just --18 FEMALE: Push the mic, please. 19 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah. So this is Adam 20 So before we, you know, had those handful 21 of comments and that discussion, I was just 22 getting to actually the comment request slide. So

- 1 here we've got, you know, general request for
- 2 comments on the engineering analysis and in
- 3 particular we were looking for comment about the
- 4 efficiency levels analyzed, including the max tech
- 5 efficiency levels and specifically the 82 percent
- 6 thermal efficiency level.
- 7 And then we were also looking at --
- 8 looking for comment on the incremental
- 9 manufacturing costs above the baseline. And so, I
- 10 think we already had some discussion on that but
- 11 I'll turn it over to you, Doug.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Yes. We've begun to
- 13 cover that. We welcome any additional thoughts or
- 14 comments related to these comment boxes. Jill,
- 15 please?
- 16 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. I
- 17 think that we're ignoring an elephant in the room.
- 18 Eighty- two percent is condensing. It is
- 19 definitely condensing at parts of the operating
- 20 map. So I think we have to realize that we will
- 21 be developing not only aluminized but heavy-grade
- 22 aluminized and/or stainless steel heat exchangers

- 1 to meet an 82 percent, especially if we look at
- 2 lifetime reliability that we want to have on these
- 3 heat exchangers. So I think we've missed and our
- 4 comments will indicate that I think you've
- 5 miscalculated the amount of beefiness to the heat
- 6 exchanger that we'll have to make at 82 percent
- 7 because the operating map definitely has points
- 8 that condense.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 Mike?
- MR. RAY: Mike Ray. I would say that I
- 12 would agree with Jill but with one exception and
- 13 that is she said to perhaps beef up the aluminized
- 14 steel heat exchanger. I would think that
- 15 everybody would be going to stainless steel at
- 16 that point. You're at a --
- 17 MS. HOOTMAN: I'm just being nice.
- 18 MR. RAY: Yeah. As it is, when you look
- 19 at it, when you're in the 80, 81, 82 range, you're
- 20 at a point in some areas where you have condensing
- 21 furnaces and that hurts the longevity of the heat
- 22 exchanger itself.

7.3

- 1 I think Roger Hunt from our company
- 2 submitted comments in the last round and he
- 3 pointed out and attached a picture of a heat
- 4 exchanger that had only been into -- installed for
- 5 three to five years and it was all rusted out.
- 6 And in certain areas of the nation, that's going
- 7 to be an issue.
- 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 Yeah? Oh, thank you. Bob Whitwell, please.
- 10 You're unmuted.
- MR. WHITWELL: Okay. Okay, thank you.
- 12 This is Bob Whitwell, Carrier. I just wanted to
- 13 go back to the comment previously about larger
- 14 heat exchanger not having an impact on the air
- 15 side resistance. As we know, when you go from a
- 16 straight air conditioner to a year-round air
- 17 conditioner with gas heat, the minimum efficiency
- 18 level drops by two-tenths of a point and that's to
- 19 account for the increase in internal losses in the
- 20 cabinet due to the heat exchanger and the fan
- 21 power that's required to overcome those losses.
- 22 So in a packaged rooftop unit, the gas

74 furnace can account for as much as 40 to 45 percent of the total internal losses in the cabinet. So with that in mind, there is going to be some increase in fan power associated to increasing the heat exchangers by 10 to 20 percent. So just a comment. I know that the assumption was that there would be none. reality is that there will be something and so manufacturers will have to design to overcome 10 that. 11 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Doug Kosar, 12 you're next. Please speak. 13 MR. KOSAR: Can you hear me? MR. BROOKMAN: 14 15 MR. KOSAR: Yeah. I was referring back to a conversation five minutes ago, 10 minutes ago 17 regarding potential second size range to evaluate. 18 Based on some of my recent but limited 19 experience, there may be a point around 400,000 20 Btu per hour input where it may be common practice 21 to transition from a single furnace to two

furnaces in packaged equipment and that would be a

- 1 transition point where you'd see the additional
- 2 components associated with the second furnace, the
- 3 additional gas valve, inducer fan. That may be a
- 4 transition point where you may see a different
- 5 price regime.
- 6 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you. Do
- 7 we have additional, perhaps final comments in
- 8 response to the comment boxes here, engineering
- 9 analysis? Adam?
- 10 MR. DARLINGTON: Yeah, and I guess we
- 11 just wanted to follow up about the comments
- 12 regarding the 82 percent level and the certain, I
- 13 guess, portions of the country where you would
- 14 experience condensing in the heat exchanger. I
- 15 guess what portion of the country would you
- 16 estimate that that is a problem for or is there
- 17 kind of a general region?
- MR. BROOKMAN: Mike, you started with
- 19 this.
- MR. RAY: Yeah, I'd have to do some
- 21 research to tie down exactly where it is. But I
- 22 am aware of certain areas of the country where

- 1 we've witnessed that from our salesforce and not
- 2 necessarily on our equipment by the way.
- 3 MR. DARLINGTON: Okay.
- 4 MR. RAY: I'd just point that out.
- 5 MR. VON WENTZEL: Constantin von
- 6 Wentzel, Navigant, here. In particular, we would
- 7 appreciate comments in terms of your unit
- 8 shipments. It's not necessarily geographic area
- 9 but, you know, what percentage of your products
- 10 are affected by these kinds of areas where 82
- 11 percent in your estimation requires the use of
- 12 stainless steel heat exchangers.
- 13 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Any additional
- 14 thoughts or comments here before we take a break?
- 15 Let's take a break. It's 10:45. We'll break for
- 16 15 minutes, which means we'll resume right at
- 17 11:00. And we'll probably go a little bit past
- 18 noon before we break for lunch since we had this
- 19 window of opportunity here. So please make sure
- 20 to wear your badge visible in the building. I
- 21 think you know the restrooms are on both ends of
- 22 the hall. There's a coffee shop down on the

- 1 ground floor and I'll see you and start back up at
- 2 11:00.
- 3 (WHEREUPON, the foregoing recessed.)
- 4 MR. BROOKMAN: So let's resume, pick
- 5 up where we left off and I believe that was slide
- 6 45, energy use, markups analysis. We've had
- 7 really good comments so far. Appreciate that.
- 8 Let's keep that going. And we're going to hear
- 9 from Victor Franco.
- 10 MR. FRANCO: Good morning. My name is
- 11 Victor Franco. I'm from Lawrence Berkeley
- 12 National Laboratory and I'll be presenting now the
- 13 markups and energy use analysis. So the markups
- 14 analyses are used to determine the commercial
- 15 consumer prices from the manufacturer's selling
- 16 price, discussed in the engineering analysis, for
- 17 both baseline and higher efficiency equipment.
- The appropriate markups for determining
- 19 commercial consumer equipment prices depend on the
- 20 type of distribution channels through which the
- 21 equipment moves from the manufacturers to
- 22 purchasers. At each point in the distribution

- 1 channel, companies mark up the price of the
- 2 equipment to cover their business costs and profit
- 3 margin. There are two primary types of
- 4 distribution channels, described the way most
- 5 equipment passes from manufacturer to consumer as
- 6 shown in the two flowcharts here, one involving
- 7 distributors and contractors and one of the
- 8 manufacturers to the consumer via a national
- 9 account.
- 10 While these two primary channels are
- 11 also distinguished by new and replacement market
- 12 segments, as shown here, also the DOE also
- 13 distinguishes between small and large mechanical
- 14 contractors. The fractions for each are shown in
- 15 this slide as well. As we can see, the primary
- 16 distribution channel is going from the
- 17 manufacturer, wholesaler to either the small or
- 18 large mechanical contractor and then to consumer.
- 19 The national account goes from the manufacturer
- 20 and as a proxy we include the wholesaler markup as
- 21 well and then to the commercial consumer.
- To actually get the markups for each of

- 1 these market participants, we take into account
- 2 the direct cost, expenses and profits from various
- 3 sources. As described before in the engineering
- 4 analysis, the manufacturer markup includes the
- 5 U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 10K
- 6 reports. For the wholesaler markup, we use the
- 7 2012 profit report from HARDI. For the mechanical
- 8 contractor markups, we use ACCA 2005 financial
- 9 analysis report, together with the U.S. Census
- 10 Bureau 2007 economic census for the plumbing and
- 11 HVAC contractor sector. For the general
- 12 contractor markup, we use also the U.S. Census
- 13 Bureau 2007 economic census for the commercial
- 14 building construction sector. In addition, we
- 15 include sales taxes. These come from 2013 Sales
- 16 Tax clearinghouse data.
- 17 For this analysis, we include both
- 18 baseline markups and incremental markups.
- 19 Baseline markups relate the MSP of baseline
- 20 equipment to commercial consumer customer purchase
- 21 price. Incremental markups relate the increase in
- 22 MSP of more efficient equipment to the increase in

- 1 commercial consumer purchase price. These costs
- 2 cover only the expenses that vary with the MSP
- 3 such as operating expenses and profits. Fixed-
- 4 costs such as overhead and labor do not scale with
- 5 increased efficiency. DOE applied the baseline
- 6 markets to the baseline level and incremental
- 7 markups to the incremental difference in MSP.
- 8 MR. RAY: Doug, do you want comments or
- 9 questions?
- 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Please, yes. Whenever
- 11 you -- yes, go ahead, Mike.
- MR. RAY: Mike Ray. Excuse me. On
- 13 slide 46, when we look at the either the
- 14 replacement or the new construction, the bottom
- 15 version where it says manufacturer national
- 16 account and then commercial consumer, in those
- 17 steps, where's the contractor in that because
- 18 somebody has to do the installation and somebody
- 19 has -- and they have to have their markup involved
- 20 in that as well. So in that step somewhere there
- 21 has to be a contractor and their markups and
- 22 everything involved in that sales step, so.

MR. FRANCO: Correct. We're just 1 referring to the national account. This is Victor Franco, national account. We assumed that it goes directly from mostly the manufacturer to commercial consumer. Many times these might involve just in-house contractors. But please submit your comments to correct that. 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike, follow-on? 9 MR. RAY: Okay. Mike Ray. Yeah, in general, our internal group is there to support the -- to support the large and small contractors 11 who do the actual installations themselves. 12 as such, we're not doing performance contracting per se and so we don't have a group that goes out 15 and does the installations. In this model, as you 16 see here, the piece that is missing is that contractor there that needs to -- that needs to 18 have the markup and all. I think --19 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike, does the

> (866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2015

commercial consumer, does that person generally

hire the contractor or is that person associated

20

21

22

with the wholesaler?

82 Well, yeah. Jill, you want to 1 MR. RAY: 2 3 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill, go ahead please. MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. There's every flavor with the national accounts. So you know, if you're a Walmart, you might have two key contractors across the United States that do all your installations. Yes, we might be selling directly to Walmart but Walmart will still have to pay that contractor for the installation and/or, you know, all the other sheet metal and 11 all the other stuff that has to happen. They're 12 13 paying them for that. So there is that incremental step and 14 15 then there are other cases where they really -the national accounts really act just like the step above. They go through the chain just like that. The only uniqueness I think that really 18 19 falls in national accounts is that we might not 20 sell to a wholesaler. We sell directly. It goes -21 - the invoices directly invoice to them. 22 there still is a contractor. They don't have their

- 1 own contracting arms for all intents and purposes.
- 2 They might have somebody who goes around and
- 3 repairs units and does some of those kinds of
- 4 things.
- 5 But actual change out of units, they're
- 6 almost always going to a licensed contractor to do
- 7 that. Yes, some of our companies do have
- 8 performance contracting arms of our businesses.
- 9 But they're acting as a contractor too and they're
- 10 getting -- they have a profit stream just, you
- 11 know, a margin markup just as they would as a
- 12 contractor as well.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs.
- 14 MR. SACHS: This is Harvey Sachs, and
- 15 recognizing the enormous variety, particular OEM-
- 16 owned distribution versus independent
- 17 distribution, national accounts and everything
- 18 else, I guess I'm old school and always thought
- 19 markup referred to the value added by someone who
- 20 takes ownership of the equipment.
- 21 So the contractor on a national account
- 22 where you're doing a direct sale to the ultimate

84 owner will hire a contractor to do the installing and the sheet metal and all of that. But is there -- and he has a profit margin. But is he really seeing a markup on the equipment itself? 5 MS. HOOTMAN: He is putting a markup on MR. BROOKMAN: Jill, please. MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. is putting -- they are putting a markup on it. It may not be at the same markup if they were to, like you said, take on that responsibility of 11 buying the unit and warrantying the unit and all 12 of that, the long -- you know, so it might not be the same. But there still is a markup. You know, it's been a while since I've been in that business 15 16 and being on the sale side of things. So I can't 17 quote the numbers. But there is. There is 18 something there. 19 MR. SACHS: So I think we're all saying 20 the same thing, that it's pretty heterogeneous and 21 hard to analyze appropriately. 22 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?

```
MR. RAY: Mike Ray. I would say that
1
   the top model really is -- explains the whole
   process. Now, there may be a little bit of a less
   step involved with national accounts. But in
   general, the top example is the best example of
   how the whole process works.
7
             MR. BROOKMAN:
                               Can you comment on the
   distributions that are listed there, the
   quantities?
10
             MR. RAY: As far as?
11
             MR. BROOKMAN:
                               Well, do you think
   they're in the ballpark or not?
12
             MR. CYMBALSKY: Well, I guess the real
13
   question -- this is John, from DOE. The real
   question is I think we're all recognizing that the
   national accounts might have a different markup,
   if in fact the cut of the markup might be a little
   different. So what I guess DOE is going to say is
19
   we think -- let's just look at the replacement
20
   side here. We think that 14 percent falls into
21
   that bucket.
22
             I guess what we're asking from you is
```

- 1 let's assume that that's the correct 14 percent.
- 2 How does that differ then the model that Mike just
- 3 described that's more normal? And we agree. We
- 4 say, you know, the preponderance of the
- 5 replacement market's going through there. But if
- 6 we both agree that there's this national accounts
- 7 bucket that's a little bit different, how would
- 8 the markup be different from the top set of
- 9 flowcharts there?
- 10 MS. HOOTMAN: I can't say without doing
- 11 a little research.
- MR. CYMBALSKY: Okay. I quess that's
- 13 what we're looking for. So I think -- and maybe
- 14 it's just 1 or 2 percent or whatever. But I think
- 15 we all recognize there might be a little different
- 16 set of streams there and for that process. So to
- 17 the extent we can differentiate, great. If we
- 18 can't, we'd like to hear that comment as well.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, Alex?
- 20 MR. LEKOV: Alex Lekov, Lawrence
- 21 Berkeley National Laboratory. So just to clarify,
- 22 if in this - if in this chain, based on your

- 1 input, is it fair if we replace the wholesale
- 2 label with the contractor label if this will be a
- 3 better presentation of this channel?
- 4 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- 5 MS. HOOTMAN: So Jill Hootman, Trane.
- 6 So you have a manufacturer to essentially a retail
- 7 owner, right, direct to an owner. That's where
- 8 the sale of the equipment might happen. And you
- 9 also have manufacturer to a contractor in the
- 10 national accounts.
- 11 So the sale of the equipment itself
- 12 could be two different ways: directly to that
- 13 eventual owner, Target, Walmart, whatever or to a
- 14 contractor.
- In the case that it went to Walmart,
- 16 Walmart then contracts with a contract to make
- 17 that installation of that unit and pay a price to
- 18 them. So there's the step of the contractor after
- 19 the sale of the equipment.
- 20 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?
- 21 MR. RAY: Mike Ray, and if the
- 22 contractor wants to stay in business, he needs to

- 1 mark up that equipment. And if the contractor's
- 2 not a smart businessman and doesn't mark up for
- 3 the cost of that equipment, then eventually it
- 4 will drive him out of business.
- 5 So he's got to take into account because
- 6 most of the time the national accounts require
- 7 that you maintain it or you're responsible for it
- 8 for a period of one year. Under those
- 9 circumstances, you know, the contractor, he has to
- 10 put his warranty in it as well as his markups in
- 11 order to make sure that it's a profitable business
- 12 for him.
- 13 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay, thank you.
- 14 MR. FRANCO: Thank you for those
- 15 comments. Victor Franco. Now, here are the
- 16 different markups for the different markup
- 17 participants that were calculated using the data
- 18 sources I reported before. So we have the
- 19 wholesaler, mechanical contractor, general
- 20 contractor and sales taxes. For the replacement
- 21 market, we include sales taxes and for new
- 22 construction we don't include sales taxes.

- 1 The overall markups calculated then are
- 2 shown here in the overall markup and for the
- 3 national account they're lower as shown here as
- 4 well. The table below includes the overall markup
- 5 for the sample and we have a gas-fired commercial
- 6 warm air furnaces and oil-fired commercial warm
- 7 air furnaces. So you can see the baseline markup
- 8 and the incremental markup here.
- 9 Just to note that this includes
- 10 weighting of, for example, new construction. We
- 11 assume that for gas-fired commercial warm air
- 12 furnaces 80 percent of the market is at the
- 13 replacement and 20 percent are new construction
- 14 while for oil-fired CWAFs, they're 95 percent and
- 15 5 percent new construction. So we invite any
- 16 additional comments for the markup analysis at his
- 17 point.
- 18 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
- 19 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. So I
- 20 think we were saying a little bit earlier,
- 21 especially when we asked you and Harvey asked you
- 22 how does this compare with CUAC. These are the

- 1 exact same units. I realize that we don't have
- 2 the break necessarily in this rule.
- 3 But no question, the costs and the
- 4 markups are more when you start to talk about the
- 5 larger sizes here and the larger package units.
- 6 So the scaling that that has might be more
- 7 appropriately used or maybe you're weighting it
- 8 somehow, agreed you don't necessarily have the
- 9 sales distribution. But you now, we have to
- 10 consider that I think because it's the exact -- it
- 11 works exactly the same as CUAC.
- MR. FRANCO: Thank you for that comment.
- 13 MR. BROOKMAN: Additional thoughts
- 14 related to markups analysis before we move on?
- 15 Okay.
- 16 MR. FRANCO: Thank you. Victor Franco
- 17 again. Now we're going to talk about the energy
- 18 use characterization. We determined the annual
- 19 energy consumption for commercial warm air
- 20 furnaces at the different considered efficiency
- 21 levels, defined the annual energy cost and
- 22 savings. This is an important part of the

- 1 lifecycle cost analysis and payback period
- 2 analysis. So I'll be discussing this later today.
- 3 The basic method is we take into account
- 4 the total energy use. We take into account the
- 5 fuel use and the electricity use. For this fuel
- 6 use, we basically calculate the burner operating
- 7 hours and multiply that by the input capacity.
- 8 The input capacity is fixed 250 kBtu per hour as
- 9 discussed earlier.
- 10 The burner operating hours are
- 11 determined using the thermal efficiency at the
- 12 specific efficiency level and they take into
- 13 account the heating building load based on CBECS
- 14 and RECS data. And they also the existing
- 15 efficiency of the existing commercial furnace and
- 16 some adjustment factors that I'll be discussing in
- 17 two slides.
- 18 The electricity use is also taken into
- 19 account and I'll be discussing that in further
- 20 detail. But to note that it includes the impact of
- 21 non-heating season energy use, specifically for
- 22 condensing furnaces because of the circulating fan

- 1 used in air conditioning. Before going in further
- 2 detail in terms of the energy use calculations, I
- 3 wanted to take a look at the building sample, how
- 4 we determined what buildings were using what type
- 5 of furnace.
- 6 So first, we take CBECS and RECS sample
- 7 and we determine if they are -- if they have
- 8 furnaces that are used in the building. Then, we
- 9 take a count, the criteria for the square footage
- 10 to determine if they're actually using a
- 11 commercial equipment or they're using residential
- 12 equipment. In the case of commercial equipment,
- 13 we assume that commercial space of 3,750 square
- 14 feet is the minimum to be commercial size.
- And finally, we take into account what
- 16 fuel type the furnace. If it's gas, then it goes
- 17 to the gas-fired commercial furnaces sample. If
- 18 it's oil, it goes to the oil-fired commercial
- 19 furnaces sample. On the slide, there's a table
- 20 that lists what the actual count of the different
- 21 -- in our sample for CBECS and RECS. As you can
- 22 see, there's close to 1,500 buildings that are

- 1 being sampled for gas-fired and a little bit more
- 2 than the hundred buildings for oil- fired
- 3 equipment. Now, let's look a little bit more
- 4 detail in terms of how we calculate the heating
- 5 fuel use.
- 6 So as I mentioned before, we're taking
- 7 into account the burner operating hours times the
- 8 input capacity of the commercial furnace. The
- 9 burning operating hours are the building heating
- 10 load divided by the useful output. What we mean
- 11 by useful output is any -- obviously the output
- 12 capacity of the furnace but we also take into
- 13 account any electrical components that might
- 14 provide heat. The building load in 2018 accounts
- 15 for many adjustments to the building load and it's
- 16 based on the heating use, energy use that's
- 17 provided from CBECS and RECS data. Then we
- 18 multiply this by the thermal efficiency of the
- 19 existing unit and we do a number of adjustments.
- 20 We adjust for average climate
- 21 conditions. We also adjust for climate change,
- 22 projections by 2018 and also for the building

- 1 shell efficiency. Finally, we take into account
- 2 how many commercial furnaces are used to meet the
- 3 heating load because we're using a single capacity
- 4 of 250, a lot of buildings will actually use more
- 5 than one unit. So our threshold is 7,500 square
- 6 feet per commercial furnace. So if a building has
- 7 15,000 square feet, we assume that it has two
- 8 units that are being used and we are just
- 9 calculating -- for the impacts, we are just
- 10 calculating that one unit. But we divide the
- 11 energy use by two essentially for that specific
- 12 example.
- 13 So these are the results of the fuel use
- 14 for our building sample. So again, for gas-fired
- 15 we have close to 1,500 buildings that are used in
- 16 our analysis. The original energy use that's
- 17 being reported from CBECS and RECS is around 210
- 18 million Btus and our calculations by 2018, because
- 19 of the adjustment factors that I talked about
- 20 before, we're calculating 163 by 2018. Similar is
- 21 for oil-fired, from 161 reported by CBECS and RECS
- 22 to a little bit more than 117 million Btus per

- 1 year and this is per unit. Next, we calculate the
- 2 electricity use.
- 3 So the electricity use is basically we
- 4 multiply the burner operating hours times the
- 5 power of the electrical component that are typical
- 6 in a furnace such as circulating fan, inducer,
- 7 ignition device and we adjust that by a ratio of
- 8 the electrical components on time to the burner on
- 9 time. In addition, we take into account auxiliary
- 10 equipment outside of the furnace such as the
- 11 condensate pumps and heat tape that might be used.
- 12 Finally, we take -- yes?
- MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs?
- MR. SACHS: Yes, Harvey Sachs. Victor,
- 15 I'm confused on slide 53. When you in your table
- 16 say average energy use it's fairly clear you're
- 17 using from the distributions on the graph that
- 18 you're using the mean rather than the median.
- MR. FRANCO: That is correct, yes.
- 20 These are average, yes. The median would be
- 21 slightly less. The result would be less. But this
- 22 is the average. You're correct, the mean.

MR. SACHS: My eyeball may be just 1 distorting, but it looks like it'd be a lot less. 3 MR. FRANCO: Yeah, I believe that's --I'm not sure I understand MR. SACHS: why you chose the mean. But it may be I'm not thinking straight. 7 MR. FRANCO: No. Yeah, no. You are correct. They're about 10 to 15 percent less. Yeah, the mean -- the actual median is reported in the TSD and their values are below there. 11 MR. SACHS: Thank you. MR. FRANCO: So going back, this is 12 Victor Franco. The electricity use, we take into account the difference in electricity use for the circulating fan in the cooling mode and we take into account the standby energy use as well. values for the different components for in terms of power are listed here. The circulating fan is 19 assumed to be about 1,500 watts which is about a 20 two horsepower unit. The inducer fan is about a hundred 21

watts, the ignition device, 25, and 10 for the

- 1 standby. Here are the summary results. So again,
- 2 here are the average values, as we saw before.
- 3 The average value for the baseline for the fuel
- 4 use, 163 and the electricity use, a little bit
- 5 more than a thousand kWh. And the savings for
- 6 both the gas-fired and the oil-fired equipment.
- 7 MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs?
- 8 MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs. I'm missing
- 9 something. Your 80 percent TE on page -- slide 55
- 10 is 163.4 annual fuel use which is a whole bunch
- 11 lower than the 210 on slide 53 and I'm not seeing
- 12 the different derivations immediately.
- MR. FRANCO: Yes, thank you for that
- 14 clarification. So the 210 represents the actual
- 15 reported CBECS and RECS energy use and to the side
- 16 we have the estimated heating in 2018 which is
- 17 163.4 which you see in the table. The primary
- 18 difference is these adjustment factors for average
- 19 climate conditions, the building shell efficiency
- 20 and some accounting of the climate change by 2018.
- 21 I hope this clarifies.
- MR. CYMBALSKY: So 15 years of things

```
that happen, right?
2
             MR. FRANCO: This is again -- the most
   data -- most of the data comes from CBECS 2003
   which is -- it was in 2003. We're trying to
   project to 2018, 15 years. a lot of things have
   changed in terms of building shell and --
7
             MR. SACHS: It's a 20 percent
   difference.
9
             MR. FRANCO: Correct and also the
  efficiency of the units.
10
11
             MR. CYMBALSKY: The regional -- well,
   the regional difference, right? So a lot of the
12
   building construction has moved south in this
   country. So the heating degree day average when
   you look from 2003 to 2018 is a big deal.
16
             MR. SACHS: Okay. This is Harvey.
17
   Thank you, John and Victor.
18
             MR. BROOKMAN: Jill?
19
             MS. HOOTMAN: No.
20
             MR. BROOKMAN:
                               Okay.
21
             MS. HOOTMAN: I'll wait. I think his
```

22 next slide is going to help me.

- MR. FRANCO: So yes, let's move to the 1 request for comment. So we're requesting comment on the use of CBECS and RECS for determining the energy consumption of this equipment in both residential and commercial buildings. We seek comments on the actual methodology for determining the energy use for commercial equipment and any other -- any other comments related to the energy use characterization. 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill? 11 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. So you know, as we said before, we think that we 12 would recommend not just only analysis at 250,000 but at some other higher point and, yes, we'll 15 bring those comments on what recommendation of what that is. If so, then isn't it true, like on slide 54, you'd go through that calculation for 18 that as well as when we recommend another upper --
 - MR. FRANCO: Yeah, correct. We would

okay, I just wanted to make sure about that.

19

20

Okay.

22 actually assign some buildings with a higher input

100 capacity as appropriate and others with the lower capacity. 3 MS. HOOTMAN: Right. MR. BROOKMAN: Michael McCabe? MR. MCCABE: It's Michael McCabe. Following up on Harvey's question and looking at slide 53, if you compare those figures for the 2003 CBECS and what you're projecting in 2018, how do those compare to what the AEO is forecasting? 10 MR. FRANCO: Good question. The AEO does actually use -- right now it uses CBECS 2003. 11 We're using the same assumptions in terms of the 12 building shell, the climate and the correction for average climate conditions. So they're actually 15 very, very close. 16 MR. MCCABE: Michael McCabe. 17 2018 figures are close to what the AEO is 18 projecting? 19 MR. FRANCO: That is correct, yes. 20 We're using exactly the same parameters. 21 building shell index actually comes from AEO for 22 the commercial side and the projections for

101 climate change come from AEO as well and the normalization to normal conditions is the same methodology that AEO 2013 uses. 4 MR. MCCABE: Thank you. MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, Mike? MR. RAY: Mike Ray. As we look at our electricity use, I'm guessing that we probably haven't taken into account the impact of a variable frequency drives that are being mandated 10 as part of ASHRAE. 11 FEMALE: [Off mic] motors. 12 MR. RAY: Yeah, and so from a constant fan as well as other operations, the variable frequency drive will adjust the speed of those 15 fans and will reduce the energy use in certain 16 applications and then, so I'm sure that wasn't considered. 17 18 MS. HOOTMAN: But --19 MR. FRANCO: Thank you for those 20 comments. Please if you can provide those in 21 writing, that would be helpful as well. 22 MR. BROOKMAN: Additional thoughts on

- 1 these comment boxes? Frank Stanonik, welcome.
- 2 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI. And
- 3 this has come up in a couple other commercial
- 4 rulemakings. I believe it's the 2012 CBECS data
- 5 is being worked over somewhere I guess. What's
- 6 the -- do you have any better sense of whether
- 7 that data will be released in time to be
- 8 considered in this rulemaking?
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: John Cymbalsky?
- 10 MR. CYMBALSKY: I'm noting that we have
- 11 an EIA employee in the room. I'm curious if Kevin
- 12 wants to --
- MR. BROOKMAN: Do you wish to step
- 14 forward to this microphone here, please?
- 15 MR. JARZOMSKI: Hi, Kevin Jarzomski.
- 16 Kevin Jarzomski, EIA. I don't actually work on
- 17 CBECS but as I understand it, they've already
- 18 begun releasing characteristic data and the energy
- 19 consumption data should be released later in the
- 20 summertime. So it's forthcoming.
- 21 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay, thank you. Doug
- 22 Kosar, please. You're unmuted I hope.

103 MR. KOSAR: Yes, thank you. This is 1 Doug Kosar, GTI. MR. BROOKMAN: Doug, you're just 3 slightly garbled. Please get close to your telephone or whatever you're using. 6 MR. KOSAR: Okay. I'm using a mic on my computer. Is that any better? 8 MR. BROOKMAN: That's better. Yeah, get close. 10 MR. KOSAR: I had a question on slide 55 and then a comment as well. On slide 55, are you 11 showing positive kWh savings over the course of 12 13 the year? MR. FRANCO: Yes, that is correct. It 14 is a little bit of an artifact of how we conduct 15 the analysis in terms of having a fixed input capacity. Because we have a fixed input capacity for both the 80 percent thermal efficiency and 92 19 percent thermal efficiency, the actual -- and a 20 lot of the components are similar, for example, 21 the burner and other, that there's an actual 22 savings because of reduced operating hours.

- 1 You have about 12, 13 percent higher
- 2 efficiency. That offsets the cooling. I didn't
- 3 go into detail how we calculate the cooling. We
- 4 assume 5 percent increased energy use in the
- 5 cooling side because of having the secondary heat
- 6 exchanger. So if you could provide any data, that
- 7 would be very helpful for us.
- 8 MR. KOSAR: Yeah, we've experienced
- 9 quite the contrary with the -- especially when we
- 10 go to condensing level efficiency. The
- 11 incremental pressure drop in these systems do
- 12 experience that year-round with the inline
- 13 components if we're talking about an RTU. So we
- 14 saw additional kWh consumption over the course of
- 15 the year since they were fitted with these higher
- 16 heat exchangers. So I would take a second look at
- 17 those savings calculations because it should
- 18 actually be negative as you increase to a higher
- 19 efficiency level.
- 20 And one other general comment, I know
- 21 we're talking about average savings for commercial
- 22 warm air furnaces. What we've seen in actual

- 1 monitoring in the field is huge diversity in the
- 2 runtime of RTUs which basically equates to your
- 3 burner on-time. So we may see equivalent hours
- 4 that range here in Chicago from say, 1,200 kWh to
- 5 literally zero on some RTUs that are serving the
- 6 core of a building in a large footprint- type of
- 7 structure.
- 8 So I understand we're looking at the big
- 9 picture here in terms of average numbers. But the
- 10 reality on any given building is that you've got
- 11 significant diversity in runtimes of these
- 12 commercial warm air furnaces and RTUs and savings
- 13 you see on average you're going to see a much
- 14 wider range in actual practice.
- MR. FRANCO: Thank you for your comment.
- 16 We tried to take that into account using the
- 17 building sample, as you can see on the previous
- 18 slide. We have a variety of field use. You would
- 19 expect to see the same electricity -- differences
- 20 in electricity use from our analysis.
- 21 MR. KOSAR: Yeah, the point I was trying
- 22 to make, I think on any given building you're

- 1 going to have a distributed group of RTUs on the
- 2 rooftop that those that serve the core of the
- 3 building must be much higher runtime than those
- 4 that serve the corner of the building, much
- 5 shorter.
- 6 MR. FRANCO: Thank you. Appreciate your
- 7 comment.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Yeah, thanks, Doug.
- 9 Final comments on these request boxes on slide 56?
- 10 Anything related to energy use characterization
- 11 you wish to add? Yes, Mike?
- 12 MR. RAY: Mike Ray. Victor, in the
- 13 calculation, did you take advantage of the ASHRAE
- 14 building models and utilize those as the baseline?
- MR. FRANCO: No. We did not. But we
- 16 were able to compare it to those models and our
- 17 energy use estimates take into account all the
- 18 adjustments are similar. This provides a little
- 19 bit more of a wider distribution as you would see
- 20 in the field.
- 21 MR. RAY: Okay. As follow-up to that
- 22 then, you said a little different than what

- 1 ASHRAE, a little different being 2 percent, 10
- 2 percent, 20 percent? What's --
- 3 MR. FRANCO: So previous ASHRAE
- 4 estimates, but this was back maybe five or more
- 5 years ago, were similar to the CBECS, around 200
- 6 million Btu. Our estimates are now because of all
- 7 these adjustments is down to 160. If we use the
- 8 same building model and adjusted it, we should get
- 9 about the same, 160.
- 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Final comments, because
- 11 we're going to move on here. Okay.
- MR. FRANCO: Thank you. Victor Franco
- 13 again. Now, we're moving to lifecycle cost and
- 14 payback period analysis. The first slide here is
- 15 just an overview of the lifecycle cost and payback
- 16 analysis, is showing the different inputs that we
- 17 use. On the top of this flowchart we're showing
- 18 the total - how to calculate the total installed
- 19 cost. As in the engineering analysis, one of the
- 20 major inputs is the manufacturer cost. Then we've
- 21 already described the markups. Those two
- 22 components give us the equipment price. In

- 1 addition to that, we consider installation costs.
- In the lower part of this flowchart, we
- 3 consider the operating costs. So we've already
- 4 described the energy use characterization. That
- 5 will give us the energy consumption. We then also
- 6 calculate the marginal energy prices, either
- 7 natural gas or electricity, to produce annual
- 8 energy costs. The operating costs also include
- 9 repair and maintenance costs to produce the annual
- 10 operating expenses.
- In addition to that, we take into
- 12 account the lifetime discount rate energy price
- 13 trends to come up with the lifetime operating
- 14 costs. So both the components, the total
- 15 installed cost and the lifetime operating cost
- 16 give us the lifecycle cost and the payback period
- 17 as well.
- 18 So looking a little bit more at how we
- 19 conduct the lifecycle cost and payback period
- 20 analysis, it's an economic evaluation that the
- 21 commercial consumer perspective. The equations
- 22 are as shown here and in addition the analysis

- 1 models, the uncertainty and variability of the
- 2 inputs using the Monte Carlo approach and
- 3 probability distributions using Excel and Crystal
- 4 Ball. We've included as part of the documents
- 5 that are publicly available a spreadsheet, an LCC
- 6 spreadsheet that has all these calculations.
- 7 This is just a summary of all the inputs
- 8 that I described before. I won't read all through
- 9 this but just it's good to if you want to go and
- 10 compare it to the flowchart, the definitions and
- 11 the different methodologies that we've used. I'll
- 12 go in more detail in a lot of these in the next
- 13 few slides.
- 14 First, we have the commercial consumer
- 15 equipment prices. So we discussed we used markups
- 16 to convert the manufacturer production cost into
- 17 the actual commercial consumer equipment prices.
- 18 In addition to this, we have used PPI data to
- 19 project price trends over the analysis period, as
- 20 you can see in the graph shown below.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Michael McCabe?
- MR. MCCABE: It's Michael McCabe.

- 1 Victor, this consumer price trend, is that applied
- 2 to both the base case and the standards case?
- 3 MR. FRANCO: Victor Franco. That is
- 4 correct, yes, base case and standards case. So to
- 5 produce the total installed costs, we have also a
- 6 major component is the installation cost. We have
- 7 three major components to the installation cost
- 8 for this equipment. First off, we have the basic
- 9 installation costs and again, as discussed
- 10 earlier, we're only accounting for the
- 11 installation costs of part of the equipment. This
- 12 is a lot of times it's part of a packaged
- 13 equipment. We're trying to only include
- 14 installation costs related to the furnace
- 15 component.
- 16 These basic installation costs include
- 17 putting in place, heating up the furnace, the
- 18 piping related to the gas or oil equipment,
- 19 ductwork hookup, electrical hookup, any permit
- 20 removal and disposal fees. This would be the same
- 21 for all efficiency levels. The adders for higher
- 22 efficiency equipment would be the next two items.

- 1 For a lot of this, weatherized condensing
- 2 equipment, this higher efficiency equipment
- 3 includes the condensate withdrawal costs. These
- 4 include pipe for the actual condensate, the pump,
- 5 condensate neutralizer, heat tape and electrical
- 6 outlet. The next slide will show you in more
- 7 detail these costs.
- 8 In addition to that, for estimation of
- 9 installation costs for indoor equipment, we have a
- 10 thorough methodology to calculate these costs
- 11 household to household. In terms of how the
- 12 venting and condensate withdrawal costs are
- 13 applied, we take into account the installation
- 14 location of this equipment. We assume that 95
- 15 percent of gas-fired equipment is installed
- 16 outdoors and 5 percent of equipment is installed
- 17 indoors. For oil-fired equipment, we assume that
- 18 all equipment is installed indoors. So for this
- 19 indoor equipment, we include these venting
- 20 installation costs.
- 21 Our data sources include our 2013 RS
- 22 Means mechanical cost data, manufacturer

112 literature and consulting information. 2 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike? 3 MR. MCCABE: Hate to step back, but Victor, on slide 62, did you have different installation costs for new construction versus replacement in there? 7 MR. FRANCO: Yes. Yes, we do. We have a cost model for replacements and a different cost model for new construction, which includes, for example, the builder markups and includes having to higher cost for having to replace the 11 equipment. If you have to vent the equipment, 12 then all equipment is assumed to be required new venting for new --15 MR. MCCABE: Also the condensate, the handling of the condensate that's unique for an existing building versus what it would be for a 18 new construction. 19 MR. FRANCO: Exactly, yes. 20 MR. MCCABE: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. FRANCO: Thank you for that comment. Since condensate withdrawal is one of the main 22

- 1 components for the installation cost for
- 2 condensing equipment, here are some of the
- 3 assumptions that we use. For the condensate pipe,
- 4 essentially for all installations we consider it
- 5 both indoor and outdoor. The condensate pump is
- 6 for 50 percent of installations that don't have
- 7 CUAC. So that would be mainly for indoor
- 8 equipment. For freeze protection is applied to
- 9 all of the installations, a hundred percent of the
- 10 outdoor installations. So that's pretty much all
- 11 installations of gas-fired. The electrical outlet
- 12 is supplied if we include a pump or a heat tape
- 13 for 50 percent of the installations. And the
- 14 condensate neutralizer is applied to 12.5 percent.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?
- MR. MCCABE: Okay, so the electrical
- 17 outlet that you list for 50 percent of the jobs,
- 18 if we look back on the previous cost or increase
- 19 that we had that was either \$5 or \$10, this
- 20 doesn't -- that's not included in that
- 21 calculation, is it?
- MR. BROOKMAN: Constantin?

114 MR. VON WENTZEL: Constantin von 1 Wentzel, Navigant Consulting. I believe the electrical outlet is only required for condensing applications. So the \$5 to \$6 increase was from 80 to 82 percent, noncondensing as opposed to the scenario being described here which was a condensing application. 8 MR. MCCABE: Okay, thank you. 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs? 10 ME SACHS: Harvey Sachs. Deep in the abscesses of my cranium, there's memory of a 11 large- scale study of RTUs in California in which 12 13 refrigerant charge was an issue. So I've sort of worked on the assumption 14 15 that if there is an RTU, there's a way to get AC 16 for running -- pulling a vacuum or other 17 maintenance operations. And that would seem to be 18 available for the condensate pump or heat tape as 19 well. So I'm not -- am I wrong or where is this 20 AC outlet need coming from? You know. 21 MS. HOOTMAN: So --22 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill, please.

- 1 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane.
- 2 Harvey, describe that condensate, you know, you're
- 3 going to have -- you're going to have it coming
- 4 out of the unit and a lot of times it's run on top
- 5 of the roof. There are some installations it
- 6 could go down through the roof. But on top of the
- 7 roof, you're going to have to have that wrapped in
- 8 heat tape so it's constantly energized. It's
- 9 constantly energized and I don't think the
- 10 convenience outlet in a unit itself, then you're
- 11 going to unplug that and then when you want to run
- 12 your vacuum pump, use it.
- You see what I'm saying? What if you're
- 14 on the roof? You don't want that de-energized
- 15 during all these heating hours. So it's going to
- 16 be another -- I think it's another. I agree with
- 17 him. It's another electrical outlet that is
- 18 constantly energized and heating anytime. It
- 19 could never be off. If it's off, it now has a
- 20 failure.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?
- 22 MR. RAY: Mike Ray. I think NEC code

- 1 says that you have to have one outlet within 25
- 2 feet of other units so that there's service
- 3 capability. And so, you could have one outlet
- 4 serving four or five different units and in the
- 5 case of the heat tape, you want that to be running
- 6 constantly. And if you have four or five
- 7 different units, you'll need outlets for each one
- 8 of those.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you.
- MS. HOOTMAN: Good point.
- 11 MR. BROOKMAN: Bob Whitwell, you're
- 12 next.
- MR. WHITWELL: Yeah, I've had my
- 14 question already came up. So I'm all set. Thank
- 15 you.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you.
- 17 MR. FRANCO: Victor Franco again. So
- 18 now, we're going to be talking about the operating
- 19 costs. We already talked about the energy use
- 20 characterization. We would multiply that times
- 21 the energy prices to come up with the energy use
- 22 operating cost. The energy prices are developed

- 1 for marginal monthly prices by different areas to
- 2 match up with the building location, the sample
- 3 building. We use the most current data we have
- 4 available for annual energy prices. We multiply
- 5 that times monthly price factors to come up with
- 6 monthly data and then we multiply that times
- 7 marginal price factors.
- 8 The data comes from EIA for all the
- 9 different prices including the electricity prices,
- 10 natural gas, LPG and fuel oil comes from the same
- 11 data sources. The monthly energy price factors
- 12 are developed using the same monthly data and the
- 13 marginal energy price factors are determined by
- 14 using that monthly data for a 10-year period for
- 15 the locations we analyzed.
- 16 Next, we look at the energy price trends
- 17 to go from 2012 average monthly marginal energy
- 18 prices to the years in our analysis from 2018 to
- 19 2040. We use directly the EIA 2013 by Census
- 20 Division. After 2040, we use the trends from 2030
- 21 to 2040 to project the future.
- 22 Another component of the operating costs

- 1 are repair and maintenance. Repair cost is the
- 2 cost of replacing or repairing the components of
- 3 the commercial warm air furnaces that have failed.
- 4 We use data from 2013 RS Means facility repair and
- 5 maintenance a well as manufacturer literature,
- 6 equipment cost data and Consumer Reports data on
- 7 residential furnaces. We did not have data about
- 8 commercial furnaces in terms of frequency of
- 9 repair. So that would be good if that data is
- 10 available.
- In terms of maintenance costs, we
- 12 calculate the labor and materials required to
- 13 maintain the equipment. The sources are 2013 RS
- 14 Means facilities repair and maintenance data, also
- 15 CBECS and RECS reports, how frequently the
- 16 building might be repairing the unit and we also
- 17 take into account for oil products the
- 18 availability of low-sulfur oil for -- fuel oil for
- 19 different parts of the country. Especially for
- 20 condensing designs, we take into account having to
- 21 maintain the condensate neutralizer and having to
- 22 check the condensate withdrawal system. These

- 1 costs are reflected in the costs shown below. As
- 2 you can see, there's incremental cost both on the
- 3 repair side and the maintenance side. These are
- 4 annualized values, average values.
- Next, we come to the other component of
- 6 the lifecycle cost --
- 7 MR. BROOKMAN: Frank Stanonik?
- 8 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI.
- 9 And I don't know if this has come up in the part
- 10 of the meeting I missed, but on your maintenance
- 11 costs, has there -- well, has the point been
- 12 raised yet that on the 82 percent thermal
- 13 efficiency because these are mostly outdoor units
- 14 that we have some concerns that in fact although
- 15 it won't be designed for condensing there will
- 16 certainly be conditions that make that a much
- 17 greater concern than if this was an indoor furnace
- 18 and we think that at the 82 level there in fact
- 19 might be some additional maintenance costs simply
- 20 because the greater likelihood that it will be on
- 21 occasion condensing and it's not really designed
- 22 for that, which would if nothing else require

120 closer monitoring of the furnace. 2 MR. BROOKMAN: We did get some comment on that earlier today. 4 MR. STANONIK: Okay. MR. BROOKMAN: And the department requested specifics in writing. For example, where you might find that condition of it being condensing regionally, by use, that kind of 9 comment, yes. 10 MR. STANONIK: All right. Well, then I think the point would just be, you know, we're at 11 this point certainly not convinced that the 12 maintenance cost is basically unchanged as you get to that 82 level. Okay, thanks. 15 MR. BROOKMAN: Yeah, and we did also, 16 just so that you'll know, receive the comment that some of the other manufacturers had observed other units where the heat exchanger had been degraded. 19 Okay, and in a short period of time. Okay. 20 Whitwell, he wants to go back to slide 55 when 21 time is right. Let's do that now. Slide 55.

MR. WHITWELL: Okay, thank you. Sorry

for taking the group back.

- 2 MR. BROOKMAN: No, no. It's okay.
- 3 We want to be complete here.
- 4 MR. WHITWELL: So could you explain
- 5 again where the energy savings comes from in the
- 6 case of the -- of cases one, two and three, up, up
- 7 and up on the gas-fired furnaces? I'm referring
- 8 to the savings shown over on the far right-hand
- 9 side.
- 10 MR. FRANCO: So this would be to the
- 11 electricity consumption savings. Is that correct?
- MR. WHITWELL: Yes.
- 13 MR. FRANCO: Okay. This is Victor
- 14 Franco. The electricity consumption savings,
- 15 basically there's an offset between the savings --
- 16 potential savings from reduced operating hours of
- 17 the unit because we're assuming a fixed input
- 18 capacity. So essentially our input capacity is
- 19 250 kBtu for the 80 percent unit which is about
- 20 200,000 kBtu. For the same 250 input capacity
- 21 unit for that 92 percent, you're getting a much
- 22 higher output capacity. That leads to less

- 1 operating hours.
- 2 So when we multiply a lot of our
- 3 different components by that reduced 10 to 15
- 4 percent operating hours, we get some savings.
- 5 That's offset by the cooling. We had assumed that
- 6 there was a 5 percent increased power in terms of
- 7 cooling and heating because of the secondary heat
- 8 exchanger and the circulating fan. That more or
- 9 less balances out with the effect that I just
- 10 talked about and that gives us a slight savings.
- 11 So please provide comments about our
- 12 methodology and about the actual impact on the
- 13 cooling or just the cooling impact in terms of the
- 14 power to check if our assumptions are correct.
- MR. WHITWELL: Okay, thank you. Okay,
- 16 thank you.
- 17 MR. FRANCO: Thank you. So going back,
- 18 we're going back to the lifetime. So the other
- 19 components of the lifecycle cost analysis,
- 20 lifetime is the age of the furnace, once it's
- 21 retired from service. We gathered information
- 22 from national surveys and shipment data to

- 1 determine distribution of lifetimes.
- 2 For CUAC equipment, we're actually using
- 3 an ASHRAE database that provides commercial CUAC
- 4 data. This is the same data that's used for the
- 5 CUAC analysis and we're using exactly the same
- 6 distribution from that previous analysis.
- 7 For oil-fired furnaces, we did not have
- 8 that same data, either shipments or a survey for
- 9 the data and we're using as a proxy the oil-fired
- 10 furnace residential data from the 2011 DFR. As
- 11 you can see, the mean and median lifetimes are
- 12 very similar. The median lifetime is about 18
- 13 years for gas-fired and for oil-fired it's about
- 14 26 years.
- 15 MALE: [Off mic.]
- MR. FRANCO: Next we come up with
- 17 discount rates for lifecycle cost analysis. These
- 18 are used to determine the present value of the
- 19 lifetime operating cost expenses. We are using
- 20 calculated as a weighted average of the capital
- 21 costs using the capital cost asset price model for
- 22 different economic sectors. The primary source

- 1 for the data comes from Damodaran Online database
- 2 of company debt and equity financing. We do
- 3 calculate discount rate for different sectors in
- 4 the commercial side including retail, property
- 5 owners, medical services, industrial, lodging,
- 6 food service, office, state/local government,
- 7 federal government and other. The table shows the
- 8 mean discount rate and the standard deviation. So
- 9 we do for each of these we do have a distribution
- 10 and that's taken into account in our analysis.
- 11 Next is the base case efficiency
- 12 distributions. Base case efficiency distributions
- 13 reflects the projected market share of equipment
- 14 at the different efficiency levels in the absence
- 15 of standards. So in essence not all consumers
- 16 purchase the equipment at the current efficiency
- 17 level. And the commercial consumers already
- 18 purchase equipment at a higher efficiency level.
- 19 We did not have at the time of the analysis any
- 20 data on the actual market shares. So we used the
- 21 AHRI database and the model availability to
- 22 determine these market shares in 2018.

125 We assumed that the condensing 92 1 percent for commercial warm air furnaces, the market share would be around 1 percent by 2018 and that it's zero percent for indoor equipment for either gas-fired or oil-fired equipment and the other fractions just come directly from the available models. 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Frank Stanonik? MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI. So at the 81 percent level, all right, I'm trying to understand that. So it says percent. What's the 11 93 percent for the 81 percent? What does that 12 13 mean? What am I reading there or what am I supposed to understand from that I guess? 15 MR. FRANCO: Yes, this is Victor Franco, 16 just to clarify. So one column is the outdoor equipment and the other column is indoor

MR. STANONIK: SO we're reading down.

outdoor, 5 percent is indoor.

the equipment for the gas-fired commercial is

equipment. We assume that there's a 95 percent of

18

19

20

22 So in terms of that, so 93 percent of whatever

126 were the indoor units would be at 81. Okay, okay. 2 MR. FRANCO: That is correct. 3 MR. CYMBALSKY: So 93 percent of 5 percent. Got it. MR. FRANCO: So now, we conclude the methodology portion of the lifecycle cost before going to the results and we request any general comments on the methodology. Specifically, DOE seeks comment on the approach and data sources used for the installation costs especially for 10 more efficient equipment. 11 12 We also seek comment on the methodology 13 and data sources for the maintenance and repair costs, especially for more efficient equipment. 15 We seek comment on the approach DOE uses for 16 calculating the lifetime of this equipment and finally DOE seeks comment on the base case efficiency distributions that we calculated for 19 2018 in the absence of amended energy conservation 20 standards. 21 MR. BROOKMAN: Comments? Comments 22 here? Okay, we're going to move on.

127 MR. FRANCO: So next we come up with the 1 LCC and payback analysis results. As you can see here, you can see the average installed costs, the average lifetime operating cost, average LCC and the average LCC savings as well as the fraction of net costs, no impact, net benefit and the median payback periods for different efficiency levels both for the gas-fired and oil-fired equipment. 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, Mike? 10 MR. RAY: Can you explain the average installed cost, just that column? 11 12 MR. FRANCO: Yes. The average installed 13 cost is actually the average total installed cost.

That includes both the equipment and the

- 15 installation cost. This might be a little bit
- 16 confusing because it's just for the portion of the
- 17 gas equipment. So the actual --
- 18 FEMALE: [Off mic.]
- 19 MR. FRANCO: Exactly, yes. But if you
- 20 add the CUAC plus this, you would get the overall
- 21 -- potentially the overall cost of the equipment,
- 22 the actual equipment.

128 1 MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs? MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs. Jill, I quess 2 in my simple mind, I've been assuming that this really is incremental cost between a RTU with no heating capacity and a gas pack. 6 MR. BROOKMAN: Jill, please use the 7 microphone. 8 MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman. Yeah, I agree, Harvey. But here's the thing is it never happens that way, right? You're going to get the 10 whole unit with the -- if your gas fails and you 11 now need to replace your unit, you're going to get 12 13 the whole unit, the cooling, everything. You're going to have to pay that 14 15 whatever increased amount for the entire unit even 16 though your cooling was probably operating, right? You're never going to just replace just the 18 heating side. You have to replace the whole unit 19 and the incremental costs that go with replacing 20 that. It isn't just -- even though they did this, 21 they arbitrarily pulled out just the heating side.

It's never pristine like that.

		129
1	MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs. And vice-	
2	versa.	
3	MS. HOOTMAN: For cooling?	
4	MR. SACHS: Yeah.	
5	MS. HOOTMAN: Yes, yes.	
6	MR. CYMBALSKY: But I think this is	
7	John from DOE. But if we're just focusing on the	
8	efficiency changes in the gas part of the unit,	
9	the rest is a wash if you assume all that's the	
10	same, so. I mean, you could just add \$5,000 or	
11	whatever it is to every one of these. But the	
12	delta is still the delta.	
13	MS. HOOTMAN: Right. I understand what	
14	you're saying in the analysis. I think that it	
15	comes and this is Jill Hootman from Trane. I	
16	think it comes to bear more in the fact that	
17	whether they will actually repair or replace those	
18	decisions.	
19	MR. CYMBALSKY: Right.	
20	MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?	
21	MR. RAY: I think it ties back to	
22	this conversation that we're having also ties back	

130 to the fact that we'd like to have all this rolled into one rulemaking. I think you've highlighted the issue because you've got a piece right now that you're cutting out for the gas furnace. You've got a piece that we're talking about for the cooling side and thus the reason why and I know you've heard this before. 8 MR. CYMBALSKY: So I was waiting, right? I was waiting for the comment. I was surprised it took us until noon to get it. 10 11 12:20, in fact. MR. BROOKMAN: 12 MS. HOOTMAN: I have to admit, I take --13 MR. CYMBALSKY: Yeah, so DOE, you know, obviously lining up the compliance dates for those 15 two rules since it's, you know, one box obviously 16 is something DOE understands is economically 17 important to the manufacturers. So the extent

MR. RAY: Shifting directions back to

MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?

legally we can do all that stuff, but you know,

clearly it's something that would make sense to

18

19

20

21

22

the department.

- 1 the installed cost piece, in the case, the example
- 2 that I mentioned earlier in the day where there
- 3 was a heat exchanger, it was a competitor's heat
- 4 exchanger but where the heat exchanger rusted out
- 5 and in the end it was only three to five years
- 6 old, yes, because the unit was only three to five
- 7 years old, the unit was still in reasonably good
- 8 operating condition. They had to physically
- 9 dismantle the unit and put it -- and up a new heat
- 10 exchanger back in and then reassemble the entire
- 11 unit and hopefully address the issue of what
- 12 caused it to rust in the first place. But and
- 13 that was not an unusual situation that that
- 14 happened.
- But and as it was, that furnace was an
- 16 82 percent furnace. And since a major piece had
- 17 failed but it was still a relatively new unit,
- 18 they had to -- they said let's go ahead and just
- 19 keep replacing those heat exchangers. But and
- 20 that's not included in how in the calculation to
- 21 replace a heat exchanger in three to five years or
- 22 in seven years or whatever. I know that's not

- 1 part of the calculation and that's one of the
- 2 challenges I think from an industry standpoint.
- 3 We don't want our customers to have to
- 4 go through that pain of having to replace a heat
- 5 exchanger. You know, hey, my unit's only seven
- 6 years old or 10 years old. You know, having
- 7 something like that occur is not a fun thing to
- 8 do. If you're a contractor having to do it and
- 9 face your customer with it but also just in
- 10 general, as a manufacturer, because the customers
- 11 will be getting in touch.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Michael McCabe?
- 13 MR. MCCABE: Michael McCabe. Victor,
- 14 this is a question that's somewhat out of your
- 15 analysis but given the work that LBNL has done,
- 16 you may have come across something. On slide 71,
- 17 given how little the installed cost changes from
- 18 the baseline to level two, the 82 percent, you've
- 19 got and the payback periods are so short, under a
- 20 year, have you come across any reason why more
- 21 consumers are not buying the more efficient units?
- 22 So this is really consumer behavior question.

- 1 MR. FRANCO: Yes. We didn't actually
- 2 conduct that type of analysis. But it might be
- 3 model loyalty. There was one manufacturer that
- 4 produced that model. Definitely comments in that
- 5 regard would be greatly appreciated for us to more
- 6 appropriately evaluate that.
- 7 MR. CYMBALSKY: It's interesting. If
- 8 you go back to the engineering slide that, you
- 9 know, the mode that was rated at 80 actually
- 10 performed over 82 in our testing and the one that
- 11 was rated at 82 performed at 82. So I don't know
- 12 what that means, but I'll just make that
- 13 statement.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Are we ready to move
- 15 on here? Frank?
- 16 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI.
- 17 And well, I'll apologize in advance again if I'm
- 18 bringing up issues that I missed this morning.
- 19 Listening to that last conversation and then the
- 20 couple others, but first of all, I think, John,
- 21 you just pointed something out that again I don't
- 22 know if it came up but if in fact products -- if

- 1 the minimum is 82, then a manufacturer, it's
- 2 totally correct to assume that his production
- 3 units -- his production units will have
- 4 efficiencies on either side of that.
- 5 You know, assuming he's following the
- 6 law and complying and doing the test procedures,
- 7 you know, his rate will have to have the average
- 8 on 82 and when he produces them they're going to
- 9 be on either side. The ones that are on the other
- 10 side of 82, and again, we don't have that data
- 11 necessarily but let's just for sake of the
- 12 discussion say that the deviation was plus or
- 13 minus 2 percent. And again, I'm not saying that's
- 14 it because I don't know that.
- But let's say it was. That means the
- 16 manufacturer knows that in some cases he
- 17 potentially is going to be sending out products
- 18 that are going to be operating at 84 percent,
- 19 okay? That from the manufacturer's perspective is
- 20 absolutely unacceptable for an outdoor unit. That
- 21 will have condensate problems. That may be the
- 22 one that dies within five months or whatever the

- 1 number was.
- 2 But the point of all this is that as
- 3 we're looking at that level, we think the
- 4 installed cost is going to be very different
- 5 because a manufacturer is going to have to protect
- 6 -- I'll say protect themselves. They want to
- 7 provide the same levels of reliability of the
- 8 product. So they will have to do things and
- 9 encourage installation practices that basically
- 10 are intended to thwart any unintended condensation
- 11 and associated problems.
- 12 So we have a -- we think that the
- 13 installed cost here which is you're basically
- 14 looking at the cost of added heat exchanger or
- 15 whatever, in the practical sense has been
- 16 underestimated and we'll try and give you some
- 17 different estimates, some better information that
- 18 we would say we think the installed cost is
- 19 probably going to be more like this level because
- 20 we manufacturer again will have to in their
- 21 installation instructions put information that
- 22 essentially is intended to do things that avoid

- 1 I'll say foreseeable condensate problems even
- 2 though it's, you know, again will every unit
- 3 necessarily have it.
- Well, I don't think you can say if it's
- 5 being installed in San Diego or whatever. I don't
- 6 know that I'd be too worried. But you know, from
- 7 the industry's perspective, we don't know let's
- 8 say that those units that were coming down the
- 9 line and just, you know somehow they were firing
- 10 and operating in the 83 percent efficiency or
- 11 whatever. You know, we don't know that they end
- 12 up either in San Diego or Minneapolis or New York
- 13 City or Boston or whatever.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Okay.
- MR. STANONIK: So I think there's a
- 16 bigger issue there than it's unfortunately not
- 17 quite as simple as this chart would show.
- 18 MR. FRANCO: Thank you. I appreciate
- 19 your comment. I'll move on to the next part of
- 20 our analysis. DOE takes into account subgroup
- 21 analysis. So for these commercial products, we
- 22 look at small businesses to evaluate the impact on

- 1 this portion of the markets. The results are
- 2 shown here. A comparison of the LCC and median
- 3 paybacks are very similar, as can be seen from the
- 4 table. That concludes the LCC and payback
- 5 analysis and at this point we would request
- 6 comment on any other questions about the lifecycle
- 7 cost. Specifically we would request comment on
- 8 consumer subgroup analysis.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Should we break for lunch
- 10 or should we keep going?
- MR. SACHS: What does John, our leader,
- 12 think our estimated time --
- 13 MR. CYMBALSKY: I think we can get
- 14 through this in an hour if we --
- 15 MR. BROOKMAN: I don't think -- I
- 16 think an hour -- I think we should break for lunch
- 17 if it's going to take an hour.
- MR. CYMBALSKY: What does everyone else
- 19 think? I'm leaning the other way even though my
- 20 stomach is growling.
- 21 MR. SACHS: I reluctantly agree with the
- 22 honorable Mr. Cymbalsky.

138 MR. BROOKMAN: All right. I'm 1 comfortable to keep going if you want to keep 3 going. 4 MR. CYMBALSKY: I can order some donuts. MR. BROOKMAN: It seems like he's got some right there. Okay, it seems like we're going to keep going. Okay, let's proceed. Shipments, NIA, RIA, MIA. 9 MR. FRANCO: So we now go into the shipments, NIA, RIA and MIA analysis section. 10 I'll be -- I'll present the shipments, NIA, RIA 11 and MIA analysis next. The shipments analysis is 12 used to project -- to calculate the projected 13 shipments from the analysis period from 2018 to 15 2047 with and without the energy conservation standards. The shipments analysis takes into 16 17 account two major market segments, new 18 construction and replacements. New constructions 19 are determined using the projected commercial 20 floor space and the projected market share in that 21 new construction market. 22 Replacements are determined based on

- 1 historical shipments and the survival function.
- 2 We also take into account fraction of units that
- 3 are demolished, that is the actual building that
- 4 are demolished. Efficiency projections are also
- 5 taken into account in terms of the base case and
- 6 standard case. We also take into account the
- 7 impacts of standards on shipments in terms of the
- 8 price elasticities. The commercial consumer
- 9 decisions are influenced by the purchase price and
- 10 operating cost. Some consumers may choose to
- 11 repair rather than replace their commercial
- 12 equipment.
- Here is a flowchart showing the
- 14 shipments analysis. So on the top part, this is
- 15 how we calculate the new construction shipments,
- 16 as I mentioned before. We're matching the
- 17 projected new construction commercial flow space
- 18 with the commercial equipment saturations for the
- 19 new construction market and for the replacement
- 20 shipments we're taking into account historical
- 21 annual shipments and retirement function. On the
- 22 replacement side we also take into account some

- 1 demolitions and adding these two give us the total
- 2 shipments, the annual shipments during the
- 3 analysis period.
- In terms of data sources, we're using
- 5 AEO 2013 for the projected new construction
- 6 commercial floor space and the saturations come
- 7 from historical data in CBECS and RECS. The
- 8 historical annual shipments is a mixture of
- 9 different sources. We have only one data point
- 10 for just gas-fired commercial warm air furnaces in
- 11 1994. We have historical data from CUAC which is
- 12 also used in the CUAC rulemaking that goes from
- 13 1980 to 2012 using both AHRI data and census data.
- 14 To come up with commercial warm air furnaces
- 15 shipments, we calculate a ratio of the CUAC
- 16 shipments to the commercial warm air shipments
- 17 based on the 1994 data.
- 18 Based on that data, we come up with
- 19 about 80 percent of CUAC shipments are CUACs with
- 20 gas-fired commercial warm air furnaces. We didn't
- 21 have any data for oil-fired equipment. So we came
- 22 up with a ratio between oil-fired and gas-fired

- 1 equipment based on residential furnace shipments.
- 2 The retirement function comes from the lifetime
- 3 distribution, which was a few slides ago and is
- 4 further described in TSD chapter eight.
- 5 Here are the historical and projected
- 6 shipments. We're projecting around 250,000 to a
- 7 little bit more than 300,000 shipments during the
- 8 analysis period from 2018 to 2047. Oil-fired
- 9 equipment accounts for about 1 percent of those
- 10 shipments. DOE seeks comment on the methodology
- 11 and data sources used in these projections.
- 12 Specifically, DOE is interested in any historical
- 13 data that can be gathered that would be more
- 14 accurate for the commercial warm air furnaces.
- 15 DOE also seeks comment on the impacts of the
- 16 amended standards on the product shipments,
- 17 including any equipment switching that might --
- 18 DOE might need to take into account.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Comments on the
- 20 shipments analysis? Okay.
- MR. FRANCO: Next, we come to the
- 22 national impact analysis. The national impact

- 1 analysis serves to estimate the national impacts
- 2 of the energy conservation standards over the
- 3 lifetime of the commercial warm air furnaces
- 4 shipped between 2018 and 2047. There are two
- 5 components that we take into account to calculate,
- 6 the national energy savings, which is the
- 7 difference in the annual energy use between the
- 8 base case and the standard cases, summed over the
- 9 lifetime of the equipment shipped between 2018 and
- 10 2047, and the net present value, which is the
- 11 difference between the present value of the
- 12 installed cost and the present value of the
- 13 operating cost over the analysis period from 2018
- 14 to 2047. These values are discounted. The method
- 15 we use I'll be describing in further detail in the
- 16 next few slides.
- 17 This slide shows a flowchart of the
- 18 actual analysis. Again, we start with the
- 19 shipments analysis to give us the annual
- 20 shipments. We calculate the base case energy use
- 21 based on the annual energy consumption times the
- 22 annual shipments analysis which will give us the

- 1 base case cumulative energy use. In the standards
- 2 case, we do exactly the same and we come up with a
- 3 standards case cumulative energy use. We multiply
- 4 this by the site to source energy conversion
- 5 factors to come up with the national energy
- 6 savings.
- 7 The net present value, again we start
- 8 with the shipments analysis, annual shipments
- 9 data. From the LCC analysis, we have average
- 10 energy cost, maintenance and repair cost and total
- 11 installed cost both for the base case and standard
- 12 cases. We then compare the cumulative operating
- 13 cost savings between the case base and standard
- 14 case and the cumulative total consumer cost
- 15 increases. We discount both of these and come up
- 16 with a net present value.
- 17 This slide further describes the inputs
- 18 and some of the sources. The annual unit energy
- 19 consumption comes from the LCC energy analysis,
- 20 energy use estimates. The shipments are described
- 21 previously in the shipments analysis. The
- 22 equipment stock is determined by the annual yearly

- 1 stock of the annual shipments in the lifetime over
- 2 the equipment class. For this equipment, there is
- 3 no rebound effect. I will be talking a little bit
- 4 more about the base case efficiency distributions.
- 5 But basically we use a roll-up scenario.
- 6 And we request comment on historical
- 7 shipments data to determine these further. Site-
- 8 to-power plant conversion factors are determined
- 9 from AEO 2013. Full-fuel-cycle is also determined
- 10 from AEO 2013. Total installed cost and operating
- 11 cost per unit come from LCC analysis. Results,
- 12 the discount rate used for the national net
- 13 present value is 7 percent and 3 percent real and
- 14 this comes from OMB's regulatory analysis
- 15 quidelines.
- 16 Here are the base case efficiency
- 17 distributions. As mentioned before, we use a
- 18 roll-up when we're complying to standards. The
- 19 equipment at or above the efficiency will not be
- 20 affected in the roll-up scenario. So for example,
- 21 our base case assumptions are for the gas-fired
- 22 warm air furnaces 67 percentage at the baseline

- 1 and 24 percent are at 81 percent. If the standard
- 2 is set at efficiency level one, basically it's 67
- 3 plus 24 which will give us 90 percent at that
- 4 efficiency. The efficiencies above that will not
- 5 be affected.
- 6 We do apply a trend in terms of the
- 7 condensing efficiency. We start off in 2018 at 1
- 8 percent of the market and we assume that that will
- 9 increase to 5 percent of the market by 2047.
- 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike?
- 11 MR. RAY: Minor points, but just on
- 12 slide 83, obviously it's rounding numbers. But
- 13 they're off just by a hair. It's not a big deal
- 14 but just noted that.
- MR. FRANCO: Yeah, below there's a
- 16 little bit of a note that says the rounding, yes.
- 17 Thank you for that. Next, DOE determined the
- 18 trial standard levels. DOE has five trial
- 19 standard levels that is a mixture of the
- 20 efficiencies for gas-fired and oil- fired
- 21 equipment. TSL 1 is the most commonly efficiency
- 22 level above the baseline for a gas-fired equipment

- 1 and at the baseline for oil-fired equipment.
- 2 Therefore, 81 percent for both. Two through four
- 3 are a mixture. So TSL 2 is 81 percent for gas, 82
- 4 percent for oil. TSL 3 is 82 for gas, 81 percent
- 5 for oil. And TSL 4 is 82 percent for both gas and
- 6 oil. TSL 5 is at the max tech. For this NOPR
- 7 analysis, DOE is proposing TSL 4 as a proposed
- 8 level.
- 9 Next, we present the results from the
- 10 national impact analysis. First, we'll look at
- 11 the national energy savings in CWAFs. These are
- 12 by TSL. So the first few rows are the primary
- 13 energy savings and the last three rows are the
- 14 full-fuel-cycle energy savings. For the proposed
- 15 level at TSL 4, the full-fuel-cycle energy
- 16 savings are a little bit more than half a CWAF.
- 17 Next are the net present value. They're
- 18 reported at both 3 percent and 7 percent. For TSL
- 19 4, at 3 percent it's about 2.7 billion and at 7
- 20 percent discount rate, a little bit more than 1
- 21 billion. This concludes the national impact
- 22 analysis and at this time we request any comments

- 1 on the analysis from interested parties,
- 2 specifically related to rebound effect and base
- 3 case efficiency distribution trends.
- 4 MR. BROOKMAN: Comments on national
- 5 impact analysis? Michael McCabe?
- 6 MR. MCCABE: Victor, right at the top
- 7 you asked for comments on the rebound effect. As
- 8 I understand it, EIA in the annual energy outlook
- 9 does include rebound effect for commercial heating
- 10 and cooling. But it's not being included here.
- 11 Are there any data out there that EIA should
- 12 consider as to drop it from their analysis?
- 13 MR. FRANCO: Thank you so much, Mike.
- 14 I'm not aware of what that level is of the rebound
- 15 effect. We did get stakeholder comments that there
- 16 was no rebound effect for this rulemaking. So any
- 17 information would be useful to further quantify if
- 18 that is true or not.
- 19 MR. MCCABE: Okay. I think -- this is
- 20 Michael McCabe again. I think John looks like
- 21 he's getting about ready to chime in since he's
- 22 got some background with --

148 1 MR. CYMBALSKY: I do, yeah. 2 MR. MCCABE: A little bit of background with EIA and the annual energy outlook. MR. CYMBALSKY: Yeah. So this is John 4 at DOE, a former EIA modeler. Yeah, you know, I did the residential model so I'm not as up to 7 speed on the commercial side. But I do believe they have a rebound and it's based on the -there's a rich literature here that the range for rebound effect on some of these -- and if you look 10 by end use, some of them are actually positive and 11 not negative. 12 13 So the studies out there show a large range. I think EIA picked a number more like -0.3 or -0.25. I'm not sure. But we'd have to go back 15 and look at that. But lots of arguments on both sides here. So I don't -- we assumed none and took comment and again we're happy to take comment 19 again on that. 20 Okay. Frank Stanonik? MR. BROOKMAN: 21 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI. On

slide 85, so if you look at the primary energy

- 1 savings between -- well, you know, one and two is
- 2 81 percent, three and four is 82 percent. So the
- 3 primary energy savings at three or four is in fact
- 4 more than double what is one or two, right? So
- 5 then but if I go back to slide 55, the annual fuel
- 6 use, the savings at level one is 1.9 million Btu
- 7 and at level two it's double, straight double.
- 8 The annual electrical savings is for the
- 9 81 is 12 kWh per year. At 82, it's just less than
- 10 double. So if you will, the per unit consumption
- 11 numbers indicate roughly 1 percent get you double
- 12 and yet the national energy savings, that's
- 13 certainly more than double. What am I -- what
- 14 changes at the national energy savings level to
- 15 kind of alter that proportion?
- 16 MR. FRANCO: Thank you so much, Frank,
- 17 for that question. This is Victor Franco again.
- 18 It is a little bit confusion once you're comparing
- 19 the lifecycle costs and the energy use and
- 20 comparing it to the national impact. The main
- 21 thing to consider there is that for the energy use
- 22 it's kind of the average at that efficiency level.

- Once we look at either the LCC savings
- 2 or the national impact analysis, we're looking at
- 3 it in terms of the base case. There's a number of
- 4 consumers that are already at 81 percent. So
- 5 there's a fraction that wouldn't have any savings
- 6 at 81 and when you're going from 81 to 82, those
- 7 actually do have savings and it's about close to
- 8 24 percent of the market.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs? No?
- 10 Okay.
- MR. SACHS: He answered it when he
- 12 clarified.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Yeah, thank you.
- 14 Michael McCabe?
- MR. MCCABE: It's Michael McCabe.
- 16 Victor, following up on that, I would expect then
- 17 that the savings would be going down, you know, in
- 18 the NIA rather as compared to the engineering
- 19 because if you've got more -- if you've got 20
- 20 percent of whatever the number the consumers are
- 21 already buying at that level, then the average per
- 22 unit savings is going to be lower than what it

- 1 would be in the engineering analysis.
- 2 MR. CYMBALSKY: Right, so that's -- this
- 3 is John from DOE. So I don't know if this came
- 4 out clear. But a lot of the market's at 81. So
- 5 but 80 to 81, there's a bunch of percentage guys
- 6 already buying at 81. So that was a small delta.
- 7 But there are not a lot at 82. So that's a bigger
- 8 delta. So if the number suggested more were
- 9 already buying at 82, what you just said would be
- 10 true. But it's actually 81 where they're buying
- 11 already.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Okay. Thank you,
- 13 John. Final comments on national impact analysis?
- 14 Okay, we're moving on to regulatory impact
- 15 analysis.
- MR. FRANCO: Victor Franco again, going
- 17 back to regulatory impact analysis. Just one
- 18 second. Okay, there we go. So the purpose of the
- 19 regulatory impact analysis is to assess the
- 20 national impacts of non-regulatory alternatives to
- 21 mandatory amended energy conservation standards.
- 22 Basically we modified the NIA spreadsheet to

- 1 evaluate the non-regulatory alternatives such as
- 2 the no regulatory action, consumer rebates,
- 3 consumer tax credits, manufacturer tax credits,
- 4 voluntary energy efficiency targets and bulk
- 5 energy purchases.
- 6 The output of this analysis is NES and
- 7 NPV for non-regulatory alternatives. No
- 8 alternative was found to be as beneficial as the
- 9 proposed energy conservation standards. Further
- 10 information about this analysis and the results is
- 11 presented in chapter 17 of the TSD.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Manufacturer impact
- 13 analysis. Chris Lau?
- MR. RAY: Before we jump to that?
- MR. BROOKMAN: Yes, Mike Ray?
- 16 MR. RAY: Mike Ray. Where is the TSD in
- 17 chapter 17? I went out and looked at -- I went
- 18 out and pulled down as many files as I could in
- 19 preparation for this and I found a few of these.
- 20 But I didn't find -- I don't know that I found a
- 21 regulatory impact analysis and you're referencing
- 22 chapter 17. So I assume that there is a list of

- 1 chapters that are available yet I think there's
- 2 only six files out on the website.
- 3 MR. FRANCO: Victor Franco. There is
- 4 one single TSD file that's actually available and
- 5 it includes all the chapters and appendices. If
- 6 you go through that file, close to the bottom
- 7 there's chapter 17. It's available there. So
- 8 there are six files, correct. Some of them are
- 9 the analytical tools, the spreadsheets. There's
- 10 two PDF files. One is the NOPR notice and the
- 11 other one is actually the TSD. That's the larger
- 12 file.
- MR. RAY: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. LAU: Afternoon, folks. My name is
- 15 Christopher Lau. I'm with Navigant. I'll be
- 16 presenting the manufacturer impact analysis. The
- 17 primary purpose of the manufacturer impact
- 18 analysis, or the MIA, is to assess the impacts of
- 19 amended standards on commercial warm air furnace
- 20 manufacturers as an industry. The second purpose
- 21 is identifying and qualifying the impacts on
- 22 manufacturer subgroups such as small business

- 1 manufacturers. And the final portion of the MIA
- 2 involves discussion of direct employment,
- 3 potential capacity constraints and other federal
- 4 regulations that go in effect around the
- 5 compliance date of the standard.
- The primary tool we use is the
- 7 government regulatory impact model. It is a cash
- 8 flow model used to represent the industry as a
- 9 whole. The major output of the model is an
- 10 industry net present value, a metric used to
- 11 succinctly quantify the impact of standards on
- 12 manufacturers. We also conduct interviews to
- 13 validate and refine the inputs to the model, the
- 14 government regulatory impact mode, or the GRIM,
- 15 and to better understand qualitative issues.
- 16 The analysis is conducted in three
- 17 general phases. Phase one, we built an industry
- 18 profile from publicly-available information.
- 19 Phase two, we use content from the MTA, the
- 20 engineering analysis and shipments analysis to
- 21 outline the industry in the GRIM. And in phase
- 22 three, key inputs are validated with manufacturers

- 1 and qualitative issues are discussed in
- 2 interviews. We use this content to refine the
- 3 GRIM to better reflect the industry.
- 4 As I mentioned before, the model itself
- 5 relies on several analyses we've already discussed
- 6 today. We use financial and product information
- 7 from the market and technology assessment. We
- 8 take manufacturer production costs from the
- 9 engineering analysis and we use shipment forecasts
- 10 from the shipment analysis. These inputs are
- 11 essentially locked in before we run our model. To
- 12 complete our model, we supplement two key pieces
- 13 of information; markup scenarios and conversion
- 14 cost scenarios.
- For this rule, we developed high and low
- 16 cases for the manufacturer markup scenarios and we
- 17 also developed high and low cases for the
- 18 conversion cost scenarios. This results in four
- 19 different sets of results, all four presented in
- 20 the TSD -- [coughs] -- excuse me. I'm a little
- 21 sick today.
- The NOPR itself focuses on two of those

- 1 scenarios, the ones with the least and greatest
- 2 change in INPV, the percent range of potential
- 3 impacts on the industry. What we found was
- 4 actually that for markups, the results are not
- 5 particularly sensitive to the markup assumptions.
- 6 Our markup scenarios, to be simplistic, are
- 7 measures of the industry's ability to pass on the
- 8 cost of the standard to its customers. However,
- 9 since we didn't see much change in the variable
- 10 cost for manufacturers, I think we discussed this
- 11 a bit earlier, you know, like 3 to 4 percent
- 12 change in MPC for gas-fired units and 1 to 2
- 13 percent change in MPC for oil-fired units. The
- 14 conversion costs were really the driving factor in
- 15 the INPV results.
- And so, if you look here in the base
- 17 case, we estimate that the industry, just for the
- 18 furnace unit -- right, there again is an arbitrary
- 19 distinction -- but the base case value is roughly
- 20 75 million at TSL 4. The model shows a loss of 15
- 21 percent to 58 percent for the industry and those
- 22 are really tied to the conversion cost scenarios.

- 1 In one case, we estimate the industry having put
- 2 together upfront investment of \$20 million and the
- 3 high case it's up from an investment of \$60
- 4 million. It's a fairly significant range there
- 5 and something I'd like to focus on today.
- In interviews, when we spoke to
- 7 manufacturers, there was consensus that product
- 8 conversion costs were the real driver here. But
- 9 at the same time, we received a really wide range
- 10 of feedback, everything from numbers in the
- 11 thousands and numbers in the millions on a per
- 12 manufacturer basis. More difficult for us, the
- 13 feedback received was fairly high level. You
- 14 know, it was fairly vague as to what the
- 15 components of those costs were.
- 16 So it made it hard for us to -- it made
- 17 it challenging for us to do our validation work of
- 18 those numbers. So in the discussion today, it
- 19 would be helpful to the department and to the
- 20 analytic team to understand the industry's
- 21 estimates of those conversion costs -- capital
- 22 conversion costs and product conversion costs but

- 1 also understanding how the industry arrives at
- 2 those estimates, what are the key components.
- 3 On the tooling side, you know, what's
- 4 the equipment tooling necessary, how many pieces.
- 5 On the R&D side, more detailed estimates of the
- 6 redesign costs, you know, the engineering time,
- 7 the lab time, the kinds of safety testing, heat
- 8 limit testing, reliability testing, just
- 9 understanding how those costs add up to the fairly
- 10 significant numbers the industry has proposed and
- 11 that we heard in interviews.
- [Off mic conversations]
- MS. HOOTMAN: Jill Hootman, Trane. So I
- 14 think we've said this before too. It becomes very
- 15 hard to just quantify gas heat because associated
- 16 fans will have to change, therefore cabinets will
- 17 have to change, therefore major redesign as far as
- 18 we're concerned. You know, costs, if we have to
- 19 redesign a heat exchanger, it is a long
- 20 development.
- 21 Average time in test labs can be
- 22 significant, six to eight weeks just to prove out

- 1 your design and then you start your reliability
- 2 testing and your reliability testing, it cycles on
- 3 and off, on and off, on and off, looking at heat
- 4 surfaces and where you have, you know, hot spots
- 5 and wear and all of that. Those can take years to
- 6 actually do those reliability tests. I would
- 7 hasten to guess probably two to three years just
- 8 to do that cycle testing.
- 9 We don't really -- we don't --
- 10 historically if you look at designs and
- 11 historically if you look at those packaged units,
- 12 we are upgrading, doing various different things
- 13 on the cooling side more often than we're doing on
- 14 heating because we don't want to touch heating
- 15 because it is so long, so -- and there's not as
- 16 many variations as well, you know, that are used
- 17 in -- in other words, there might be six or eight
- 18 models and they're used in various different
- 19 configurations. Every time you change the
- 20 configuration, you have new testing.
- 21 So let's say I do have a 250,000 Btu and
- 22 you think, oh, there's an economy of scale there.

- 1 Well, every single time I put that in a horizontal
- 2 unit, I have new testing. I put it in a down flow
- 3 unit, I have new testing. I put it in a different
- 4 cabinet, I have new testing. Every single time
- 5 those heater overloads have to be looked at,
- 6 airflow profile has to be looked at in order to
- 7 determine hot spots on the heat exchanger and
- 8 where it might have failures.
- 9 So really this testing is sometimes in a
- 10 way longer than cooling testing that actually
- 11 happens. Plus on the whole I would say that, you
- 12 know, I might have one lab to 10 chambers that
- 13 could do cooling in a test lab but I only have one
- 14 that might be able to do heating. So my
- 15 availability of where I might be able to do heat
- 16 testing might also be a problem. Since this has
- 17 no upward bounds like we said that were up to 2.5
- 18 million, 2 million Btu heat exchangers, there're
- 19 actually probably no labs that do those. We test
- 20 them outside and you have to wait for temperatures
- 21 to be adequate to test those.
- 22 So you know, you're waiting for Mother

- 1 Nature to be able to test those. You know, coming
- 2 up with exact numbers right now, I can't say them.
- 3 I'll certainly, you know, look at that when we
- 4 provide comments on all of this. But I think to
- 5 say that it's just the heat exchanger development
- 6 is wrong. It definitely has way bigger effects in
- 7 the whole unit. So I would agree with your \$60
- 8 million. It's probably upwards more than that.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Okay.
- MR. LAU: Thank you.
- 11 MR. BROOKMAN: Michael McCabe?
- MR. MCCABE: Michael McCabe. Chris,
- 13 quick question. One of the purposes of the MIA is
- 14 to capture cumulative regulatory burden between
- 15 the residential furnace proposed rule and the
- 16 commercial furnace proposed rule, DOE identified
- 17 it's either 19 or 20 rules that would affect the
- 18 HVAC industry. And what your slides don't touch
- 19 on is how you captured the cumulative regulatory
- 20 burden. Could you touch on that?
- MR. LAU: Sure.
- MR. RIVEST: I'll take that one.

		162
1	MS. HOOTMAN: Yes.	
2	MR. BROOKMAN: Mike Rivest?	
3	MR. LAU: Yeah, they're just	
4	prescription.	
5	MS. HOOTMAN: Wow.	
6	MR. RIVEST: Well, I'll try to this	
7	is Mike Rivest, Navigant. That's a sweeping	
8	question, Mike. Let's look at this particular	
9	slide about the industry it focuses on the	
10	value of a particular industry, the industry	
11	that's being regulated in this rule. It's an	
12	industry that we quantify at \$75 million and so we	
13	put the bounds of the industry at the product	
14	shipments that are being regulated today.	
15	So when we talk about regulative burden,	
16	it can mean a number of different things. As I	
17	as we define the industry more broadly, we are	
18	increasing the revenues as well. So you're	
19	increasing the denominator, you know. So in a	
20	way, you're diluting the impact, if you will,	
21	right? So from a percentage point of view, if you	
22	look at the impact here of -58 , 55 percent, if I	
1		

- 1 start bringing in the central AC rule and all
- 2 those other rules, your denominator is getting
- 3 really, really large and your percentage impact is
- 4 actually going down, down. You know, it's going
- 5 to be a lesser number.
- 6 So I don't think that's what you have in
- 7 mind. I think cumulative burden in some
- 8 situations can be the cumulative burden of
- 9 multiple rulemakings on one particular product
- 10 within a certain timeframe for example. That's
- 11 not happening in this rule. So it's not being
- 12 captured in that way. There might be qualitative
- 13 considerations where there are pooled resources
- 14 for R&D or test labs, for example, where, you
- 15 know, if those are known to us, then those would
- 16 be reported to the department and taken into
- 17 consideration in the decision-making.
- 18 So that type of information you gave
- 19 earlier is extremely helpful, Jill. So you know,
- 20 if test chambers are shared resources with those
- 21 other products, the residential furnaces for the
- 22 heating products, for example, that would be

- 1 helpful to know or maybe even the R&D resources
- 2 such as engineers or pooled resources. So that
- 3 would be helpful. But on the INPV calculation
- 4 itself, you know, increasing the number of rules
- 5 under consideration is not something we've done.
- 6 I don't think it's something that was intended to
- 7 be done.
- 8 MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey Sachs?
- 9 MR. SACHS: This is Harvey Sachs and I
- 10 certainly don't claim to speak for everyone in the
- 11 advocacy community. But if I try to think about
- 12 this a little bit holistically, given that 93 to
- 13 95 percent of the gas-fired warm air furnaces are
- 14 integral parts of RTUs, I think that I can be very
- 15 sympathetic to the desire to have the RTU be the
- 16 regulated product including both its cooling and
- 17 its heating and preferably figuring out how to
- 18 include its ventilating function as well, that I
- 19 think Jill and Mike have made a pretty strong case
- 20 that there is a strong interaction as we think
- 21 about heat exchanger size, which is the basic
- 22 component in the warm air furnace with other

- 1 aspects of the RTU design.
- 2 And I don't know how to handle this,
- 3 given the multiple actors including ASHRAE 90.1
- 4 for commercial equipment. I think it is incumbent
- 5 upon all of us as a community to start thinking in
- 6 these directions.
- 7 MR. RIVEST: You know, in the context of
- 8 two rules going on simultaneously or maybe not
- 9 simultaneously but, you know, overlapping -- I
- 10 mean, there can be quantitative consideration of
- 11 economies of grouping them. So for example, that
- 12 \$60 million could be reduced if it was done -- the
- 13 R&D program corresponded or, you know, coincided
- 14 with a rooftop standard.
- Well, the R&D requirements, you know, if
- 16 you're doing the testing and you're having to, you
- 17 know, to do a lot of testing for the fans or, you
- 18 know, I assume when you're going to be determining
- 19 for example the fan size or the motor size and the
- 20 statics and things like that, you'll want to have
- 21 the proper furnace installed. So you'd want to do
- 22 that once I assume. So there might be some

166 savings in having the two rules together that could be taken into account. That's something, you know, you're in a position to tell us. I think you're addressing --4 MR. SACHS: MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey, microphone. MR. RIVEST: That's not what you were 7 getting at? 8 MR. SACHS: I think you're directly addressing the representatives from industry. I'm saying that my goal is energy savings and the 10 less expensive it is to get the energy savings, 11 12 the more likely it is we are to get them. 13 that sense, the advantages of synchronizing, that may be the simplest way to describe it, the 15 processes for what we call the unitary commercial air conditioner and the warm air -- commercial 17 warm air furnace ought to be seized and we have 18 not as a community, including the ASHRAE 19 community, figure out how to do that and I think 20 that ensures inefficiencies. MR. LAU: This is Chris Lau with 21 22 Navigant. Harvey, I think we're speaking the same

- 1 language. And to that point, you know, we have a
- 2 set of conversion costs for the cooling side of
- 3 the rooftop units from the CUAC rulemaking and we
- 4 have a set of conversion costs here for the
- 5 heating side. And when we went out and spoke to
- 6 manufacturers a while back, we got these estimates
- 7 of conversion costs from them.
- And in part of those conversations, I
- 9 know that part of the costs you see here, that \$60
- 10 million is them taking into account the redesign
- 11 of the cooling side also, right, because the
- 12 cooling side -- the way the schedule was when we
- 13 did these interviews, it was that the CUAC rule
- 14 would go into effect, then I think it's two years
- 15 later the warm air furnace rule would go into
- 16 effect. And there are interactions there. And so
- 17 --
- 18 MR. SACHS: Harvey, interrupting
- 19 impolitely, the next cycle of 90.1 which I think
- 20 is 2016 and that has standing in this room as
- 21 well.
- MR. LAU: Right, and so, to Mr. McCabe's

- 1 point and echoing something Mike Rivest said
- 2 earlier, you know, it would be helpful -- you
- 3 know, given the department's heard that the CUAC
- 4 and the commercial warm air furnace rulemaking
- 5 should be aligned, if they were aligned, how would
- 6 that affect some of these conversion costs we're
- 7 seeing today because that would be -- that would
- 8 create a very quantitative indication of why they
- 9 should be aligned.
- MR. BROOKMAN: Harvey?
- 11 MR. SACHS: Thank you. This is Harvey
- 12 again. Thank you and I appreciate that, Chris. I
- 13 think there's a process question but there's also
- 14 a question of what is the product. And from my
- 15 perspective on commercial warm air furnaces is
- 16 that 5 to 7 percent are non-weatherized products.
- 17 Everything else is more integrated into a single
- 18 cabinet than, for example, the central AC and
- 19 furnace on the residential side. It's a much more
- 20 integrated design from my perspective.
- 21 MR. RIVEST: So you should be looking
- 22 over there when you say that.

169 MR. CYMBALSKY: I've already spoken my 1 piece on that. I think that my understanding though is that what, you know, I'll say it a little more bluntly than Chris just did, that if these were aligned, that there would be some synergy in the cost needed to redesign the RTU as a -- you know, a thing that does both the heating and the cooling and that it would be preferred by the manufacturers to have those in line because I'm assuming the cost wouldn't be additive. There 10 would be some decrement in the total. Did we get 11 the math right now? 12 13 MR. SACHS: This is Harvey. MR. CYMBALSKY: I'm saying --14 15 MR. SACHS: Yes, yes. 16 MR. CYMBALSKY: Okay. Then why don't we 17 just say that? 18 MR. SACHS: So why don't we take that 19 savings in energy savings? 20 MR. CYMBALSKY: Okay. We just said it, 21 so okay. I think we could -- you know, we look 22 forward - - obviously we're not going to get

- 1 anything here today. We didn't expect to. But
- 2 you know, we're putting the plea out for whatever
- 3 you can do to help the department make, you know,
- 4 a decision on that. So the numbers obviously
- 5 would help policymakers and that to get to that
- 6 spot. So why don't we just move on to the small
- 7 businesses at this point?
- 8 MR. LAU: All right. Moving on to --
- 9 Chris Lau, from Navigant. Moving on to the next
- 10 slide, we did do a small business subgroup
- 11 analysis. We identified two small manufacturers.
- 12 One produced gas- fired product. They account for
- 13 17 of the 254 listing in the -- it says the AHRI
- 14 directory here but it's actually in the combined
- 15 directory that we put together. What we found was
- 16 their product mix was not substantially different
- 17 from some of their larger competitors, meaning
- 18 that they were not the only manufacturer that only
- 19 produced baseline equipment.
- 20 We also found one oil-fired commercial
- 21 warm air furnace manufacturer. They account for
- 22 11 out of the 16 listings in the directory,

- 1 indicating that they are fairly substantial in
- 2 this niche. They also offer the most efficient
- 3 products in the market and so we believe that they
- 4 are a leader in this niche and in general DOE was
- 5 unable to identify any publicly- available data
- 6 that would lead to the conclusion that the small
- 7 manufacturers would be differentially impacted
- 8 from the average in the industry than their larger
- 9 competitors though DOE does recognize that small
- 10 manufacturers may need to allocate a greater
- 11 portion of their available technical resources
- 12 than competitors, and may need to access outside
- 13 capital.
- And so, with that, you know, we have
- 15 multiple requests for comment. I think we've
- 16 stated many of these. But essentially we seek
- 17 comment as always on the number of small
- 18 manufacturers and the potential impact to those
- 19 small manufacturers and the severity of those
- 20 impacts.
- 21 Again, sometimes it can be very
- 22 difficult to find public information on these

172 manufacturers and we do want to make sure we properly consider the impacts on them. DOE seeks comment on the potential impacts of the amended standards on the industry, on the conversion costs for the industry, on the key drivers, again that level of detail on the key drivers of those conversion costs and then on changes in manufacturer prices and markups. And finally, we invite all comment on the MIA. 10 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike? 11 MR. RAY: I assume that you went to them direct and asked them specifically. 12 13 MR. LAU: We did. MR. RAY: Okay, yeah. I would assume 14 15 that you would. But yeah. MR. BROOKMAN: Jill? 16 MS. HOOTMAN: So it's a detail I know in 17 this that I just have a question on where -- how 19 you derive the number of production workers 20 affected --21 MR. LAU: Sure. 22 MS. HOOTMAN: -- because I'm quite

- 1 shocked by the number.
- 2 MR. LAU: Sure. So the number of
- 3 production workers actually primarily falls out of
- 4 three pieces of information. One is we use the
- 5 annual survey of manufacturers, so U.S. census
- 6 data on typical production workers' wages in this
- 7 industry. Then we look at the MPC for the
- 8 engineering analysis and the percentage of that
- 9 MPC that we estimate to be labor content. And
- 10 then, we look at shipments, national shipments.
- 11 And so, basically we're saying for
- 12 example there's a hundred shipments and \$10 of
- 13 that is labor content, then out of that \$1,000,
- 14 how many workers does it take to produce those
- 15 units. So a thousand dollars can be backed into
- 16 annual worker wage. I mean, obviously the example
- 17 the numbers are way too small but --
- 18 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah, the numbers are way
- 19 too small.
- 20 MR. BROOKMAN: Mike Rivest?
- 21 MR. RIVEST: Mike Rivest. I think that
- 22 goes back to the definition of the industry.

- 1 We're only considering the revenues associated
- 2 with the heating section and you may have in mind
- 3 the full packaged unit. No? I mean, if each of
- 4 these units cost, like you said, said if it's \$400
- 5 and, you know, I've been looking at these surveys
- 6 of manufacturers for I don't know how many years
- 7 now, but typically it's 10 percent is labor.
- 8 Take 10 percent of the cost, not of the
- 9 sales price. It's 10 percent and that average
- 10 labor cost is under -- it's under \$20. It's just
- 11 a multiplication. So I don't know how -- and then
- 12 we add in for indirect labor. So you know, not
- 13 the people actually on the line but the people --
- MS. HOOTMAN: The suppliers.
- MR. RIVEST: No, we don't add in for the
- 16 suppliers, but -- I mean, the supervisors in the
- 17 plant, things like that. And that's how we build
- 18 up our labor estimates. So I'd be surprised that
- 19 we're that far off if we're talking about the same
- 20 thing.
- 21 MS. HOOTMAN: All right. Well, this is
- 22 where I have the issue. I can look at three

175 manufacturing facilities that make these gas furnaces and I have on order in those manufacturing facilities dedicated just to heat exchangers and making them the number that you have in here, 200 people. 6 MR. RIVEST: Okay. MS. HOOTMAN: So that's where I go, huh, I got --9 MR. RIVEST: That's good information. I 10 mean --MS. HOOTMAN: -- people making that and 11 I'm just one of the many people that you just --12 you know, so that's where I go how did you back into it because it's not in actuality what's 15 happening. 16 MR. RIVEST: Okay. That's good 17 information. I mean, I think --18 MR. VON WENTZEL: Constantin von Wentzel 19 here at Navigant. Any information that you can 20 submit to us, we would appreciate. There might be 21 an issue where your manufacturing assets are 22 shared across a wider range of product. So that

176 might be where the 200 people come from as opposed to 200 people being dedicated only to 250,000 Btu 3 furnaces. MS. HOOTMAN: Okay. So that's a good 4 thing. Is it just the 250,000 or to all of the furnaces under commercial warm air? 7 MR. VON WENTZEL: No, no. 8 MS. HOOTMAN: Okay. 9 MR. VON WENTZEL: No, no. I mean, it's just that's where the \$40 would come in, right? 10 11 MS. HOOTMAN: Okay. 12 MR. VON WENTZEL: I mean, obviously if you're building a million Btu furnace, you're going to require more tubing, more labor, more 15 everything. 16 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah, right. Okay. 17 MR. RIVEST: But more simply, we have the revenue, our revenue estimates per year and 19 then, like I said, labor is about 10 percent. Are 20 we far off there? 21 MS. HOOTMAN: Yeah, and I heard you say that before and I admit I didn't find it in here. 22

```
177
   But you said this is a $75 million industry.
             MR. RIVEST: That's the value per year.
 2
 3
             MS. HOOTMAN: That's the value
   pertaining to commercial warm air furnaces. Is
   that right?
             MR. RIVEST: Right.
             MS. HOOTMAN: And I realize that's a
   subsection of -- I mean --
 9
             MR. RIVEST: Right. You may want to
10
   look at it.
11
             MS. HOOTMAN: So I'll comment. If
12 that's the amount --
13
             MR. RIVEST: The annual sales -- it's
14
  about --
15
             MR. LAU: $70 million.
             MR. RIVEST: $70 million revenue.
16
17
             MS. HOOTMAN: Okay.
18
             MR. RIVEST: So that would be --
19
             MS. HOOTMAN: Off the top of my head,
20
   because we don't pull it apart, I'd have to do
21
   some figures on that.
22
            MR. RIVEST: Back out the markup and
```

178 then take 10 percent of that and then take 15 --2 MR. BROOKMAN: So while they're looking, just for the record, we've had this exchange going back and forth for several minutes between Mike Rivest and Jill, so we know who's speaking here. MS. HOOTMAN: Thank you. MR. BROOKMAN: And Chris, when you find that number, did you find the number? 10 MR. LAU: The annual revenue is roughly 11 \$70 million. 12 MS. HOOTMAN: Seventy, okay. 13 Okay, so in this last MR. BROOKMAN: conversation, boy, we've really illustrated the 15 benefits of getting really good comment from the manufacturers, really very, very beneficial. 17 Okay, Chris, keep going. 18 MR. LAU: That's actually my last slide, 19 and I'll hand it back to Victor. 20 MR. FRANCO: Thank you. This is Victor 21 Franco again. Now, we're going to be looking at

22 the environmental impacts and indirect employment

- 1 analysis. As part of the environmental impacts,
- 2 we take into account the emission impacts in the
- 3 analysis to estimate the emission reductions
- 4 resulting from the amended standards.
- 5 These include the full-fuel-cycle
- 6 emissions that include the power plant and
- 7 upstream emissions and include the fugitive
- 8 methane emissions.
- 9 The method for determining this is using
- 10 outputs from AEO 2013 both in the reference and
- 11 standard cases to estimate marginal emission
- 12 factors. The results of these for TSL 4 are shown
- 13 below. Energy savings from the proposed standards
- 14 are expected to be the following reductions.
- 15 Further information about the methodology and
- 16 about the results are provided in chapter 13 of
- 17 the TSD.
- In addition, DOE took account of the
- 19 monetization of these emission reductions to
- 20 estimate the potential monetary benefit of reduced
- 21 power plant emissions resulting from the
- 22 considered energy conservation standards. DOE

- 1 used the most current social cost of carbon values
- 2 developed through the interagency reviews. The
- 3 interagency estimates are shown in this next
- 4 slide, range from \$12 to \$119 per metric ton. For
- 5 emission reductions that occur in later years, SCC
- 6 values grow in real terms over time. DOE also
- 7 monetized NOx emissions reductions resulting from
- 8 amended standards. These range from \$476 to
- 9 \$4,893 per short ton. DOE calculated monetary
- 10 benefits using the median value of \$2,684 per
- 11 short ton.
- 12 The results are shown in this next
- 13 slide. For TSL 4, the CO2 monetized emission
- 14 reductions ranged from \$175 million to \$2.6
- 15 billion. More details about the methodology and
- 16 about these results are included in chapter 14 of
- 17 the TSD. DOE requests comments on this part of
- 18 the analysis, specifically seeks comments on its
- 19 approach for conducting the emissions analysis for
- 20 commercial warm air furnaces. Also DOE seeks
- 21 comment on the approach for estimating monetary
- 22 benefits associated with emissions reductions,

181 including in the social cost of carbon values used. 3 MR. BROOKMAN: Frank? MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik, AHRI. I've got to ask why are we measuring -- why is the cost of CO2 in a metric ton but the NOx is in short tons? Could we use the same ton? But the more serious question is so what's -- you explained that you're -- maybe I'm more familiar with the estimates for the social cost of carbon and the CO2 numbers. At least I have some idea 11 where they came from. Where did you get the 12 estimate for the value of the NOx emission reductions? Did that come from the same social cost of carbon? No? I didn't think so. 16 MR. CYMBALSKY: Yeah, so I'm more familiar with the social cost of carbon numbers as well, which come from an interagency agreement 19 and, you know, we're basically told what to use 20 That's not a DOE thing. As for the NOx, 21 I'm pretty sure we're getting the value from what EPA has done in this area. So the numbers I 22

182 believe are 28 -- if you look at the totals, yeah, the carbon values are much, much higher, so. 3 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik. I guess, John, I was looking quite the opposite. I'm looking at --6 MR. CYMBALSKY: I meant when you add them up. I mean, not --8 MR. STANONIK: Oh, oh. Yeah, okay. 9 MR. CYMBALSKY: When you look in the summary table shows that the carbon -- the sum of 10 the carbon benefits in the rule are much bigger, 11 threefold or so. 12 MR. STANONIK: Right. But the value of 13 a reduced ton of NOx is factors time higher than the CO2. Yeah, okay. 15 Michael McCabe? 16 MR. BROOKMAN: 17 MR. MCCABE: Michael McCabe. Victor, I apologize for this question but I should have 19 asked back on the NIA but this discussion just 20 reminded me. At TSL 5, the condensing, are you 21 capturing any fuel switching from gas-fired 22 furnaces to heat pumps and how is that captured in

183

- 1 the environmental impact analysis?
- 2 MR. FRANCO: Thank you for that
- 3 question, Mike. For this analysis, we did not
- 4 take into account any equipment switching or fuel
- 5 switching. DOE is not proposing currently
- 6 condensing as a standard and that was not
- 7 analyzed. If you want to provide comments to
- 8 that, if DOE should analyze that, please do.
- 9 MR. BROOKMAN: Frank Stanonik?
- 10 MR. STANONIK: Frank Stanonik. Sorry,
- 11 one more question. I just want to make sure. So
- 12 on slide 96, all those estimated reductions, are
- 13 those just reductions associated with the -- what
- 14 do I call it, with the generation of the energy
- 15 whatever or as an example in the case of nitrogen
- 16 oxides, is it actually looking at the actual NOx
- 17 emissions of the furnace itself?
- 18 MR. FRANCO: I believe it's a mixture
- 19 for both. But it's mainly from the electricity.
- 20 MR. BROOKMAN: It's a mixture --
- 21 MR. CYMBALSKY: It's the combustion of
- 22 fuel.

184 1 MR. FRANCO: Combustion of fuel, yeah. 2 MR. CYMBALSKY: So it doesn't matter where it happens. MR. FRANCO: Next, we look at the 4 utility impact analysis, assesses the impacts of the electric installed capacity and generation resulting from the adoption of these amended standards. We modeled this based on the energy savings impacts on the different TSLs using NEMS-BT to generate forecasts that deviate from the AEO 10 11 reference case, AEO 2013. 12 The output is the total in electricity 13 generation, changes in primary fuel, changes to the mix of electricity generation by fuel type and 15 changes to total installed capacity. Detailed results are provided in chapter 15 of the TSD as 16 well as more details about the methodology. 18 Finally, DOE took into account the indirect 19 employment impact analysis to assess the overall 20 impact on indirect national employment from the 21 amended standards that results from shifting 22 consumer expenditures among goods and services and

185 changing product and energy costs. 2 The methodology used the impact of sector energy technologies (ImSET) model to evaluate indirect employment impacts. Changes in national equipment and energy expenditures from the NIA are entered as inputs into the ImSET. net labor impacts will be small over time due to small magnitude of the short-term effects. More details about this analysis are provided in chapter 16 of the TSD as well as the methodology 10 11 that was used. 12 MR. BROOKMAN: So as you can see in 13 the agenda, now is another opportunity for closing remarks, summary comments here as we move towards 15 the end of the meeting. Frank? 16 MR. STANONIK: And again, if this has 17 come up before, you can just tell me to be quiet. 18 I think --19 MR. SACHS: So moved. 20 [Laughter.]

we have a concern about is that the trial levels

MR. STANONIK: I think one of the issues

21

22

186

- 1 may in fact result in increasing the size of the
- 2 unit and if that is an outcome of a trial level,
- 3 that now does incur potentially some installation
- 4 costs, certainly in a replacement situation
- 5 because most of these units are in fact placed on
- 6 top of a platform I guess I'll call it or whatever
- 7 and if the unit ends up -- and again, realizing
- 8 we're talking about inputs from 226,000 to 2
- 9 million or whatever or more.
- But in any case, if that bigger unit
- 11 requires some rework of, if you will, the
- 12 installation platform or whatever, that's a cost I
- 13 think that at this point hasn't been factored in
- 14 and we have a concern that it is going to be
- 15 there. And again, I only bring it up now because
- 16 I probably missed my chance since I came in late.
- 17 MR. BROOKMAN: Additional comments
- 18 here as we move towards the end of the meeting?
- 19 Harvey Sachs?
- 20 MR. SACHS: Harvey Sachs, thank you.
- 21 MR. BROOKMAN: Thank you. I'll turn
- 22 it back to John Cymbalsky for closing remarks.

187 MR. CYMBALSKY: Okay, thanks, Doug. 1 this last slide here just again shows how to submit comments. Please use the email box as opposed to regular mail if you can. The comment period will close just before midnight, April 6th, So again, thanks for everyone for braving the weather this morning to join us in person. And for those of you on the webinar, thanks for participating as well. I think the meeting went 10 well today. We appreciate all the comment we received and again look forward to more in your 11 12 written comments over the next month. Thanks 13 again. Bye. 14 (WHEREUPON, the foregoing adjourned at 15 1:29 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

		188
1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	
2	I, NATASHA THOMAS, the Court Reporter before whom	
3	the foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby	
4	certify that the proceeding was recorded by me;	
5	that the proceeding was thereafter reduced to	
6	typewriting under my direction; that said	
7	transcript is a true and accurate record of the	
8	proceeding; that I am neither related to nor	
9	employed by any of the parties to this proceeding;	
10	and, further, that I have no financial interest in	
11	this proceeding.	
12		
13		
14	1/100	
15	Natalia Thomas	
16		
17	NATASHA THOMAS	
18	AUDIO REPORTER	
19		
20		
21		
22		

		189
1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER	
2		
3	I, BENJAMIN GRAHAM, do hereby certify that	
4	this transcript was prepared from audio to the	
5	best of my ability.	
6	I am neither counsel for, related to, nor	
7	employed by any of the parties to this action, nor	
8	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome	
9	of this action.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	BENJAMIN GRAHAM	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

\$	146:20 149:11	180:16	150:19 161:17
\$1,000 173:13	156:12	15 4:6 40:8 76:16	200 107:5 175:5
\$10 61:22 65:4	1,200 105:4	96:8 97:22 98:5	176:1,2
113:19 173:12	1,500 92:22 94:15	122:3 156:20 178:1 184:16	200,000 121:20
\$119 180:4	96:19	15,000 94:7	2003 98:3,4,15
\$12 180:4	1.28 59:8	153 4:13	100:8,11
\$175 180:14	1.3 69:2,11	16 170:22 185:10	2005 79:8
\$2,684 180:10	1.31 59:7 68:9	160 107:7,9	2007 11:21 79:10,13
\$2.6 180:14	1.4 69:2	160 107.7,9 161 94:21	2011 123:10
\$20 58:11 157:2	1.9 149:6		
174:10	1:29 187:15	163 94:20 97:4	2012 79:7 102:4 117:17 140:13
\$4,893 180:9	10 4:4 14:9 56:6	163.4 97:10,17	2013 79:15 101:3
\$40 176:10	57:3,19 65:22 74:5,16 96:8,22	17 152:11,17,22 153:7 170:13	111:21 117:19
\$400 174:4	107:1 122:3	178 4:15	118:4,13 140:5
\$476 180:8	132:6 160:12	18 123:12	144:9,10 179:10 184:11
\$5 57:20 64:16,17 65:4 113:19	174:7,8,9 176:19 178:1	187 4:16,17	2015 1:14 187:6
114:4	10:15 7:22	19 161:17	2016 167:20
\$5,000 129:10	10:45 76:15	1975 11:10	2018 93:14,22
\$6 57:20 114:4	100 14:8 38:10	1980 140:13	94:18,20
\$60 157:3 161:7	1000 1:20	1992 11:12 13:15	97:16,20 98:5,15
165:12 167:9	103 14:5	1993 14:6	100:8,17 117:18 124:22 125:3
\$70 177:15,16	10K 58:19 79:5	1994 13:19	126:19 138:14
178:11	10-ton 48:5	140:11,17	141:8 142:4,9,13 145:7
\$75 162:12 177:1	10-year 117:14		
+	11 170:22	2	2030 117:20
+ 1:6,8,11,13	11:00 76:17 77:2	2 1:14 45:22 56:5 64:22 86:14	2040 117:19,20,21
	11.00 70.17 77.2 117 94:22	107:1 134:13	2047 138:15 141:8 142:4,10,14
0	11 7 94.22 12 104:1 149:9	146:3 156:12	145:9
0.25 148:15	12 104.1 149.9 12.5 113:14	160:18 186:8	20585 1:21
0.3 148:14	12:3 113:14 12:20 130:11	2.5 160:17	21 56:14
1	13 104:1 179:16	2.7 146:19	210 94:17
1 13:19 57:5 66:9	13 104.1 179.16 138 4:11	2:15 8:10	97:11,14
86:14 125:3	14 85:20 86:1	20 66:1 74:5 89:13 98:7 107:2	225,000 13:2,8
141:9 145:7,21	14 03.20 00.1	70.7 107.2	19:9,11

		<u> </u>	
226,000 186:8	122:6 125:20	121:19 133:9	125:1
2-3 39:19	126:3 145:9	140:19 151:5	93 125:12,22
24 145:1,3 150:8	146:6 168:16	81 13:21 18:22	126:3 164:12
25 96:22 116:1	182:20	20:2 53:22 54:1	95 18:3 89:14
	50 42:14	57:7 58:11	111:14 125:18
250 91:8 94:4	113:6,13,17	64:16,17 72:19 125:10,12 126:1	164:13
121:19,20	50402 1:12	145:1 146:2,3,4	96 183:12
250,000 64:19	53 95:15 97:11	149:2,9 150:4,6	
65:9,18 66:9 67:14 69:14	100:7	151:4,5,6,10	A
99:13 141:6	54 99:17	82 19:1,3 20:4	a.m 1:21
159:21 176:2,5	55 97:9 103:10,11	40:2 53:22 54:1	ability 46:11
254 170:13	120:20,21 149:5	56:17,18 57:2,7	49:2,4 156:7
	162:22	58:11,12 62:1	189:5
26 123:14	56 106:9	64:17 71:5	able 9:19 43:5
28 41:4 182:1	58 156:21 162:22	72:1,6,19 75:12 76:10 114:5	47:11 53:12
2nd 5:8		119:12,18	106:16
	6	120:14 131:16	160:14,15 161:1
3	60 48:3,19	132:18	above-referenced
3 144:13	62 112:4	133:10,11	1:19
146:4,18,19 156:11	67 144:22 145:2	134:1,8,10	abscesses 114:11
		146:3,4,5	absence 124:14
3,750 92:13	6th 187:5	149:2,9 150:6 151:7,9	126:19
300,000 141:7	7	83 136:10 145:12	absolutely 66:4
	7 56:7 144:13		134:20
4	146:18,19	84 134:18	AC 17:9 114:15,20
4 8:19	168:16	85 148:22	163:1 168:18
146:5,7,15,19 156:11,20	7,500 94:5	8E-809 1:20	ACCA 79:8
179:12 180:13	71 132:16		access 171:12
40 48:3 74:1	727-2006 14:5	9	accessible 9:11
400,000 74:19		9:00 1:21	accommodate
413.76 14:10	75 156:20	90 19:2,3,7 26:5,6	55:7
	77 4:9	34:12 43:21 44:5 51:14 145:3	according 27:10
42 68:9	8	90.1 11:19 165:3	account 25:19
45 74:1 77:6	80 13:20 18:21	167:19	47:5,18 61:18
46 80:13	53:22 56:17 57:7	90-plus 39:6	73:19 74:1
	61:8,22 64:16	·	78:9,19 79:1
5	72:19 89:12 97:9	92 19:8 20:5 43:21	80:16 81:2,3
5 4:2 18:4 89:15	103:18 114:5	44:5 47:16 58:12 103:18 121:21	83:21 88:5 89:3
104:4 111:16		103.10 121.21	91:3,4,13,19

92:15 93:7,13 actors 165:3 129:10 158:9 2:17 94:1 95:9 actual 18:11 27:1 32:18 62:18 added 11:12 59:13 admit 30:9 1 105:16 106:17 32:18 62:18 83:19 135:14 adoption 41: 118:17,20 124:10 136:20 103:19,21 adding 140:1 advance 133 138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 122:12 124:20 55:4 57:22 79:14 advantages 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 179:18 additional 28:9 166:13 accounting 97:20 110:10 37:19 38:8,9 32:9 36:18 49:1 50:1,5,7 58:2,3 advocate 36:	:11 :17 06:13 7,9 4:11
96:14,16 101:8 105:16 106:17 108:12 111:13 118:17,20 124:10 136:20 138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 actual 18:11 27:1 32:18 62:18 81:12 83:5 92:20 96:9 97:14 99:6 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 109:17 111:4 122:12 124:20 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 actual 18:11 27:1 32:18 62:18 81:12 83:5 92:20 96:9 97:14 99:6 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 addition 39:4 54:5 55:4 57:22 79:14 95:9 108:1,11,22 109:18 111:8 179:18 advantage 10 advantage 10 advantages 166:13 advantages 166:13 advantages 166:13 32:19 36:18 49:1 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	:11 :17 06:13 7,9 4:11
96:14,16 101:8 105:16 106:17 108:12 111:13 118:17,20 124:10 136:20 138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 32:18 62:18 81:12 83:5 92:20 96:9 97:14 99:6 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 109:17 111:4 109:17 111:4 12:18 183:16 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 32:18 62:18 83:19 135:14 added 11:12 59:13 83:19 135:14 adders 110:21 adding 140:1 addition 39:4 54:5 55:4 57:22 79:14 95:9 108:1,11,22 109:18 111:8 176:22 adoption 41: 184:7 advance 133 advantage 109:18 111:8 179:18 advantages 166:13 adverse 37:7 advocacy 16 32:9 36:18 49:1 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	:11 :17 06:13 7,9 4:11
108:12 111:13 118:17,20 124:10 136:20 138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 108:12 83:3 92.20 96:9 97:14 99:6 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 109:17 111:4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27:19 20:0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	:17 06:13 7,9 4:11
18:17,20	:17 06:13 7,9 4:11
103:19,21 124:10 136:20 138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 109:17 111:4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27:10 20:00 103:19,21 104:22 105:14 109:17 111:4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27:10 20:00 27:10 20:00 27:10 20:00 20:15 7 58:2 3	06:13 7,9 4:11
138:17 139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 104:22 103.14 109:17 111:4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 170:12,8 170:12,91 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 104:22 103.14 109:17 111:4 109:18 111:8 109:18 111:8 179:18 179:18 additional 28:9 32:9 36:18 49:1 32:10 20:0 0.0 advantage 10 advantage 10 advantages 166:13 advantage 10 adva	06:13 7,9 4:11
139:2,5,6,20,22 141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 109:17 111.4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 170:12,8 183:4 184:18 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27:10 20:0.0 109:17 111.4 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 179:18 179:18 additional 39:4 34:5 166:13 advantage 10 166:13 166:13 adverse 37:7 advocacy 16 19:14 21:11 27:10 20:0.0 19:14 21:11 27:10 20:0.0 19:15 7 58:2 3	7,9 4:11
141:18 142:5 166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 122:12 124:20 127:17,22 139:3 142:18 183:16 109:18 111:8 179:18 advantages 166:13 adverse 37:7 advocacy 16 32:9 36:18 49:1 32:10 23:0 0.0 33:4 37.22 79.14 95:9 108:1,11,22 109:18 111:8 179:18 advocacy 16 32:9 36:18 49:1 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	4:11 :1
166:2 167:10 170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18 actually 17:7 accounting 97:20 142:18 183:16 actually 17:14 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27.10.20.0.0 109:18 111:8 179:18 adverse 37:7 advocacy 16 32:9 36:18 49:1 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	4:11 :1
170:12,21 179:2,18 183:4 184:18	4:11 :1
179:2,18 183:4 184:18 accounting 97:20 actually 17:7 19:14 21:11 27.10 20:0.0 additional 28:9 32:9 36:18 49:1 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	1
accounting 97:20 actually 17:7 additional 28:5 advocate 36:	1
accounting 97:20 19.14 21.11 50:1 5 7 58:2 3	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1.10.10 & \begin{bmatrix} 3/. & 9.38.89 & \end{bmatrix} & \frac{30.1, 5, 7.30.2, 5}{30.2, 5} & \end{bmatrix}$ advanated 2	1:21
110:10 37:19 30:0,9 advocated 5	
accounts 51:15 55:15 61:16 62:7,9 64:9 66:1 advocating	I
82:5,16,19 83:17	
85:4,16 86:6 76:22 72:10 74:4 75:1,3,7 76:13 AEMTCA 1	2:7
87:10 88:6 93:14 100:11 14 21 89:16 90:13 AFO	
141:9 102:16:104:18 101:22:104:14 100:9:10:1	7 21
accuracy 69:6 123:2 127:13 119:19 186:17 100.5,10,1	
accurate 141·14 129:17 133:1,9 additive 169:10 144:9,10 1	
188.7 148:11 150:7 address 131:11 184:10,11	
ACEEE 3:3,12 6:7 151:10 153:4,11 addressing affect 37:18	38:5
150:4 159:6 autresing	
100.10,19 103.4	0
52.0 12 174.12 179.19 144.20 145	
Adjourn 4:17 172:20	
achieved 37:13 adjourned 187:14	,
45.21 A.22 15.16 adjourning 8.19	
achieving 37:15 24:12 26:22 34:4 adjust 03:20 21	
across 46:5 61:19 36:21 43:18 05:7 101:14 AFUE 28:18	,22
82:7 132:16,20 50:11 55:18 29:9 33:7	
175:22 62:4,5 65:6 adjusted 107:8 40:11,19	
act 11:10,22 52:4 70:19 75:9 adjustment 91:16 against 33:6	45:15
82:16 adaptation 62:16 94:19 97:18 age 122:20	
acting 12:12 83:9 adapting 23:2 adjustments agenda 4:2.7	,. ₁₂
93:15,19 106:18 8:18 185:1	
add 40:10 49:10 107:7	
100.22 07.11 127.20 Administration Administration	
active 38:8 106:11 127:20 ago 74:16 10	7:5

		<u></u>	
141:3	141:14 142:3	153:19 172:3	124:10,19 127:2
agreed 69:9 90:8	144:22 153:19	179:4 180:8	129:14 132:15
	164:13,22	184:7,21	133:2 136:20,21
agreement 181:18	166:16,17	amending 11:17	137:5,8
ahead 27:4 29:12	167:15 168:4,15	amends 11:19	138:10,12,14,16
33:12 63:13	170:21 176:6		139:14 140:3
80:11 82:3	177:4 180:20	American 6:6	141:8,20,22
131:18	airflow 61:19	11:21	142:1,13,18,19,2
AHRI 3:10,13	62:14 160:6	among 184:22	2 143:8,9,19,21
17:19 102:2	airs 35:3	amount 30:12	144:11,14
119:8 124:21	airtime 9:5	48:2 55:5 66:12	146:7,10,22 147:1,5,12 150:2
125:9 133:16		72:5 128:15	151:1,13,15,17,1
140:13 148:21	Alex 2:21 6:20	177:12	9 152:6,10,13,21
170:13 181:4	86:19,20		153:16,18
air 1:10 5:5	aligned 168:5,9	analog 41:6	154:16,20
10:15,21	169:5	analyses 14:15	155:9,10 170:11
11:12,15,18	allocate 171:10	77:14 155:5	173:8 179:1,3
12:4,13,15,17,22		analysis	180:18,19
13:7,9,10,11,21	allow 39:5 45:12	4:6,9,10,11,12	183:1,3 184:5,19
14:3,7 16:19,22	46:18	7:21	185:9
17:9	alluded 37:1 53:20	8:1,3,7,8,9,12	analytic 157:20
19:13,14,18,20	already 9:1,6	10:17 14:18	-
22:20	44:13 46:9 71:10	15:2,19,22 18:19	analytical 153:9
23:8,12,13,19,21	102:17 107:21	20:18 21:8,9,10	analyze 84:21
24:14,15,18	108:3 116:14,19	23:7 36:12,22	183:8
25:7,8,15,21	124:17 150:4,21	37:1 38:1	analyzed 51:8
26:1 28:8,10	151:6,9,11 155:5	39:2,17 43:20	71:4 117:15
29:16 30:19,20	169:1	44:3,12	183:7
31:2,3,8,14,16 32:15 33:1	alter 149:15	50:6,10,13 51:19	and/or 71:22
34:15,16,17,22		53:6,21 54:12	82:11
35:22 36:8,10	alternative 152:8	56:15,19 59:9 60:8 64:18	
41:6 42:10,13,16	alternatives	65:7,12 66:8	Aniruddh 3:10
43:10,19,22 44:4	151:20 152:1,7	70:11 71:2 75:9	61:4,5 63:12
46:22	aluminized	77:6,13,16	68:2
54:15,18,21	71:21,22 72:13	79:4,9,17 89:16	annual 58:21
55:14 60:14,20	am 31:20,21 42:6	90:14 91:1,2	90:18,21 97:10
64:4 68:12,15,21	75:22 114:19	94:16 99:13	108:7,9 117:4
73:14,16	125:13 149:13	103:16 105:20	139:21 140:2,8
89:6,7,11 90:19	188:8 189:6	107:14,16,19	142:7,19,21,22
92:1 104:22		108:20,22	143:8,18,22
105:12 118:3	amended 11:22	109:19 117:18	144:1 147:8
125:2	12:3,8 126:19	122:19	148:3 149:5,8
140:10,14,16,20	141:16 151:21	123:5,6,17	173:5,16 177:13
•			

178:10 annualized 119:4 ANSI 14:4 40:10 answer 32:3 44:8 answered 150:11 Antitrust 3:1 anybody 7:13 8:16	168:12 175:20 187:10 appreciated 133:5 approach 26:17 50:16 109:2 126:9,15 180:19,21 appropriate	assess 151:19 153:18 184:19 assesses 184:5 assessment 4:5 7:21 15:1,18,20 16:1,8 18:15 20:9,10 22:13 50:19 56:10 155:7	attendees 10:11 attention 29:14 audio 188:18 189:4 authority 4:4 7:19 11:5,9 12:11 automatically 29:3
anything 23:15 62:20 65:16 69:6 106:10 170:1 anytime 115:18 anyways 15:16	24:3,5 26:21 77:18 100:1 appropriately 84:21 90:7 133:6 April 187:5	asset 123:21 assets 175:21 assign 99:22 associated 47:15	auxiliary 95:9 availability 37:8 118:18 124:21 160:15 available 9:4
apart 177:20 Apologies 64:7 apologize 133:17 182:18 appears 64:18 appendices 153:5	arbitrarily 128:21 arbitrary 156:18 area 39:7 54:2 57:4 61:11,15 76:8 181:22 areas 72:20 73:6 75:22 76:10	74:4 75:2 81:21 135:11 158:15 174:1 180:22 183:13 assume 86:1 89:11 92:13 94:7 104:4 111:14,17	16:13 28:20 29:16 51:9,13 55:6 109:5 114:18 117:4 118:10 125:7 153:1,4,7 171:5,11
appliances 11:9 applicable 38:17 application 25:1 28:15 30:22 114:7	117:1 arena 26:8 44:21 aren't 47:18 argument 29:20,22	125:18 129:9 134:2 145:8 152:22 165:18,22 172:11,14 assumed 62:14 63:7 81:3 96:19	Avenue 1:20 average 59:1 93:20 95:16,20,22 97:2,3,18 98:14 100:14 104:21
applications 26:15 31:13 32:20,21 33:3 42:8 60:1 101:16 114:4 applied 26:6 31:1 59:13 66:7 80:5 110:1 111:13	arguments 148:16 arms 83:1,8 Arnold 2:10 35:5,7,10,14,17, 19,21 arrives 158:1	112:13 122:5 125:1 148:17 assuming 121:17 128:3 134:5 169:10 assumption 56:20	105:9,13 117:17 119:4 123:20 127:3,4,5,10,12, 13 134:7 143:9 149:22 150:21 158:21 171:8 174:9
113:8,14 applies 27:20 apply 27:20 145:6 applying 58:18 appreciate 5:14 10:5 76:7 77:7 106:6 136:18	artifact 103:15 ASHRAE 11:19 14:5 41:8 101:10 106:13 107:1,3 123:3 165:3 166:18 aspects 165:1	62:19 65:10 66:8 74:7 114:14 assumptions 57:10 100:12 113:3 122:14 144:21 156:5 attached 73:3	avoid 135:22 aware 23:6,15 75:22 147:14 away 22:15 B backed 173:15

background 21:21 147:22 148:2	37:2 50:20 51:8 52:14 53:22	86:21 best 85:5 189:5	bounds 160:17 162:13
badge 76:20 baffles 39:13	56:18 64:20 91:6 95:3 105:2	better 87:3 102:6 103:7,8 135:17	box 31:11 36:17 130:15 187:3
balances 122:9	120:13 121:15 135:9,13 144:5 145:2 151:22	154:15 155:3 beyond 65:1	boxes 22:17 71:14 75:8 102:1 106:9
Ball 109:4	173:11 181:19	bigger 26:3	boy 178:14
ballpark 85:12	basis 157:12	48:6,20 136:16	braved 5:12
bare 29:2	bear 41:15 129:16	151:7 161:6	braving 7:9 187:6
barrier 45:16	beat 41:16	182:11 186:10	break 4:7 7:22
base 110:2,4 124:11,12	become 67:6	billion 146:19,21 180:15	8:10 50:8
126:17 139:5	becomes 158:14	bit 21:20 33:2	76:14,15,18 90:2 137:9,16
142:8,20 143:1,11,13	becoming 47:11	42:15 54:10,13 57:11 76:17 85:3	breakdown 17:22
144:4,16,21	beef 72:13	86:7 89:20	52:15
147:2 150:3	beefiness 72:5	93:1,3 94:22	brief 7:11,14
156:16,19 based 16:12 17:19	begin 5:2	97:4 103:15 106:19 108:18	bring 23:12,13 45:4 99:15
44:11 56:20,21	begun 71:12	127:15 141:7	186:15
57:6,9 58:19 59:2,5 62:19	102:18 behavior 132:22	144:3 145:16 146:16,20 148:2	bringing 31:6 45:11 133:18
65:3,17 74:18	believe 27:21	149:18 156:11	163:1
86:22 91:13	44:11 66:14	164:12	brings 21:1
93:16 138:22	69:15 77:5 96:3	blasts 60:17	_
140:17,18 141:1 142:21 148:8	102:4 114:2 148:7 171:3	blower 62:18	broadly 162:17
184:8	182:1 183:18	63:16,17,20 64:4	brochures 20:15
baseline 18:22	beneficial 152:8	blowing 63:17	broken 52:17
20:2 51:8	178:16	bluntly 169:4	Brookman 1:22
53:7,10,15 57:12	benefit 22:6 43:5	Bob 49:11	2:2 4:2 5:2,20
71:9 77:17	127:6 179:20	64:10,13 66:17	6:8 7:8 10:22 11:3 19:6 22:16
79:18,19 80:5,6	benefits 10:3	73:9,12 116:11	24:4,12 25:12
89:7 97:3 106:14 132:18 144:22	38:21 41:13	120:19	26:9,22 27:4
145:22 146:1	178:15	body 30:9	28:13 29:11
170:19	180:10,22	BOM 52:4	31:18 32:2,5,8
basic 91:3	182:11	BOMs 52:4	33:11,22 34:4
110:8,16 164:21	BENJAMIN	Boston 136:13	35:4,8,12,16,18 36:13 40:5 41:20
basically 16:4,14	189:3,14		43:16 44:18
17:21 21:5,15	Berkeley 2:14,21 6:19,21 77:11	bottom 80:14 153:6	46:13 47:19

48:16 49:7,13 50:4 59:17 60:5 61:1,3 62:4 63:10 64:9 66:3,17 67:9,22 68:5,19 69:7,18,22 70:7,10,14 71:12 72:9 73:8 74:11,14 75:6,18 76:13 77:4 80:10 81:8,19 82:3 83:13 84:7,22 85:7,11 86:19 87:4,20 88:13 89:18 90:13 95:13 97:7 98:18,20 99:10 100:4 101:5,22 102:9,13,21 103:3,8 106:8 107:10 109:21 112:2 113:15,22 114:9,22 115:21 116:9,11,16 119:7 120:2,5,15 121:2 125:8	186:17,21 BT 184:10 Btu 13:2,8 19:9 45:22 64:19 65:1 74:20 107:6 149:6 159:21 160:18 176:2,13 Btus 45:21 94:18,22 bucket 43:15 85:21 86:7 build 174:17 builder 112:10 building 2:6 5:7 32:13 42:19 43:9 45:5,11,12 64:4 76:20 79:14 91:13 92:3,8 93:9,14,15,22 94:6,14 97:19 98:6,13 100:13,21 105:6,10,17,22 106:3,4,14 107:8	burner 91:6,10 93:7 95:4,8 103:21 105:3 burners 36:8 42:9 67:3 burning 33:2 93:9 business 48:19 78:2 84:15 87:22 88:4,11 153:22 170:10 businesses 83:8 136:22 170:7 businessman 88:2 buying 84:12 132:21 150:21 151:6,9,10 Bye 187:13 C cabinet 25:3,4,16 46:8,17,18 54:8 55:5,6 73:20 74:3 160:4 168:18	calculation 47:6 99:17 106:13 113:21 131:20 132:1 164:3 calculations 92:2 94:18 104:17 109:6 calibrate 59:5 calibration 68:13 California 114:12 capability 116:3 capable 37:14 capacities 41:13 48:3,14 65:14 capacity 13:1 27:9,16 33:14 65:3,8,9,18,20 67:5,13 69:1 91:7,8 93:8,12 94:3 100:1,2 103:17 121:18,20,22 128:5 154:3 184:6,15
126:21 127:9 128:1,6 129:20	112:17 117:2,3 118:16 139:3 176:13	cabinets 47:7,13 158:16	capital 123:20,21 157:21 171:13
130:11,21 132:12 133:14 136:14 137:9,15 138:1,5 141:19 145:10 147:4 148:20 150:9,13 151:12 152:12,15 161:9,11 162:2 164:8 166:5 168:10 172:10,16 173:20 178:2,8,13 181:3 182:16 183:9,20 185:12	buildings 17:1 42:2 92:4,22 93:2 94:4,15 99:5,22 built 154:17 built-up 48:13 bulk 152:4 bullet 37:17 bunch 97:10 151:5 burden 161:14,20 162:15 163:7,8 Bureau 79:10,13	calculate 53:14 59:1,4 91:6 93:4 95:1 104:3 107:18 108:6 111:10 118:12 124:3 138:13 139:15 140:15 142:5,20 calculated 88:17 89:1 123:20 126:18 180:9 calculating 94:9,10,20 126:16	capture 161:14 captured 161:19 163:12 182:22 capturing 182:21 carbon 180:1 181:1,10,15,17 182:2,10,11 care 32:18 Carlo 109:2 Carrier 3:16 49:11 64:13 73:12

	Γ		
cars 48:10	168:18	channel 78:1,16	122:8
case 2:3 7:6 12:3	certain 22:2 73:6	87:3	circumstances
29:6 33:6 87:15	75:12,22 101:15	channels 77:20	88:9
92:12 110:2,4	163:10	78:4,10	citation 12:20 13:3
116:5 121:6	certainly 24:8,19	chapter 16:12	City 136:13
124:11,12	25:2 43:14 63:5	21:4 37:22 141:4	•
126:17 131:1 139:5,6 142:8,20	119:16 120:12	152:11,17,22	claim 164:10
143:1,2,3,11,13,	149:13 161:3	153:7 179:16	clarification 47:22
14 144:4,16,21	164:10 186:4	180:16 184:16	97:14
147:3 150:3	CERTIFICATE	185:10	clarified 150:12
156:17,19	188:1 189:1	chapters 153:1,5	clarifies 97:21
157:1,3 164:19	certification 51:4	characteristic	clarify 86:21
183:15 184:11	certify 188:4	28:5 102:18	125:16
186:10	189:3	characterization	class 17:22 22:22
cases 45:3 54:4	cetera 26:3 36:9	4:8 8:2 16:3	24:7 26:14,19,20
82:15 121:6		90:18 99:9	27:18,21 28:19
134:16 142:8	CFR 14:1,10	106:10 108:4	29:4,21 30:8,16
143:12	chain 82:17 86:22	116:20	31:19 33:19
155:16,17	challenges 47:15	charge 114:13	36:2,3,12
179:11	48:5 132:2	chart 43:20	66:7,10 144:2
cash 154:7	challenging 47:12	136:17	classes 13:14,16
catalog 52:2	157:17	check 118:22	21:16,18 22:1
caught 19:11	chambers 160:12	122:14	26:11 27:7,12
29:14	163:20	chevrons 14:17	28:15
caused 54:21	chance 10:21		clear 54:13 95:16
131:12	186:16	Chicago 105:4	151:4
caustic 45:4,13		chiller-based 31:3	clearinghouse
,	change 57:1 62:17 66:22 83:5 93:21	chime 147:21	79:16
CBECS 91:13 92:6,21 93:17	97:20 101:1	choose 139:10	clearly 29:17
94:17,21 97:15	156:2,9,12,13	chose 96:5	130:19
98:3 99:3	158:16,17		climate 93:20,21
100:8,11	159:19	Chris 6:17	97:19,20
102:4,17 107:5	changed 98:6	68:14,19,21 152:13 161:12	100:13,14 101:1
118:15 140:7	changes 23:14	166:21 168:12	close 92:22 94:15
cellphone 9:6	55:1,3 61:12	169:4 170:9	100:15,17
census	63:7 129:8	178:8,17	103:4,9 150:7
79:9,10,12,13	132:17 149:14	Christopher 2:19	153:6 187:5
117:19 140:13	172:7 184:13,15	4:13 153:15	closer 39:10 120:1
173:5	185:4		
central 17:9 163:1	changing 185:1	circulating 91:22	closing 4:16 8:15
- CHU at 17.7 103.1	L	95:6 96:15,18	

185:13 186:22	71:3,8,14 73:13	28:22 30:10	89:22 100:7,9
CO2 180:13	74:6 75:8 85:7	38:21 39:22 41:9	106:16 109:10
181:6,11 182:15	86:18 90:12 99:2	42:2,19 44:15,21	143:12
code 12:20 13:3	102:1 103:11	54:15,16 56:3	compared 18:4
115:22	104:20 105:15	57:15 59:8	24:10 60:4
	106:7 112:21	68:10,11,15,21	150:18
codes 45:12,15	120:3,9,16	77:14,19 78:21	compares 59:15
coffee 76:22	126:9,12,15,17	79:13,20 80:1,16	-
coils 26:2 30:7	130:9 136:19	81:5,20	comparing
	137:6,7	89:5,6,11 90:19 91:15	149:18,20
coincided 165:13	141:10,15 144:6 148:18	92:11,12,13,14,1	comparison 137:2
cold 7:9	171:15,17	7,18 93:8 94:2,6	compensating
column 125:16,17	171.13,17	99:5,7 100:22	42:15
127:11	178:15 180:21	102:3 104:21	
	187:4,10	102.3 104.21	competitors
columns 18:3	ŕ	103.12 108.21	170:17 171:9,12
combination	comments	118:3,8 123:3	competitor's
12:17 13:11	10:2,4,17,22	124:4,17	131:3
42:10,11	20:16 22:16	125:2,19 136:21	complete 9:3
combined 170:14	36:18 39:16,17	138:19	121:3 155:12
combustion 38:9	50:5,6 64:10	139:8,11,17,18	compliance
	67:5 69:17 70:21	140:6,10,14,16,2	130:14 154:5
63:16,17,20 183:21 184:1	71:2,14 72:4	0 141:14 142:3	
	73:2 75:7,11	147:9 148:7	comply 30:14
comes 18:15 98:3	76:7,14 77:7 80:8 81:7 88:15	153:19 161:16	complying 134:6
100:21 117:8,10	89:16 99:6,8,15	165:4 166:15,16	144:18
121:5 124:1	101:20 106:9	168:4,15 170:20	component 17:9
129:15,16 141:2	107:10 122:11	176:6 177:4	26:4 52:3,11
143:19 144:14	126:8,21 133:4	180:20	95:5 110:6,15
comfort 25:6	141:19 146:22	Commission 79:5	117:22 119:5
32:15,16,19 42:2	147:4,7,15		164:22
60:21	151:13 161:4	common 67:14	aomnonants
comfortable 25:8	180:17,18 183:7	74:20	components 54:9,17,19 55:2
60:17 138:2	185:14 186:17	commonly 145:21	58:4,6 61:20
coming 21:14	187:3,12	community	63:4 75:2 93:13
114:20 115:3	commercial 1:10	164:11 165:5	95:8 96:17
136:8 161:1	5:5 10:14,20	166:18,19	103:20 104:13
	11:12,15,18	ŕ	107:22 108:14
comment 21:15,16	12:3,21 13:9,20	companies 58:20 78:1 83:7	110:7 113:1
22:7,8,14,17,18	14:3,6		118:2 122:3,19
25:13 26:10	16:3,10,17,19,22	company 73:1	142:5 157:15
30:18 32:9 36:17	17:5 20:13,22	124:2	158:2
59:21 61:4 64:14	21:19 24:11 26:7	compare 68:11	
70:13,22	21.17 21.11 20.1		computer 9:21

		<u> </u>	
103:7	182:20 183:6	179:22	consulting 2:12,20
concentric 38:16	condition 25:9	consider 11:16	3:6,9,15 6:12,14
	120:7 131:8	12:7 90:10	7:1,3 112:1
conceptually		108:1,3 113:4	114:2
31:12	conditioned 45:8	147:12 149:21	consume 27:14
concern 119:17	conditioner 54:15	172:2	29:19
185:22 186:14	55:14 68:22		
concerned 158:18	73:16,17 166:16	consideration	consumed 27:15
	conditioners 41:6	8:21 22:22	consumer 28:7,9
concerns 23:17		37:2,18 41:5	77:15,19
119:14	68:12,16	163:17 164:5	78:5,8,18,21
concise 9:4	conditioning	165:10	79:20 80:1,16
	12:18 13:12	considerations	81:5,20 108:21
conclude 126:5	16:19 17:9 24:15	163:13	109:14,17 110:1
concludes 137:4	25:6,8		118:6 132:22
146:21	32:16,17,19 34:8	considered 21:12	137:8 139:8
conclusion 62:22	42:2 54:19,21	30:16 37:4 38:14	143:14 152:2,3
171:6	92:1	39:2 40:3 43:12	184:22
	conditions 33:17	44:7 61:13 90:20	
condensate	93:21 97:19	101:17 102:8	consumers
45:4,13 54:9	100:14 101:2	179:22	124:15,17
58:4 95:11	119:16	considering 41:8	132:21 139:10
111:3,4,5,12		174:1	150:4,20
112:15,16,22	conduct 52:1,12	considers 14:14	consumes 30:13
113:3,5,14	103:15 108:19	-	
114:18 115:2	133:2 154:12	constant 101:12	consumption 37:19 90:19 99:4
118:21,22	conducted 154:16	Constantin 3:14	102:19 104:14
134:21 136:1		6:11 44:11 76:5	
condensation	conducting 180:19	113:22 114:1	108:5 121:11,14 142:21 143:19
135:10	configuration	175:18	
	159:20		149:10
condense 72:8	configurations	constantly	content 11:4 70:15
condensing 19:2,4	159:19	115:8,9,18 116:6	154:19 155:2
22:4 29:15		constraints 154:3	173:9,13
30:11,14 38:16	confirmed 36:15	construction	context 165:7
39:5,9 45:18	confused 95:15	79:14 80:14	
49:17 54:5 55:4	confusing 127:16	88:22	continue 30:1
57:18,22 58:13		89:10,13,15	contract 87:16
71:18,19 72:20	confusion 149:18	98:13 112:5,9,18	contracting 81:13
75:14 91:22	consensus 157:7	138:18,21	83:1,8
104:10 111:1	conservation 1:9	139:15,17,19	contractor 78:18
113:2 114:3,7	5:5 11:10,17	140:5	79:8,11,12
118:20	12:8,10 126:19	constructions	80:17,21
119:15,21 120:8	138:15 142:2	138:18	80.17,21 81:17,21
125:1 145:7	151:21 152:9	130.10	01.1/,41
	101,21102,7		

82:10,22	169:8	135:4,13,14,18	course 8:18 14:15
83:6,9,12,21	core 105:6 106:2	137:7 139:10	54:3,7 103:12
84:1		142:12,13	104:14
87:2,9,14,18,22 88:9,19,20 132:8	corner 106:4 correct 34:21 48:8	143:10,11,13,14 144:10,11	Court 188:1,2
, ,	81:1,7 86:1	155:14,18	cover 52:20 70:10
contractors	95:19,22 96:8	156:8,10,22	71:13 78:2 80:2
78:7,14 81:6,11	98:9 99:21	169:6,10	covered 8:17
82:7	100:19 103:14	174:4,8,10 180:1	11:13 27:13,15
contractor's 88:1	110:4 121:11	181:1,6,10,15,17	,
contracts 87:16	122:14 126:2	186:12	covers 13:6 64:21
contrary 104:9	134:2 153:8	cost-benefit 66:8	cranium 114:11
convenience	correction 100:13	costs 50:22 52:16	create 29:3 168:8
115:10	Corrections 11:22	66:14 69:15 71:9	credit 41:12
conventional	corresponded	78:2 80:1,4 90:3	credits 152:3
19:16 23:2,9	165:13	108:1,3,8,9,14	criteria 27:6 37:5
24:15 28:11	corresponds 38:1	110:5,9,11,14,16	92:9
31:11	_	111:3,7,9,10,12,	Crystal 109:3
conversation 9:20	cost 4:9 8:2,7 49:1	20 112:5 116:19	Ĭ
74:16 129:22	50:14,21 51:2	117:22 118:11	CUAC 89:22
133:19 178:14	52:5,9,10,11,14,	119:1,11,19 123:21	90:11 113:7
	18,19	125.21	123:2,3,5 127:20
conversations 9:7	53:1,3,13,16	127:3,6 128:19	140:11,12,15,19
158:12 167:8	54:17,18,20,22 55:9,16,17 57:14	149:19 155:8	167:3,13 168:3
conversion 143:4	58:2,3 59:12,13	156:14	CUACs 140:19
144:8 155:13,18	61:8,13,15,22	157:8,15,21,22	cumulative
156:14,22	64:16 65:3,11	158:6,9,18	143:1,3,12,14
157:8,21,22	66:1,11 67:20	167:2,4,7,9	161:14,19
167:2,4,7 168:6	69:15 79:2 88:3	168:6 172:4,7	163:7,8
172:4,7	90:21 91:1	185:1 186:4	curb 45:6,9
convert 109:16	107:13,15,19,20	coughs 155:20	curious 102:11
convinced 120:12	108:15,16,19 109:16 110:6,7	Council 6:6	current 13:17
cooling 26:2 47:4	111:22 112:8,11	counsel 2:8 6:16	18:20 20:3 117:3
49:22 60:10 63:2	113:1,18 116:22	189:6	124:16 180:1
96:15 104:2,3,5	118:1,2,6	count 92:9,20	currently 20:21
122:5,7,13 128:13,16 129:3	119:2,6 120:13	,	183:5
130:6 147:10	122:19	country 33:4	
159:13	123:17,19,21	42:1,17	curve 53:16 57:15
160:10,13	126:6	75:13,15,22 98:14 118:19	customer 60:13
164:16	127:4,11,13,15,2		79:20 132:9
167:2,11,12	1 128:4 131:1	couple 20:4 102:3	customers 37:9
, ,	132:17	133:20	

	1 46	<u> </u>	
132:3,10 156:8	27:2,5 28:4	98:15 145:13	demand 60:21
cut 85:17	36:20,21	debt 124:2	demanding 28:7
cutting 130:4	40:13,17,21 44:1,8 50:11,12	decision 170:4	demands 60:13
CWAF 146:16	55:19,22	decision-making	demolished
CWAFs 89:14	56:4,7,13	163:17	139:3,4
146:11	62:2,4,5,6	decisions 129:18	demolitions 140:1
cycle 41:9 159:8	63:19,22 64:3,7 65:6 68:14,18	139:9	denominator
167:19	70:12,16,19	decreases 41:19	162:19 163:2
cycles 159:2	75:10 76:3	decrement 169:11	department 1:5
Cymbalsky 2:5	data 32:4 51:5	dedicated 30:19	2:4,6,8 3:1 5:6
4:4,16 5:10,11	67:12,18,20	31:2 175:3 176:2	7:6 9:10 10:3
10:7,8,9 11:2,6,7	79:16 88:17	de-energized	26:14,19 37:20 41:4 43:12 44:7
15:7,9,12 28:6	91:14 93:17 98:3 102:4,7,18,19	115:14	120:5 130:20
34:10,13,16,21 35:2 67:11,17	102.4,7,18,19	Deep 114:10	157:19 163:16
85:13 86:12	111:21,22	defer 65:14	170:3
97:22 98:11	117:3,6,8,11,12,	define 63:15	department's
102:9,10 126:3	14	162:17	168:3
129:6,19	118:4,6,7,9,14 122:22	defined 21:3 90:21	depend 77:19
130:8,13 133:7 137:13,18,22	122:22		derivations 97:12
138:4 148:1,4	124:1,20	defines 12:13,21 26:14,19	derive 172:19
151:2	126:9,13 134:10	definite 30:8	describe 8:5 115:2
169:1,14,16,20	140:4,7,9,11,13,		166:14
181:16 182:6,9	17,18,21 141:11,13 143:9	definitely 25:10 29:2 32:12,13	described 21:4
183:21 184:2 186:22 187:1	141.11,13 143.9	33:7 45:12 71:19	57:12 78:4 79:3
160.22 167.1	171:5 173:6	72:7 133:4 161:6	86:3 107:21
	database 17:18	definition 26:18	108:4 109:8
D.C 1:21 5:7	123:3 124:1,21	28:3 33:21 34:3	114:6 141:4
Damodaran 124:1	date 13:18 154:5	173:22	143:20
damper 23:20	dates 130:14	definitions 12:13	describes 143:17
Dan 2:10 35:20	Dave 2:3 7:6	109:10	describing 142:15
36:13	day 8:14,18 9:12	degraded 120:18	description 51:18
Daniel 35:5,6	14:16,20 60:2	degree 29:17	53:5
Darlington 2:12	98:14 131:2	98:14	design 23:14 24:22 25:5,20 26:16
4:6 6:13 14:22	days 43:5	delay 5:12	44:2 46:5
15:5,8,10,13,16	de 41:12	delivering 28:8	53:8,19 54:6
19:10,18,22	deal 38:20 43:10	delta 129:12	61:11 74:9 159:1
23:4,22 24:8	ucai 30.20 43.10	151:6,8	165:1 168:20
	•		

Page	13
------	----

designed 12:15	development	159:12,18 160:3	110:9 155:1,5
13:10 17:3 25:2	158:20 161:5	162:16 170:16	156:10
26:16 119:15,21	develops 16:2,8	184:9	discussing
designing 33:15	deviate 184:10	differentially	91:2,16,19
designs 30:6	deviation 124:8	171:7	discussion 36:4
37:2,13 118:20	134:12	differentiate	70:21 71:10
159:10	device 95:7 96:22	86:17	134:12 154:2
desire 164:15	DFR 123:10	differentiated 22:21 differently	157:18 182:19
detail 91:20 92:2	dialogue 5:19		discussions 20:16
93:4 104:3	10:16		dismantle 131:9
109:12 111:7 142:15 172:6,17 detailed 10:4 158:5 184:15	Diego 136:5,12 dies 134:22	26:16,17 difficult 47:14 157:12 171:22 diluting 162:20	disposal 54:9 58:4 110:20 distinction 156:19
details 8:5 180:15 184:17 185:9	differ 86:2	diluting 162:20	distinguished
	difference 23:11	dimples 39:12	78:11
	24:9 30:22 31:13	direct 53:3 60:7	distinguishes
Determination 12:2 determine 36:6	32:22 48:4 55:9 57:9 80:7 96:14 97:18 98:8,12 142:7,11	79:2 83:22 87:7 154:2 172:12 direction 188:6	78:13 distorting 96:2 distributed 106:1
57:10 77:14 92:7,10 123:1,18 124:22 144:7 160:7	differences 23:7 25:17,21 31:22 54:20 105:19	directions 18:13 130:22 165:6 directly 7:20	distribution 17:18 18:11 20:1 69:13 77:20,22 78:4,16
determined 14:8	different 25:3,4,5,10 26:15 27:14 28:3 29:8 31:1	69:19,21 81:4	83:16,17 90:9
90:18 91:11 92:4		82:9,20,21 87:12	106:19 123:1,6
117:13		117:19 125:6	124:9 141:3
138:19,22		166:8	147:3
143:22 144:8,9 145:17 determines 27:13	32:12,13 33:19 57:11 75:4 85:16,18 86:7,8,15 87:12	directory 17:19 170:14,15,22 directs 11:16	distributions 85:8 95:17 109:3 124:12 126:18 144:4,17
determining 77:18 99:3,6 165:18 179:9	88:16 90:20 92:20 96:17 97:12 106:22	disagree 45:17 disappointed 41:8 discount 108:12	distributors 78:7 diversity 105:1,11
develop 20:11 50:21 53:7,11 developed 17:18	107:1,16 109:11 112:4,8 116:4,7 117:1,9 118:19 122:3 123:22	123:17 124:3,8 143:15 144:12 146:20	divide 94:10 divided 13:15 93:10
116:22 117:12	124:3,14 127:7	discounted 142:14	Division 3:2
155:15,17 180:2	135:4,17 140:9	discussed 77:16	117:20
developing 71:21	155:19	91:9 109:15	DOAS 31:9

	1 46	<u> </u>	
document 64:15	drive 23:16 88:4	echo 35:11	,17 54:7,22
documents 109:4	101:14	echoing 168:1	57:5,8,15,21
	driven 63:2	_	71:4,5,6 73:17
DOE 6:15 10:9	driver 157:8	economic 29:20,21	77:17 80:5 90:20
11:7,16 12:7,21 14:13		79:10,13 108:20 123:22	91:11,12,15
17:12,18,20,21	drivers 172:5,6		93:18 94:1 97:19
20:13 22:9	drives 54:6 101:9	economically	98:10 103:18,19
28:6,16 37:11,17	driving 21:8	130:16	104:2,10,19
38:6 41:14 56:15	156:14	economics 66:6	110:21,22 111:2
64:21 65:2 67:11		economies 165:11	119:13
78:12 80:5	drop 62:9 104:11		124:11,12,14,16,
85:14,18	147:12	economy 6:6	18 126:18 127:7
126:8,15,17	drops 61:17 73:18	159:22	129:8 136:10 139:4
129:7 130:13,16	dual 42:11	EE-5B 1:12	
136:20		EER 41:5	144:4,16,19
141:10,12,15,18	duct 12:19 13:13		145:2,4,7,21 147:3 149:22
145:17,18 146:7	23:20	effect 42:16 122:9	152:4
148:5 151:3	ducts 12:16 13:7	144:3	
161:16 171:4,9	17:1	147:2,7,9,15,16	efficient 6:6 79:22
172:2 179:18,22	ductwork 62:20	148:10 154:4	126:11,14
180:6,9,17,20	110:19	167:14,16	132:21 171:2
181:20 183:5,8	due 5:16 73:20	effective 13:18	effort 5:14
184:18	185:7	effectiveness	EIA 102:11,16
DOE's 11:8		65:11	117:8,19
dollars 173:15	dumped 45:14	effects 161:6 185:8	147:8,11
	durability 25:4		148:3,5,14
done 9:5 40:7 60:7	during 8:17	efficiencies 13:19	eight 141:4 158:22
64:18 65:16 66:6	20:17,18 50:2	18:16 47:13	159:17
70:8 132:15	51:19 56:22 59:3	49:17 134:4 145:4,20	
164:5,7 165:12	115:15 140:2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Eighty 34:12
181:22	141:7	efficiency 1:7 2:5	71:18
donuts 138:4	duty 41:9	13:22 14:3,6,7	EISA 11:20
double	uuly 41.7	16:10 20:1,12,21	either 12:1 78:17
149:4,7,10,11,13		24:10,21 34:11	80:13 108:6
Doug 1:21 2:2,18	<u>E</u>	36:7 37:12,15,20	113:19 123:8
4:2 5:11 10:8	earlier 20:10	38:6,7,9,13,21	125:5 134:4,9
11:6 22:15 40:4	38:19 49:15	39:9 40:0 14 16 18 22	136:12 150:1
61:6 71:11 74:11	54:13 56:8 89:20	40:9,14,16,18,22 41:7 43:22	161:17
80:8 102:21	91:9 110:10 120:3 131:2	47:2,5,17 49:22	elasticities 139:8
103:2,3 106:8	156:11 163:19	50:15,16,18,19,2	
187:1	168:2	2	electric 25:22
downs 50:21		51:7,9,10,17,20	184:6
uowiis 30.21	easily 48:21	53:9,11,13,15,16	electrical 93:13
		55.5,11,15,15,16	

		T	1
95:5,8 110:19	12:8,10 14:2	engineers 164:2	123:2
111:5 113:11,16	16:10 27:14	enormous 83:15	124:13,16,18
114:3 115:17	29:20 30:12	ensures 166:20	125:4,5,17,18,19
149:8	33:10,14		126:11,14,16
electricity 91:5,18	37:19,20,21	entered 185:6	127:8,14,17,21,2
95:2,3 96:13,14	41:19 60:22	entire 21:6 55:15	2 139:12,18 140:21
97:4 101:7	66:12 77:6,13	58:7,10 128:15	* *
105:19,20 108:7	90:17,19,21 91:4,21 92:2	131:10	141:1,9,17 142:9 143:22 144:2,19
117:9 121:11,14	93:16 94:11,16	environmental	145:21,22 146:1
183:19	95:16 96:16	4:14 8:12 178:22	158:4 165:4
184:12,14	97:15 99:4,7,8	179:1 183:1	170:19 183:4
electrics 25:17	101:15 102:18	EPA 181:22	185:5
elephant 71:17	104:4 106:10,17		
-	108:4,5,6,8,12	EPACT 13:15,18	equity 124:2
else 43:13 83:18 119:22 137:18	116:19,21,22	EPCA	equivalent 105:3
119:22 137:18	117:4,11,13,16,1	11:12,16,20,22	Eric 2:7 6:15 27:8
	7 121:5 126:19	12:13 14:13	especially 9:12
email 187:3	138:15	equals 14:7 59:10	72:1 89:21 104:9
embarrassed 40:6	142:2,6,7,20,21	equates 105:2	118:19
emission	143:1,3,4,5,10,1	-	126:10,14
179:2,3,11,19	8,19,20	equations 108:21	,
180:5,13 181:13	146:11,13,14,15	equipment 11:13	essence 124:15
, and the second	147:8 148:3,22	12:9 13:1,14	essentially 31:5
emissions	149:3,12,14,19,2	17:22 21:16	36:5 56:2 57:14
179:6,7,8,21	1 151:21	26:11 27:12	87:6 94:11 113:4
180:7,19,22 183:17	152:4,5,9	30:5,8 31:4,19	121:18 135:22
	166:10,11	37:8 41:9 46:15	155:11 171:16
employed 188:9	169:19 170:13-22	50:14 51:2,3	establish 27:11
189:7	179:13,22 183:14 184:8	74:22 76:2	50:14
employee 102:11	185:1,3,5	77:17,19,21	established
employment 4:14	· · ·	78:2,5 79:20,22	13:15,18
8:13 154:2	engineering 4:6	83:20 84:4	establishing 27:7
178:22	8:1 15:19 18:18	87:8,11,19	9
184:19,20 185:4	21:10 39:2	88:1,3 92:11,12 93:3 95:10 97:6	estimate 52:10,12
encourage 9:22	50:10,13,20	99:4,7 107:22	57:6 75:16 142:1
135:9	51:19 53:6 54:12 56:19 59:9 64:15	109:15,17	156:17 157:1
	65:12 70:11 71:2	110:8,11,13,18,2	173:9
energized	75:8 77:16 79:3	2	179:3,11,20
115:8,9,18	107:19 133:8	111:2,9,14,15,16	181:13
energy 1:5,7,9	150:18 151:1	,17,18,19	estimated 57:3
2:4,5,6,8,17 4:8	154:20 155:9	112:12,13	97:16 137:12
5:4,6 6:6 7:7 8:1	158:6 173:8	113:2,8 118:6,13	183:12
9:10 11:10,17,21	100.0 170.0	, , , -	
9.10 11.10,17,21			

estimates 50:21	28:20 29:2 30:7	30:5,13	fabrication 52:7
55:10 57:10	85:5 89:10 94:12	existing 11:17	face 132:9
106:17 107:4,6 135:17 143:20	103:20 112:10 120:6 131:1	17:22 46:7	facilitating 1:22
157:21 158:2,5	120.6 131.1	91:14,15 93:19	Facilitator 2:2
167:6 174:18	163:10,14,22	112:17	
176:18 180:3	165:11,19	exorbitant 32:22	facilities 118:14
181:10	168:18	expand 49:15	175:1,3
estimating 59:7	173:12,16	expanding 58:2,14	facility 118:4
180:21	183:15	expect 8:19 105:19	fact 60:13 85:17
estimation 76:11	Excel 109:3	150:16 170:1	119:14,18
111:8	except 40:17		129:16 130:1,11
et 26:3 36:8	exception 72:12	expected 179:14	133:22 149:3 186:1,5
	•	expenditures	,
evaluate 74:17 133:6 136:22	exchange 39:11 79:5 178:4	184:22 185:5	facto 41:12
152:1 185:4		expenses 79:2	factor 156:14
	exchanger 33:15	80:2,3 108:10	factored 61:21
evaluated 66:20	36:8 39:3,5,7	123:19	186:13
evaluating 14:12	43:6 46:16,19 47:1 49:16,17	expensive 166:11	factors 14:13
evaluation 108:20	54:3,6 55:4,8	experience	91:16 94:19
eventual 87:13	57:1,4,9,19	60:9,12 63:6	97:18
eventually 88:3	58:1,12,14 60:18	74:19 75:14	117:5,7,11,13
	61:10,14,17,19	104:12	143:5 144:8
everybody 72:15	62:10 63:18	experienced 104:8	179:12 182:14
everyone 5:3 9:22	64:19 65:22	explain 121:4	failed 118:3
10:1 15:16 40:19	72:6,14,22 73:4,14,20 75:14	127:10	131:17
137:18 164:10 187:6	104:6 120:18	explained 181:9	fails 128:11
everyone's 5:14	122:8	explains 85:2	failure 115:20
	131:3,4,10,21	explanation 26:20	failures 160:8
everything 80:22 83:17 128:13	132:5 135:14 158:19 160:7	exponentially	fair 87:1
157:10 168:17	161:5 164:21	69:16	fairly 95:16
176:15		extent 30:21 86:17	157:4,13,14
exact 90:1,10	exchangers 46:1,4 65:5,21 71:22	130:17	158:9 171:1
161:2	72:3 74:5 76:12	extra 36:12	fall 19:8
exactly 31:17 64:5	104:16 131:19		falls 82:19 85:20
75:21 90:11	160:18 175:4	extremely 163:19	173:3
100:20 112:19	excuse 30:17	eyeball 96:1	familiar 41:1
123:5 127:19	46:16 80:12	eyes 26:15	181:9,17
143:2	155:20		ŕ
example 22:4	existence 29:15	F	fan 25:3 46:5,21 49:19 50:1
			.,.1, 50.1

ı		ı	
62:7,13,16	final 50:5 52:9	108:2 109:10	4:9,11,15 6:18
63:16,17 64:1	75:7 106:9	139:13 142:17	77:9,10,11
73:20 74:4 75:3	107:10 151:13	flowcharts 78:6	81:1,3 88:14,15
91:22 95:6	154:1	86:9	90:12,16 95:19
96:15,18,21	finally 92:15 94:1		96:3,7,12,13
101:13 122:8	95:12 126:17	flue 14:8 38:10	97:13 98:2,9
165:19	172:8 184:18	focus 157:5	99:1,21
fans 67:2 101:15	financial 79:8	focuses 155:22	100:10,19
158:16 165:17	155:6 188:10	162:9	101:19 103:14
feasibility 37:6		focusing 129:7	105:15 106:6,15
•	financially 189:8	, and the second	107:3,12 110:3
feature 27:17,18 36:15	financing 124:2	folks 153:14	112:7,19,21 116:17
	fired 18:5,9 54:14	follow-on 81:8	121:10,13,14
features 39:12	56:16 68:10 93:2	follow-up 106:21	122:17 123:16
federal 9:13 11:17	145:20 170:12	food 124:6	125:15 126:2,5
13:4 18:20 20:3	firing 136:9		127:1,12,19
124:7 154:3	- C	footage 92:9	133:1 136:18
feedback 56:21	first 18:2 21:14	footprint 105:6	138:9 141:21
59:6 63:6	29:14 30:9 51:6	forecasting 100:9	145:15 147:13
157:10,13	53:6 64:13 92:6 107:14 109:14	Ŭ I	149:16,17
feel 26:14 36:16	110:8 131:12	forecasts 155:9	151:16 153:3
	133:20	184:10	178:20,21
fees 110:20	146:10,12	foregoing 77:3	183:2,18 184:1,4
feet 92:14 94:6,7	ŕ	187:14 188:3	Frank 3:13 68:4,5
116:2	fits 45:18	foreseeable 136:1	102:1,2 119:7,8
FEMALE 42:22	fitted 104:15	forgot 30:18	125:8,9
67:16 68:4 70:18	five 73:5 74:16	J	133:15,16
101:11 127:18	107:4 116:4,6	former 148:5	148:20,21
fence 33:18	131:5,6,21	formerly 35:21	149:16 181:3,4
	134:22 145:18	Forrestal 5:7	182:3 183:9,10
fewer 20:6	fixed 80:3 91:8	forth 178:4	185:15
field 60:3 105:1,18	103:16,17		free 36:17
106:20	121:17	forthcoming	freestanding 56:2
fighting 46:22	flat 69:11	102:20	_
figure 15:6 166:19		forward 43:14	freeze 45:8 113:8
_	flatbeds 47:10	102:14 169:22	freight 49:3
figures 100:7,17	flavor 82:5	187:11	frequency
177:21	floor 66:18 77:1	fraction 127:5	101:9,14 118:8
figuring 164:17	138:20 140:6	139:2 150:5	frequently 118:15
file 153:4,6,12	flow 139:17 154:8	fractions 78:14	• •
files 152:18	160:2	125:6	fuel 91:5 92:16
153:2,8,10			93:5 94:13
133.2,0,10	flowchart 107:17	Franco 2:13	97:3,10 117:10

(866) 448 - DEPO

118:18 149:5 182:21 183:4,22	12:17 13:11 furnaces 1:10 5:6	110:18 117:10 127:17 128:5,11	gets 30:6 45:14 getting 26:5
184:1,13,14	10:15,21	129:8 130:4	35:11,13
fuel-cycle 146:15	11:13,16,18	146:3,4,5 158:15	45:20,21 47:8
fugitive 179:7	12:4,19	170:12 175:1	70:22 83:10
	13:7,9,13,21	gas-fired 12:14	121:21 132:11
full 52:16 60:17	14:3,7	13:6,20 16:17	147:21 163:2
146:15 174:3	16:10,17,18 17:5	18:1,4 51:11	166:7 178:15
full-fuel-cycle	20:13,22 21:20	54:16 56:3,15	181:21
144:9 146:14	24:11 30:10	57:15 59:7	given 30:11 41:5
179:5	38:22 39:22 56:3	89:5,11 92:17	105:10,22
fun 132:7	57:16 59:8,22	93:1 94:14 97:6	132:15,17
function 23:3	60:4 68:10 72:21	111:15 113:11	164:12 165:3
139:1,21 141:2	74:22 89:6,7,12	121:7 123:13	168:3
164:18	90:20 91:22	125:5,19 127:8	gives 18:17 57:4
	92:8,17,19 94:2	140:10,20,22	59:14 122:10
functionality	104:22 105:12 118:3,7,8 121:7	144:21 145:20,22	Glad 5:8
23:10	123:7 125:2	156:12 164:13	
fundamentally	140:10,14,20	182:21	Global 2:11 35:21
44:16	140:10,14,20		goal 20:11 166:10
furnace	144:22 163:21	gather 68:1	goods 184:22
12:14,15,22 16:3	164:13 168:15	gathered 122:21	
24:14,17,19	175:2 176:3,6	141:13	gotten 9:2 48:20
28:22 30:11 34:5	177:4 180:20	general 2:8 6:15	government 9:13
36:10 45:18	182:22	26:7 30:9 42:16	124:6,7 154:7,14
52:3,12 54:16,17	future 117:21	53:5 71:1 75:17	GRAHAM
55:2 58:6 62:21	1utu1 € 117.21	79:11 81:10 85:5	189:3,14
63:4 74:1,21		88:19 104:20	ŕ
75:2 91:15	<u>G</u>	126:7 132:10	graph 95:17 109:20
92:5,16 93:8,12	gained 20:18	154:17 171:4	
94:6 95:6,10	gap 45:5	generalizing 42:7	great 38:20 41:5
110:14,17	garbled 103:4		86:17
119:17 120:1	S	generally 16:20	greater 66:13
122:20 123:10	gas 2:18 13:16 18:8 20:7	17:6,10 22:12	119:17,20
130:4 131:15,16	24:14,16,17	38:17 39:16 50:6 81:20	171:10
141:1 153:19	25:17,22 26:4		greatest 156:1
156:18	29:1,8 30:10	generate 53:16	
161:15,16 164:22 165:21	31:11 33:2 47:17	184:10	greatly 133:5
164.22 163.21	51:14 54:1,13	generation 183:14	GRIM 154:14,21
168:4,19 170:21	56:16 60:2 64:22	184:6,13,14	155:3
176:13 183:17	67:3 68:10	geographic 76:8	ground 77:1
	73:17,22 75:3		group 1:19 27:14
furnace/electric	92:16 108:7	germane 40:9	group 1.17 27.14

81:10,14 106:1	98:1	166:1	13:13
121:1	happened 56:19	head 177:19	heating 13:10
grouping 165:11	131:14	health 37:9	24:16 26:4 42:1
grow 46:18	happens 51:18	hear 7:17 9:19	47:17 50:3 60:1
47:7,13 65:21	128:10 160:11	35:6,7,15	65:20 91:13
180:6	184:3	49:8,11 74:13	93:4,9,16 94:3
growling 137:20	happy 148:18	77:8 86:18	97:16 98:14 110:17
growth 48:1	hard 9:10 84:21	heard 32:6 130:7	115:15,18 122:7
GTI 103:2	157:16 158:15	158:11 168:3	128:5,18,21
guess 15:15 21:20	HARDI 79:7	176:21	147:9 159:14
23:4,15 37:3,19	Harvey 3:11 6:1,5	heat 33:15 36:8	160:14 163:22
41:8,22 43:4	15:3 22:18,19	39:3,5,7,11 43:6	164:17 167:5 169:7 174:2
57:11 61:9	24:4,20 28:1	45:7,22	
75:10,13,15	29:11,13 30:4	46:3,16,19 47:1	heavy-grade
83:18	31:20 32:12	49:16,17 54:3,6	71:21
85:13,18,22	40:5,10 41:21	55:4,8 56:22	Hello 35:17
86:12 102:5	42:20 43:4	57:4,9,19	help 53:10 67:13
125:14 128:2	47:20,21 55:21	58:1,11,13 60:17	98:22 170:3,5
159:7 182:3	68:7,8 69:9,22	61:10,14,17,19 62:10 63:18	helpful 9:8 67:4
186:6	70:2 83:13,14	64:19,22	101:21 104:7
guessing 101:7	89:21 95:13,14	65:5,21,22 67:1	157:19 163:19
guided 28:16	97:7,8 98:16	71:22	164:1,3 168:2
	114:9,10 115:2	72:3,5,14,21	hereby 188:3
guidelines 144:15	128:1,2,9 129:1 150:9 164:8,9	73:3,14,17,20	189:3
guys 151:5	166:5,22 167:18	74:5 75:14 76:12	
	168:10,11	93:14 95:11	here's 128:9
Н	169:13	104:5,16 111:5	he's 88:5 134:5
hair 145:13	186:19,20	113:12 114:18	138:5 147:21
half 146:16	Harvey's 59:21	115:8 116:5	heterogeneous
hall 76:22	100:6	120:18 122:7 131:3,4,9,19,21	84:20
hand 178:19	hasten 159:7	132:4 135:14	hey 132:5
handful 19:1	Hate 112:3	158:7,15,19	Hi 6:5 59:19
70:20		159:3	102:15
	haven't 9:5 41:10	160:7,15,18	high 51:18
handle 165:2	60:7 101:8	161:5 164:21	155:15,17
handled 31:8	having 10:3 43:9	175:3 182:22	157:3,13
handling 60:19	73:14 103:16	heated 12:15 13:7	higher 27:19
112:16	104:5 112:10,11	16:22	42:10,15
hands 9:15	118:20,21 129:22 132:4,6,8	heater 42:4 160:5	53:10,12 54:22
happen 82:12 87:8	157:1 165:16	heaters 12:19	60:14,20 65:13

(866) 448 - DEPO

	1 46		
66:2 77:17	87:5 89:19	42:13 93:2 96:21	178:19 186:6,21
99:14,22	98:19,21 99:11	113:9 173:12	illustrated 178:14
104:1,15,18	100:3 101:18	Hunt 73:1	illustration 27:1,3
106:3 110:21	114:21 115:1	hurting 47:4	,
111:2 112:11 121:22 124:18	116:10 128:8 129:3,5,13,15	hurts 72:21	I'm 5:21 6:5 7:11 10:12 15:5 23:15
182:2,14	130:12 158:13		33:3,5,17,18
highest 51:9	162:1,5	HVAC 2:11 25:22	35:10,11,21 40:6
	172:17,22	35:21 79:11 161:18	41:8 43:4,8 47:4
highlight 57:13	173:18	101.10	59:20 67:17
highlighted 130:2	174:14,21	I	68:12 72:17
highway 46:10	175:7,11	ice 7:9	77:11 83:18
hire 81:21 84:1	176:4,8,11,16,21		95:15 96:4,5
historical 16:6	177:3,7,11,17,19 178:7,12	I'd 8:21 32:5 46:14 70:17	97:8,11 101:7,16 102:10,11 103:6
139:1,20	,	75:20 76:4 136:6	114:19 115:13
140:7,8,11	hope 7:11 35:6 64:11 97:21	157:5 174:18	116:14 121:7
141:5,12 144:6	102:22	177:20	125:10 133:17
historically		idea 181:11	134:13 137:19
159:10,11	hopefully 54:11 131:11	identified 17:8,13	138:1 147:14
history 13:14		21:3 22:9 161:16	148:6,15 153:15
hit 43:1	horizontal 160:1	170:11	155:20 166:10 169:10,14
	horsepower 47:4	identify 20:19	172:22 175:12
hold 66:21	96:20	61:10 171:5	181:9,16,21
holistically 164:12	hot 159:4 160:7	identifying 153:21	182:4
homework 40:7	hour 13:2,8 64:19	IEER 41:7	immediate 6:1
70:9	74:20 91:8		immediately 7:12
honorable 137:22	137:14,16,17	IEER-like 41:11	97:12
hookup 110:19	hours 91:7,10	ignition 95:7	immunity 30:21
Hootman 2:15 6:9	93:7,9 95:4 103:22 105:3	96:22	impact 4:10,11,12
19:20 24:13	115:15 121:16	ignoring 71:17	8:8,9,11
26:12 31:17	122:1,4	I'll 6:1 15:15,17	38:12,13 44:22
32:10,11 33:9,13	household 111:11	36:21 40:4 43:2	49:18,21,22
34:5,9,12,15,19,	•	65:14 69:16	73:14 91:20
22 40:19 41:21	huge 46:6 105:1	71:11 77:1,12	101:8 122:12,13
42:6 43:1 44:19 48:11,17,18	huh 175:7	91:2,16,19 98:21 109:11	127:6 136:22
60:6,7	humidity 31:7	133:12,17 135:6	141:22 146:10,21 147:5
63:11,14,21	hundred 22:20	136:1,19 138:11	149:20 150:2
64:2,5 69:8,20	23:19 25:15,20	142:15 153:15	151:13,14,17,19
71:16 72:17 82:4	26:1 30:22	161:3,22 162:6	152:12,21
84:5,8 86:10	31:10,15 33:1	169:3 177:11	153:16,17

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
154:7,11,14	includes 12:5,16	incur 186:3	177:1
162:20,22 163:3	13:9,11 19:13	incurred 61:16	industry's 136:7
171:18 183:1	52:6 53:3 79:4	incurs 49:1	156:7 157:20
184:5,19,20 185:2	89:4,9 91:20		inefficiencies
	111:3 112:9,10 127:14 153:5	Independence 1:20	166:20
impacted 171:7			inferred 68:9,11
impacts 4:14	including 10:1 11:11 71:4 117:9	independent 65:4 83:16	70:5
8:12,13 37:7,9	124:4 141:17		influenced 139:9
47:1,18 94:9 139:7 141:15	164:16 165:3	index 100:21	information 2:17
142:1 151:20	166:18 181:1	indicate 72:4	7:11 16:6,7,13
153:18,21 156:3	incorporated	149:11	20:18 38:20
171:20 172:2,3	20:20,21 30:10	indicating 14:18	50:18 52:6 58:21
178:22 179:1,2	51:1	171:1	112:1 122:21
184:5,9 185:4,7	incorporates 14:4	indication 168:8	135:17,21
implemented	incorporation	indirect 4:14 8:12	147:17 152:10
21:11	39:11	174:12 178:22	154:18 155:6,13 163:18 171:22
impolitely 167:19	increase 46:22	184:18,20 185:4	173:4
important 53:2	55:7 57:4,5,19	individual 52:2	175:9,17,19
90:22 130:17	58:12	indoor 17:10	179:15
improve 20:12,21	61:8,10,12,14	111:9,19 113:5,7	informational
39:9	65:22 73:19 74:4	119:17	18:5
improved 24:10	79:21,22 104:18 113:18 114:4	125:4,17,20 126:1	inherently 29:8
improving 16:9	145:9		in-house 81:6
ImSET 185:3,6	increased 39:7	indoors 111:17,18	initial 11:15 21:6
inbetween 60:19	50:15 80:5 104:4	inducer 62:13,16 63:22 67:2 75:3	initially 58:19
include 12:18	122:6 128:15	95:6 96:21	inline 104:12
13:12 41:1	increases 54:8	industrial 13:1	
54:17,18 67:2	143:15	124:5	input 13:2,8 64:19
78:20 79:15,17	increasing 47:12	industry 16:4 48:1	65:3 74:20 87:1 91:7,8 93:8
88:21,22 108:8	54:2 74:5	59:1 60:11 65:1	99:22 103:16,17
110:13,16	162:18,19 164:4	132:2 153:20	121:17,18,20
111:4,19,21	186:1	154:8,10,17,21	inputs 20:16
113:12 147:9	incremental 55:9	155:3	52:5,13
164:18 179:5,6,7	61:8,12,22 71:8	156:3,17,21	107:16,20
included 22:11,12	79:18,21 80:6,7 82:14 89:8	157:1 158:1,10	109:2,7 143:17
41:2 51:15 55:9 65:15 109:4	82:14 89:8 104:11 119:2	161:18	154:13,22
113:20 131:20	128:4,19	162:9,10,12,13,1 7 166:9 171:8	155:10 185:6
147:10 180:16	incumbent 165:4	172:4,5 173:7,22	186:8
	incumpent 165.4	1,2.1,5 1,5.1,22	INPV 156:2,15

	1 ag		
164:3	interaction 164:20	involving 78:6	146:19 147:10
install 37:7	interactions	isn't 99:16 128:20	148:8 149:7,9,22
installation 17:11	167:16	issue 46:7 62:11	150:7,15 151:10
44:22 45:16	interagency	73:7 114:13	153:7 157:3,4 161:5,8,17
80:18 82:10	180:2,3 181:18	130:3 131:11	161.3,8,17
87:17 108:1	interest 52:20	136:16 174:22	164:6 167:14
110:6,7,9,11,14,	188:10	175:21	168:19 170:14
16 111:9,13,20		issues 5:16 7:15	172:17
112:5 113:1	interested 4:16	8:16 40:2 45:3	174:4,7,9,10
126:10 127:15	141:12 147:1	133:18 154:15	175:14 176:9
135:9,21	189:8	155:10 15 1:15	177:13
186:3,12	interesting 133:7		183:18,19,20,21
installations 38:18	intermediate	it'd 96:2	I've 36:3 47:3
81:12,15 82:8	51:10	item 39:19	63:15 84:15
113:4,6,9,10,11,	internal 39:12	items 110:22	114:14 116:13
13 115:5	42:18 73:19 74:2	it's 9:12,16 14:1	128:3 169:1
installed 16:20,21	81:10	15:13,14 16:12	174:5 181:5
17:4 73:4 107:18	International 3:7	21:7 22:4,5	
108:15 110:5		24:3,5,21 27:9	J
111:15,16,18	interrupt 63:12	29:7 32:17 34:5	jacket 41:1 58:14
127:3,11,12,13	interrupting	35:2 38:7,8,9	_
131:1 132:17	167:18	40:8,13,18 42:15	January 13:19
135:4,13,18	interviews 20:17	43:13 46:22	Jarzomski 2:16
136:5 142:12	51:3 52:13 56:22	48:12 53:2	102:15,16
143:11 144:10	59:3 154:12	60:14,16,18,20	Jill 2:15 6:9
165:21 184:6,15	155:2 157:6	62:19 63:3 65:17	24:12,13
installing 84:1	158:11 167:13	68:17,18 69:10 76:8,15 84:15,20	26:11,12 30:6
instance 55:3	introductions 4:2	86:14 88:11	32:8,11 33:12
instead 5:16 60:17	5:22	90:10 92:16,18	35:4 41:20 42:21
	investment	93:15 95:16 98:7	43:3 44:18,19 46:15 48:16,17
Institute 2:18	157:2,3	100:5 102:4,20	60:5,7 61:2
instructions	invite 89:15 172:9	108:20 109:9,22	63:10,13,14
135:21		110:12	69:7,8 71:14,16
integral 164:14	inviting 39:16	115:4,8,15,16,17	72:12 82:1,3,4
integrated 70:4	invoice 82:21	,19 119:21 121:2	84:7,8 87:4,5
168:17,20	invoices 82:21	122:20 123:13	89:18,19 98:18
intend 8:9	involve 81:6	125:4 127:16 128:22	99:10,11 114:22
intended 17:10		130:15,19 133:7	115:1 128:2,6,8
135:10,22 164:6	involved 80:19,22	134:1 136:2,4,16	129:15 158:13
ŕ	85:4	137:17	163:19 164:19 172:16 178:5
intents 24:17 83:1	involves 154:2	145:2,12,13	1/2.10 1/8.3
		, ,	

	O		
Jill's 47:7	102:22	later 8:19 43:3	length 67:1
Joanna 3:3	103:1,2,6,10	52:20 91:2	Lennox 3:7 6:10
59:17,18,19 61:1	104:8 105:21	102:19 167:15	19:7 25:14
r r	kWh 97:5 103:12	180:5	
jobs 113:17	104:14 105:4	Lau 2:19 4:13	less 36:6 62:15
John 2:5 3:1	149:9	6:17	85:3 95:21
4:4,16 5:10	- 7, 1,	68:17,19,20,21	96:2,8 121:22
10:7,9 11:7 28:6		152:13	122:9 149:9 166:11
67:11 68:7 85:14	lab 158:7	153:14,15	
98:17 102:9	160:12,13	161:10,21 162:3	lessening 39:20
129:7 133:20	, and the second	166:21 167:22	49:5
137:11 147:20	label 87:2	170:8,9	lesser 163:5
148:4 151:3,13	labor 52:7 53:3	172:13,21 173:2	let's 5:2 10:16
182:4 186:22	80:4 118:12	177:15	35:6 61:16,22
John's 30:18	173:9,13	178:10,18	70:14 76:15
join 36:16 187:7	174:7,10,12,18	Laughter 32:7	77:4,8 85:19
u	176:14,19 185:7	185:20	86:1 93:3 99:1
joining 10:1 36:14	Laboratory	law 134:6	120:21 131:18
judge 65:11	2:14,21 6:19,21		134:11,15 136:7
jump 57:22	77:12 86:21	Lawrence 2:14,21	138:7 159:21
152:14	labs 158:21 160:19	6:18,20 77:11	162:8
Justice 3:1	163:14	86:20	level 44:6 50:16
		lays 38:1	51:18 53:9,15,18
justification 36:2	language 167:1	LBNL 65:14	54:4 55:1 71:6
38:2	lapsed 12:9	132:15	73:18 75:12 80:6
justified 14:13	large 30:12 31:3	LCC 65:15 109:5	91:12 104:10,19
justifies 27:19	78:13,18 81:11	127:2,4,5	119:18 120:14
justinos 27.19	105:6 114:12	137:2,4 143:9,19	124:17,18
K	148:13 163:3	144:11 150:1	125:10 132:18
kBtu 91:8	larger 25:16 30:7		135:3,19
121:19,20	46:20 47:3,7	lead 23:1 41:7	145:2,22
ŕ	62:9 66:2 73:13	171:6	146:8,15 147:14
Kevin 2:16	90:5 153:11	leader 137:11	149:6,7,14,22
102:11,15,16	170:17 171:8	171:4	150:21 157:13
key 38:22 82:7		leads 57:14 61:11	172:6 186:2
154:22 155:12	largest 46:9 48:3,14 69:3	121:22	levels 11:19 18:18
158:2 172:5,6	, and the second	leaning 137:19	19:2 37:12 40:3
kinds 76:10 83:3	last 37:17 73:2	Ö	50:19
158:7	133:19 146:13	least 156:1 181:11	51:7,10,12,17,20
known 6:7 163:15	178:13,18 187:2	legally 130:18	53:13 57:21 61:9
	lastly 55:12	Lekov 2:21 6:20	71:4,5 90:21
Kosar 2:18	late 9:13 186:16	86:20	110:21 124:14
74:11,13,15		00.20	127:7 135:7

		1	1
145:18,19	literature 20:14	38:2 47:9,15,17	major 17:13
185:22	51:4 56:21 63:1	52:6,20 63:1	107:20 110:6,7
licensed 83:6	112:1 118:5	66:12 94:4 96:2	131:16 138:17
lifecycle 4:9 8:2,7	148:9	98:5,12 103:20	154:9 158:17
91:1 107:13,15	little 15:11 21:20	109:12 110:12	majority 16:16
108:16,19 119:6	42:15 54:12	111:1 115:4	makeup 13:10
122:19 123:17	57:11 76:17	122:2 151:4,7	19:13,14,18
126:6 137:6	85:3,17	165:17	22:20
149:19	86:7,11,15 89:20	lots 10:16 148:16	23:12,18,19
	93:1,3 94:22	Louder 24:4	24:14,18 28:10
lifetime 72:2	97:4 103:15		29:16 30:20
108:12,13,15	106:18,22 107:1	low 69:2	31:8,15 32:15
122:18,20	108:18 127:15	155:15,17	34:15,16,17
123:12,19 126:16 127:4	132:17 141:7	lower 27:19 57:21	35:3,22 43:19,22
141:2 142:3,9	144:3 145:16	64:20 65:14 89:3	MALE 123:15
141.2 142.3,9	146:16,20 148:2	97:11 100:1	
	149:18 155:20	108:2 150:22	mandated 101:9
lifetimes 123:1,11	164:12 169:4	low-sulfur 118:18	mandatory 151:21
lightly 43:6	load 46:9 91:13	loyalty 133:3	manner 66:15
likelihood 119:20	93:10,14,15 94:3	LPG 117:10	manufacture 37:7
likely 31:1 166:12	loaded 43:6		
limit 9:7 158:8	loads 42:19	lunch 8:4,7 76:18 137:9,16	manufactured 17:1
	location 111:14	137.5,10	
limited 74:18	117:2	M	manufacturer
line 136:9 169:9	locations 117:15	magnitude 185:8	4:12 8:11 17:21
174:13		<u> </u>	20:14,15,17 50:22 51:3,4
lining 130:14	locked 155:11	mail 187:4	52:12,17,21,22
list 16:9 20:11	lodging 124:5	main 112:22	56:21 58:18
21:1,6,7 43:15	LOHRER 3:1	149:20	59:5,11,12 61:7
113:17 152:22		mainly 52:19	78:5,17,19 79:4
	long 50:9 84:13	113:7 183:19	80:15 81:4
listed 13:20 14:14	158:19 159:15	mainstream 25:17	87:6,9 107:20
36:17 85:8 96:18	longer 48:20		109:16 111:22
listening 36:3	160:10	maintain 88:7	118:5 132:10
133:19	longevity 72:21	118:13,21	133:3 134:1,16
listing 170:13	lose 45:1,2 46:11	maintained 17:22	135:5,20
listings 17:20	49:2,4	maintenance	152:3,12
170:22	loss 14:8 156:20	108:9 114:17	153:16,17,22
lists 92:20		118:1,5,11,14	155:8,16 157:12
	losses 38:10 41:1	119:3,10,19	170:18,21 172:8
literally 25:6	73:19,21 74:2	120:13 126:13	manufacturers
105:5	lot 18:21 29:19	143:10	16:4 17:13,14
			-

	U		
19:14 23:5,16	150:8 155:7	71:4 146:6	176:9,12 177:8
24:3,6 53:12	171:3	maximum 13:2	182:7
59:3 68:1 74:9	markets 80:6	22 2 42 2	meandering 30:2
77:21 78:8	137:1	may 22:3 42:3	_
120:17 130:17		43:5 61:20 66:22	meaning 17:3
153:20	market's 86:5	74:19,20 75:3,4	170:17
154:1,12,22	151:4	84:10 85:3	means 46:17 76:16
156:10 157:7	markup 58:18,19	96:1,5 105:3	111:22 118:4,14
167:6 169:9	<u> </u>	132:16 134:21	, i
	59:2,4,7,11,12	139:10 166:14	133:12 134:15
170:11	68:9,11 78:20	171:10,12 174:2	meant 66:6 182:6
171:7,10,18,19	79:4,6,12 80:19	177:10,12 174.2	52.2
172:1 173:5	81:18 83:11,19	1//.9 180.1	measure 52:3
174:6 178:16	84:4,5,9,10,14	maybe 23:5,15,16	measured 37:20
manufacturer's	85:16,17 86:8	26:19,22 61:13	maasuuamant 14.2
70:3 77:15	88:16	62:9 67:1,6,19	measurement 14:2
134:19	89:2,4,7,8,16	69:11 86:13 90:7	measures 156:7
134:19	155:13,16	107:4 164:1	measuring 181:5
manufacturing	156:5,6 177:22	165:8 181:9	ŭ
11:21 71:9	, and the second		mechanical
175:1,3,21	markups 4:8 8:1	McCabe 3:4 7:4	78:13,18 79:7
	69:1 70:5	43:16,17 44:2	88:19 111:22
map 71:20 72:7	77:6,13,18 78:22	100:4,5,16 101:4	median 95:18,20
March 1:14 5:8	79:8,18,19,21	109:21,22	
margin 70.2 02.11	80:7,21 88:10,16	112:3,15,20	96:9 123:11,12
margin 78:3 83:11	89:1 90:4,14	113:16 114:8	127:6 137:2
84:3	107:21 109:15	132:12,13	180:10
marginal 108:6	112:10 156:4	147:5,6,19,20	medical 124:5
117:1,7,13,17	172:8	148:2 150:14,15	
179:11		,	meet 72:1 94:2
	massive 43:10	161:11,12	meeting 1:9 2:2
mark 78:1 88:1,2	match 117:2	182:16,17	4:3 5:4 7:18 9:3
marked 59:14		McCabe's 167:22	10:3,7,10 40:1
	matching 139:16	McClive 3:5 6:22	119:10 185:15
market 4:5 7:20	material 52:6 53:4	WICCIIVE 5.5 6.22	186:18 187:9
15:1,18,20,22	57:19	mean 21:7	
16:1,5,6,16		23:4,7,22	meetings 9:11
17:6,14 18:15,17	materials 118:12	26:5,14,18 28:7	members 17:20
20:6,8 22:5,13	math 169:12	33:14 46:2 48:18	
29:16 30:15		62:2 63:20 69:10	memory 30:17
44:13 50:18	matter 7:16 44:15	70:16 93:10	114:11
51:22 56:10 59:2	184:2	95:18,22 96:5,9	mental 30:1 70:2
67:12 78:11 79:1	matters 10:4	123:11 124:8	
88:21 89:12		125:13 129:10	mentioned 36:4
124:13,20,22	Mauer 3:3		50:17 54:13
125:3	59:17,19 61:2	162:16 165:10	55:13 58:9 62:7
138:17,20,21	max 51:8 54:4	173:16 174:3,16	93:6 131:2
139:19 145:8,9		175:10,17	139:16 144:17
137.17 143.8,7			

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2015

	1 46		
155:4	9:2	92:14 134:1	modeler 148:5
met 1:19	midmorning 7:22	Minneapolis	modeling 50:21
metal 82:11 84:2	midnight 187:5	136:12	51:2 53:13
methane 179:8	midrange 60:19	Minnesota 33:3	models 17:7,18
method 14:2 91:3	Mike 3:7 6:10 7:2	minor 62:16	18:3,8,11,16,21
142:14 179:9	19:6,7 25:12,14	145:11	20:4,6 51:21,22 56:6 106:14,16
methodologies	28:13 29:5 30:6	minus 14:8 38:10	109:1 125:7
109:11	32:21 33:11,22	134:13	159:18
methodology	46:13 47:22	minute 59:21	modified 151:22
15:21 50:15 99:6	49:15 66:3	minutes 74:16	
101:3 111:10	72:10,11 75:18 80:11,12	76:16 178:4	modulating 41:12 42:9 59:22
122:12	81:8,9,19 84:22	miscalculated	60:3,11
126:6,8,12	85:1 86:2	72:5	moment 5:21
141:10 179:15	87:20,21 101:5,6	missed 22:9 72:3	68:14
180:15 184:17	106:11,12 112:2	119:10 133:18	MONDAY 1:14
185:2,10	113:15	186:16	- '
metric 13:22 14:6	115:21,22 127:9 129:20 130:21	missing 23:20	monetary 179:20
40:10 154:10 180:4 181:6	145:10 147:13	81:16 97:8	180:9,21
	152:15,16	misstated 64:8	monetization
MIA 138:8,10,12 153:18 154:1	162:2,7,8 164:19	mix 170:16 184:14	179:19
161:13 172:9	168:1 172:10		monetized
mic 19:20 42:22	173:20,21 178:5	mixed 31:3	180:7,13
43:3 67:16 70:18	183:3	mixture 140:8	monitoring 105:1
101:11 103:6	million 45:22 65:1	145:19 146:3	120:1
123:15 127:18	66:10 67:19,21	183:18,20	Monte 109:2
158:12	94:18,22 107:6 149:6 156:20	mode 9:6 38:8	month 187:12
Michael 3:4,8 7:4	157:2,4 160:18	96:15 133:9 154:14	monthly
43:16,17	161:8 162:12		117:1,5,6,11,12,
100:4,5,16	165:12 167:10	model 52:5,14 70:2 81:15 85:2	14,17
109:21,22	176:13	86:2 107:8	months 134:22
132:12,13 147:5,20	177:1,15,16	112:8,9 123:21	morning 5:3,8 7:9
150:14,15	178:11 180:14	124:21 133:3,4	15:16 42:3,18
161:11,12	186:9	148:6	77:10 133:18
182:16,17	millions 157:11	154:7,8,9,13	187:7
microphone	mind 18:10 32:14	155:4,11,12	mostly 81:4
102:14 128:7	49:20 74:3 128:3	156:20 185:3	119:13
166:5	163:7 174:2	modeled 57:8	Mother 160:22
microphones 6:4	minimum 13:17	184:8	motor 47:4 165:19
	18:20 73:17		

motors 101:11	NATASHA	negligence 41:16	16:5 151:20
move 7:19 14:22	188:2,17	neither 188:8	152:1,7
36:18 41:5 43:14	nation 73:6	189:6	non-weatherized
90:14 99:1	national 2:14,21	NEMS 184:9	18:6,8,10 21:19
107:11 126:22	4:10 6:19,21 8:8		22:3 56:2 168:16
133:14 136:19	77:12 78:8,19	NES 152:6	noon 8:4 76:18
170:6 185:14	80:15 81:2,3	net 127:6 142:10	130:10
186:18	82:5,16,19	143:7,16 144:12	
moved 68:3 70:12	83:17,21 85:4,16	146:17 154:10	NOPR 14:17
98:13 185:19	86:6,21 87:10	185:7	146:6 153:10 155:22
movement 68:6	88:6 89:3 122:22	neutral 31:16	
	141:22 142:1,6	neutralizer 111:5	nor 188:8 189:6,7
moves 77:21	143:5 144:12	113:14 118:21	Nordyne 35:22
moving 11:4 36:19	146:10,11,21		normal 86:3 101:2
107:13 151:14	147:4	NIA 138:8,10,11	
170:8,9	149:12,14,20	150:18 151:22	normalization
MPC 53:7,17	150:2 151:13,20	182:19 185:6	101:2
55:15 57:10	173:10 184:20	nice 72:17	normalize 54:20
59:11,16	185:5	niche 171:2,4	Nortek 2:11 35:21
156:12,13	natural 108:7	nine 17:13	northern 33:3
173:7,9	117:10		42:17
MPCs 53:14	nature 42:1 161:1	nitrogen 183:15	
58:16,17	Navigant 2:12,20	Nobody 43:13	note 21:17 37:11 53:2 89:9 91:20
MSP 59:9,10,15	3:6,9,15	non 17:11 28:19	145:16
79:19,22 80:2,7	6:12,14,17,22	38:17 39:8 56:9	
	7:2 15:17 50:12	57:18	noted 145:14
MSPs 58:17	65:2,7 68:21	non-AHRI 17:19	nothing 19:3 50:7
MTA 154:19	76:6 114:2		119:22
multiple 163:9	153:15 162:7	non-availability 29:1	notice 5:3 12:2,4
165:3 171:15	166:22 170:9		153:10
multiplication	175:19	noncondensing	noting 102:10
174:11	NEC 115:22	114:5	Ü
		none 11:1 74:7	now's 10:21
multiply 91:7	necessarily 21:8 32:15 60:15	148:17	NO x 180:7
93:18 95:4	76:2,8 90:2,8	non-heating 91:21	181:6,13,20
116:20 117:4,6 122:2 143:3	134:11 136:3	Ŭ I	182:14 183:16
		non-makeup 44:4	NPV 152:7
mute 9:14	necessary 8:6	nonproduction	nutshell 10:13
myself 7:5	25:20 59:4 158:4	52:18,19	1101311C11 10.13
	necessitated 55:3	non-proprietary	
N	negative 104:18	37:14	0
naquestion 22:20	148:12	non-regulatory	obtained 50:18
		non-regulatory	

	0		1
obvious 22:4	19:19 30:3 32:2	opens 9:13	189:8
obviously 20:6	34:14,20	operating 71:19	outdoor
23:11 42:7 93:11	35:4,7,10,16,19	72:7 80:3	23:8,12,13,19
	36:13,19 40:5		30:20 31:2
130:14,15	43:16 47:19	91:6,10 93:7,9	
145:12 169:22	49:10,12,14	95:4 103:22	113:5,10 119:13
170:4 173:16	50:4,7,11	108:3,8,10,13,15	125:16,20
176:12	* *	116:18,22	134:20
occasion 119:21	56:11,14 61:3	117:22 121:16	outdoors 16:22
	63:9,21 64:2,5,6	122:1,4 123:19	17:4 111:16
occur 132:7 180:5	67:8,9,10 68:5	127:4 128:16	
o'clock 8:20	70:7,14,16 72:9 73:8,11 74:11	131:8 134:18	outer 58:2,14
OEM 83:15	75:6 76:3,13	136:10 139:10	outlet 111:6
	81:9 86:12 88:13	142:13 143:12	113:11,17
offer 171:2		144:10	114:3,20
office 1:7 2:5,6,8	90:15 98:16,20	operations 101:13	115:10,17
6:16 124:6	99:19,20 102:21	114:17	116:1,3
	103:6 106:21		ŕ
offset 121:15 122:5	107:11 112:20	opinion 28:12	outlets 116:7
122.3	113:16 114:8	opportunity 7:13	outline 154:21
offsets 104:2	120:4,14,19,22	8:15 76:19	outlined 16:11
oh 15:7 34:15	121:2,13 122:15	185:13	outlook 147:8
40:10 62:5 68:3	126:1,22 134:19	opposed 114.5	
73:9 159:22	136:14 138:6,7	opposed 114:5	148:3
182:8	141:20 147:19	176:1 187:4	output 93:10,11
	148:20 150:10	opposite 182:4	121:22 152:6
oil 12:14 13:21	151:12,14,18	option 61:11	154:9 184:12
18:4 54:1 59:8	153:13 161:9	-	
92:18 93:2	169:16,20,21	options 22:2 44:3	outputs 179:10
110:18 117:10	172:14 175:6,16	53:8,20	outset 7:15 11:1
118:17,18	176:4,8,11,16	order 61:14 88:11	outside 19:20
145:20 146:4,5,6	177:17	138:4 160:6	25:7,15,20 26:1
oil-fired 13:6,16	178:12,13,17	175:2	33:1
17:5 18:1,9 20:1	182:8,15 187:1	organizational 6:2	42:10,12,13,16
51:11 55:12 58:8	old 83:18 131:6,7	organizational 0.2	43:10 45:6
89:6,14 92:18	132:6	original 94:16	60:14,20 95:10
94:21 97:6		others 100:1	160:20 171:12
111:17	OMB's 144:14	133:20	
	ones 134:9 156:1		overall 23:9 26:3
123:7,9,13 125:5 127:8 140:21,22		otherwise 6:7	55:6 89:1,2,4
141:8 146:1	Online 124:1	189:8	127:20,21
141:8 146:1	on-time 105:3	ought 9:19 43:14	184:19
	open 10:18	166:17	overcome 33:1
okay 5:2 10:9,18 11:1,6,7	opening 4:3 7:14	ourselves 49:5	61:16 62:8 73:21
14:11,21 16:14	10:19	outcome 186:2	74:9
		000011C 100.2	

Page 29

overhead 53:4 80:4	9:20 participating	18:3,4,21 19:2,8 20:2,4 22:20 23:19 25:15,20	performance 27:16 28:4 81:13 83:8
overlapping 165:9 overloads 160:5	187:9 particular 15:22	26:1,5,6 30:22 31:10,15 33:1	performed 133:10,11
overview 4:2,4 7:19 16:15 107:15	21:17 31:14 59:6 71:3 76:6 83:15 162:8,10 163:9	38:10 40:2 42:13,14 43:21 44:6 47:16 48:19	perhaps 50:5 72:13 75:7
owned 83:16	particularly 43:7,8 156:5	56:6,7,17 57:3,5,7,8,19	perilous 9:14
owner 84:1 87:7,13	parties 4:16 147:1 188:9 189:7	62:1 65:22 66:1 71:5,18 72:1,6	period 4:9 8:3,7 88:8 91:1 107:14 108:16,19
owners 124:5 ownership 83:20	pass 156:7 passes 78:5	74:2,6 75:12 76:11 85:20 86:1,14	109:19 117:14 120:19 138:14
oxides 183:16	past 28:17 76:17	89:12,13,14,15 96:8 97:9 98:7	140:3 141:8 142:13 187:5
P p.m 187:15	pathway 53:11 pay 82:10 87:17	103:18,19 104:1,4 107:1,2	periods 50:2,3 127:7 132:19
pack 31:11 128:5	128:14	111:15,16 113:6,9,13,14,17	permit 110:19
package 17:2 24:15 54:15 90:5	payback 4:9 8:2,7 91:1 107:14,15 108:16,19	114:5 119:12 121:19,21	person 81:20,21 187:7
packaged 16:18 17:7,8 23:9	127:2,7 132:19 137:4	122:4,6 125:2,3,4,10,11,	personal 28:11 perspective
34:19,22 46:1 48:13 54:14	paybacks 137:3	12,18,20,22 126:3,4 131:16 132:18	108:21 134:19 136:7 168:15,20
55:14 58:7 73:22 74:22 110:12 159:11 174:3	paying 82:13 PDF 153:10	134:13,18 136:10 140:19	pertaining 177:4 phase
packaging 58:2,15	peak 43:5 people 23:1	141:9 144:13 145:1,3,8,9	154:17,19,21 phases 154:17
packet 7:10 packs 29:1	174:13 175:5,11,12	146:2,3,4,5,18,1 9,20 149:2,11	phone 35:5
page 97:9	175.3,11,12	150:4,8,20 156:2,11,13,21	phones 9:14 35:20
pain 132:4	per 13:2,8 58:7 64:19 74:20	162:22 164:13 168:16 174:7,8,9	physical 52:1,2 physically 46:20
parallel 41:10 parameters	81:14 91:8 94:6,22 95:1	176:19 178:1 percentage 76:9	131:8 pick 56:12 77:4
100:20 participants 9:9	144:11 149:9,10 150:21 157:11	144:22 151:5 162:21 163:3	picked 148:14
79:1 88:17	176:18 177:2 180:4,9,10	173:8	picture 73:3 105:9 piece 46:19,21
participate 5:17	percent 13:20 14:8	percentages 60:14	81:16 130:3,5

	1 48	-	
131:1,16 169:2	162:21 167:1	144:8 179:6,21	164:19 181:21
pieces 155:12	168:1 170:7	PPI 109:18	previous 50:17
158:4 173:4	186:13	practicability 37:6	105:17 107:3
pipe 111:4 113:3	pointed 65:8 73:3 133:21	practical 28:14	113:18 123:6
piping 110:18	pointer 15:11	135:15	previously 37:1 73:13 143:21
placed 186:5	pointing 15:13	practice 74:20	price 52:17,22
plant 144:8 174:17		105:14	58:17 75:5 77:16
179:6,21	points 72:7 145:11	practices 135:9	78:1 79:21 80:1
plates 62:13	Policy 11:10	precision 69:5	87:17 107:22
platform 186:6,12	policymakers 170:5	preferably 164:17	108:12 109:19 110:1
play 37:16		preferred 169:8	117:5,7,11,13,16
plea 170:2	pooled 163:13	preliminary 20:11	123:21 139:8,9
please 5:16 8:21	portion 15:22 17:6	preparation	174:9
9:6,14 10:2 19:6	22:5 55:16 75:15	152:19	prices 52:7
30:2 34:1 36:16 42:22 59:18 61:4	126:6 127:16	prepared 189:4	77:15,19 108:6 109:15,17
63:13 64:10	137:1 154:1 171:11	preponderance	116:21,22
70:18 71:15 73:9		86:4	117:1,4,9,18
74:12 76:19	portions 75:13	prescribed 14:9	172:8
80:10 81:6 82:3 84:7 101:20	position 166:3	prescription 162:4	primarily 16:21
102:14,22 103:4	positive 103:12 148:11	present 10:13	22:9 39:1,8 53:20 54:2 173:3
114:22 122:11		14:22 68:1	primary 54:3,6
128:6 183:8 187:3	possibility 45:10	123:18 138:11 142:10,11,12	78:3,10,15 97:17
	possible 10:5 44:4 45:3	143:7,16 144:13	123:22 146:12
plumbing 79:10	possibly 22:11	146:9,17 154:10	148:22 149:3 153:17 154:6
plus 59:11 127:20 134:12 145:3	69:12	presentation	184:13
160:11	potable 45:14	70:15 87:3	pristine 128:22
point 18:17 21:21	potential 16:9	presented 152:11 155:19	probability 109:3
42:5,20 43:3	39:20 74:17		probably 11:8
47:7,8,9 48:22 49:15 51:1 65:11	121:16 154:3	presenting 77:12 153:16	14:11 19:4 44:21
72:16,20 73:18	156:2 171:18 172:3 179:20	pressure 61:17	45:18 48:4 76:17
74:19 75:1,4	potentially 127:21	62:9 104:11	101:7 128:16 135:19 159:7
76:4 77:22 89:17	134:17 186:3	Presumably 23:18	135:19 159:7 160:19 161:8
99:14 105:21 116:10 119:11	power 49:19 62:8	pretty 5:13 9:17	186:16
120:11,12 135:2	73:21 74:4 95:5	33:15 51:12	problem 48:15
137:5 140:9	96:18 122:6,14	84:20 113:10	75:16 160:16
		ll	

problems 134:21 135:11 136:1 procedure 13:22 14:1,9 36:6 37:21 38:6 40:9 procedures 134:6	16:15 27:13,15,17,20 30:14 69:11 76:9 118:17 133:22 134:17 136:21 163:21,22 168:16 171:3	158:10 161:15,16 179:13 proposing 146:7 183:5 proprietary 37:13	pulled 128:21 152:18 pulling 114:16 pump 111:4 113:5,12 114:18 115:12
proceed 11:4 70:14 138:7 proceeding 188:3,4,5,8,9,11 process 85:3,6 86:16 168:13 processes 52:7	profile 154:18 160:6 profit 78:2 79:7 83:10 84:3 profitable 88:11 profits 79:2 80:3 program 165:13	protect 135:5,6 protection 45:7,8 113:8 prove 158:22 proven 22:3 proves 29:18 provide 23:3	pumps 95:11 182:22 purchase 79:20 80:1 124:16,18 139:9 purchasers 77:22 purchases 152:5
70:3 166:15 produce 108:7,9 110:5 173:14 produced 133:4 170:12,19 produces 134:8 product 12:11 17:20 22:1,22 26:14,19,20 27:7 28:15,17,19 29:4,8,21 31:19 33:8,19,20 34:2 39:20,21 49:6 51:4 56:20 63:1	programs 16:6 project 98:5 109:19 117:21 138:13 projected 124:13 138:13,19,20 139:17 140:5 141:5 projecting 100:8,18 141:6 projections 93:22 100:22 139:4 141:11	58:21 93:14 101:20 104:6 122:11 135:7 161:4 183:7 provided 9:16 67:5 93:17 179:16 184:16 185:9 provides 106:18 123:3 providing 30:20 31:5,14,15 32:16,17 61:18	purpose 4:3 7:18 10:6,9 15:21 30:8 36:22 42:12 50:13 151:18 153:17,20 purposes 18:6 24:17 83:1 161:13 push 41:10 43:13 70:18 pushed 43:13 putting 84:5,9 110:17 170:2
66:10,11 135:8 141:16 155:6 157:7,22 162:13 163:9 164:16 168:14 170:12,16 175:22 185:1 production 50:22 52:18,22 53:3 61:8 109:16 134:2,3 155:8 172:19 173:3,6 products 13:16	proof 29:15 30:5 proper 165:21 properly 172:2 property 124:4 proportion 149:15 proposal 10:14,20 14:16,19 propose 41:17 proposed 5:4 12:4 21:16 40:1 146:7,14 152:9	proxy 78:20 123:9 public 1:9 2:2 4:3 5:4 7:18 10:7,10 171:22 publicly 16:13 109:5 171:5 publicly-available 58:20 154:18 publicly-traded 58:20 publish 12:1 pull 177:20	Q qualifying 153:21 qualitative 16:2 154:15 155:1 163:12 quantify 147:17 154:11 158:15 162:12 quantitative 16:2 165:10 168:8 quantities 43:10 85:9

	0		
question 19:5	180:4,8	real 48:1 85:13,14	171:9
21:17,21 23:5	ranged 69:1	144:13 157:8	recognized 9:16
24:2,6 25:2	180:14	180:6	
30:15 31:22	wangag (0.14	reality 74:8	recognizing 83:15 85:15
43:18 46:2	ranges 69:14	105:10	
59:16,18,22	rant 41:19	realize 71:20 90:1	recommend
61:4,7 63:15	rate 42:14 108:12	177:7	99:13,18
64:13 65:2	124:3,8 134:7		recommendation
85:14,15 90:3	144:12 146:20	realizing 186:7	99:15
100:6,10 103:10	rated 13:2 38:6	really 5:18 22:5	record 9:1 178:3
116:14	56:17,18	24:17 33:18 36:2	188:7
132:14,22	133:9,11	37:16 38:12,14	
149:17 161:13	,	48:12 57:21 77:7	recorded 188:4
162:8 168:13,14	rates 38:7 52:8	82:15,16,18 84:3	RECS 91:14
172:18 181:8 182:18 183:3,11	123:17	85:2 119:21	92:6,21 93:17
, in the second	rather 55:16 95:18	128:4 132:22	94:17,21 97:15
questions 14:21	139:11 150:18	156:14,22 157:9	99:3 118:15
43:19 64:10 80:9	rating 41:11	159:9 160:9	140:7
137:6	Ü	163:3	redesign
quick 16:15 39:14	ratings 13:8	178:14,15,16	158:6,17,19
51:16 161:13	ratio 95:7	reason 130:6	167:10 169:6
quiet 185:17	140:15,22	132:20	reduce 101:15
-	Ray 3:7 6:10	reasonably 131:7	
quite 33:2 37:3	19:5,7,17,19	•	reduced 103:22
104:9 136:17	25:14 46:14 48:8	reasons 21:13,22	121:16 122:3
172:22 182:4	72:11,18 75:20	66:13	165:12 179:20
quote 84:17	76:4 80:8,12	reassemble 131:10	182:14 188:5
	81:9 82:1	reassuring 69:5	reductions
R	85:1,10 87:21	rebates 152:2	179:3,14,19
R&D 52:19 158:5	101:6,12		180:5,7,14,22
163:14 164:1	106:12,21	rebound 144:3	181:14
165:13,15	115:22 127:10	147:2,7,9,14,16	183:12,13
raise 8:16 9:15	129:21 130:22	148:8,10	reference 179:10
raised 119:12	145:11 152:14,15,16	receive 120:16	184:11
	152.14,15,16	received 7:10	referencing
range 19:4,9 31:8	172:11,14	26:10 157:9,13	152:21
34:10,13,18 39:6	, and the second	187:11	referred 83:19
57:18 64:20,21 68:22	reach 33:7	recent 12:10 74:18	
	reaching 47:9		referring 68:8
69:9,10,12,14 72:19 74:17	reading 125:13,21	recently 9:17	74:15 81:2 121:7
105:4,14	g ,	recessed 77:3	refine 52:13
148:9,14 156:2	ready 30:11 37:3 133:14 147:21	recognize 86:15	154:13 155:2
157:4,9 175:22	133:14 14/:21	1005	
131.7,7113.44			

Page 33

reflect 55:2 155:3	53:17	48:19 78:11	73:21 112:13
reflected 119:1	relatively 17:14	80:14 85:19 86:5	114:3 118:12
reflects 8:18	62:16 131:17	88:20 89:13 112:6 139:19,22	requirements
55:1,15 124:13	released 102:7,19	186:4	165:15
refrigerant 114:13	releasing 102:18	replacements	requires 76:11
regard 133:5	reliability 72:2	112:8 138:18,22	186:11
regarding 61:7	135:7 158:8	replacing 118:2	research 75:21 86:11
74:17 75:12	159:1,2,6	128:19 131:19	
regime 75:5	relies 155:5	report 79:7,9	residential 41:14 44:13 92:11 99:5
region 75:17	reluctantly 137:21	reported 88:18	118:7 123:10
regional 98:11,12	rely 68:2	94:17,21 96:9	141:1 148:6
regionally 120:8	remarks 4:16 5:9	97:15 146:18 163:16	161:15 163:21 168:19
regular 25:22	7:14,15 8:15		
28:21 187:4	10:19 185:14 186:22	Reporter 188:1,2,18	resistance 73:15
regulated	remember 48:18	reports 58:19,21	resources 163:13,20
162:11,14		79:6 118:6,15	164:1,2 171:11
164:16	reminded 182:20	represent 154:8	response 75:8
regulations 13:4	reminder 58:5	representative	responsibility
154:4	removal 110:20	51:22 65:8,9,17	41:16 84:11
regulative 162:15	remove 37:2	66:5,9 67:7,19	responsible 88:7
regulatory 4:4,11	removed 38:5,16	representatives	rest 44:5 129:9
7:18 8:9 11:4,8 13:14 16:5	removes 37:17	166:9	restrict 62:14
144:14	render 28:19	representing 7:5	restrictive 62:15
151:14,17,19	Renewable 1:7 2:5	represents 97:14	restrictor 62:13
152:2,21	repair 108:9	request 21:15	
154:7,14 161:14,19	118:1,4,9,14	22:17 68:7 70:22	restrooms 76:21
reinforce 46:14	119:3 126:13 129:17 139:11	71:1 99:2 106:9 126:7 137:5,7	result 29:1 59:9 95:21 186:1
relate 79:19,21	143:10	144:6 146:22	resulting 179:4,21
ĺ	repairing 118:2,16	requested 120:6	180:7 184:7
related 27:17 39:3 62:20 71:14	repairs 83:3	requesting 99:2	results 10:14
90:14 99:8	replace 48:21 49:4	requests 171:15	53:14 54:12,16
106:10	87:1 112:11	180:17	59:14 94:13 97:1
110:14,18 147:2 188:8 189:6	128:12,17,18	require 11:22	126:7 127:2
	129:17 131:21	12:16 48:9 88:6	137:1 144:11 146:9 152:10
relates 21:22	132:4 139:11	119:22 176:14	155:18,19
relationship 50:14	replacement 46:7	required 12:7	156:4,15

	0		
179:12,16	176:17	164:15 165:1	32:3
180:12,16	177:2,6,9,13,16,	169:6	40:5,6,10,15
184:16,21	18,22 178:5	RTUs 30:10 48:13	41:3 42:5,20
resume 76:16 77:4	road 47:10,11,15	105:2,5,12 106:1	43:2 47:21
retail 87:6 124:4	49:4	114:12 164:14	48:9,12 55:18,20
	Robert 3:16 49:7	rule 90:2 155:15	56:1,5,11 68:7,8
retired 122:21		161:15,16	69:4 70:1,8
retirement 139:21	Roger 73:1	162:11 163:1,11	83:13,14 84:19 95:13,14
141:2	rolled 61:21 130:1	167:13,15	96:1,4,11 97:7,8
retooling 61:14	roll-up	182:11	98:7,16 114:9,10
return 23:13,21	144:5,18,20	rulemaking 4:4	128:1,2 129:1,4
30:19 40:7 64:4	roof 115:5,6,7,14	5:4 7:19 12:5	137:11,21
	rooftop 49:18 58:7	13:5 19:12 59:6	150:9,11 164:8,9
returning 8:6 41:3	68:12 73:22	68:22 102:8	166:4,8 167:18
revenue 176:18	106:2 165:14	130:2 140:12	168:11
177:16 178:10	167:3	147:16 167:3	169:13,15,18
revenues 162:18	rooftops 16:20	168:4	185:19
174:1	-	rulemakings	186:19,20
reverb 35:13	room 1:20 5:18	28:16 41:14	safety 37:10 158:7
reverse 50:20	9:19 10:10 35:6,13 71:17	102:4 163:9	sake 134:11
review 7:12,13	102:11 167:20	rules 130:15	sale 83:22 84:16
reviewed 20:14	room-neutral	161:17 163:2	87:8,11,19
	32:17	164:4 165:8 166:1	sales 18:11 79:15
reviews 180:2	roughly 64:17		80:22
revising 43:15	68:17,18 69:2	run 39:15	88:20,21,22 90:9
rework 186:11	149:11 156:19	115:4,11 155:11	174:9 177:13
RIA 138:8,10,11	178:10	running 114:16 116:5	salesforce 76:1
rich 148:9	round 49:21 73:2	runtime 105:2	Sam 3:5 6:22
right-hand 121:8	rounding	106:3	sample 89:5
risking 28:18	145:12,16	runtimes 105:11	92:3,6,17,19,21
	route 41:18		94:14 105:17
Rivest 3:8 7:2	rows 146:12,13	rust 131:12	117:2
28:13,14 29:6,18 30:3 33:5,12,20	ŕ	rusted 73:5 131:4	sampled 93:1
34:2,7 66:3,4	Roy 3:10 61:4,6		San 136:5,12
67:4 161:22	63:9,13	S	saturations
162:2,6,7 165:7	RS 111:21	Sachs 3:11 6:5	139:18 140:6
166:6 168:1,21	118:4,13	22:18,19 23:18	
173:20,21	RTU 30:19 31:11	24:2,5 28:1	saving 60:15,21
174:15	48:1 104:13	29:5,10,11,13	savings 60:3,9
175:6,9,16	114:15 128:4	30:1,4 31:20	66:12 69:16

103:12,22			<u> </u>	
104:17,21				several 29:14 38:3
105:12 121:5,8,11,14,15 161 122:4,10 127:5 142:6 143:6,13 149:1,3,6,8,12,1 4 150:1,5,7,17,22 166:1,10,11 169:19 179:13 184:9 secretary 12:7 104:14 scale 44:16 65:3 66:14 69:19,20 80:4 14:12 159:22 section 67:1 65:13 66:21 90:6 Sector 12:1 14:5,20 second 14:5,20 second second 14:5,20 second second 14:5,20 second second 12:1 select 138:17 seized 166:17 select 50:19 51:21 select 138:20 select 12:14 13:6 sell 82:20 selling 52:17,22 shares 16:1 12:4 13:6 selling 12:14 13:6 selling 13:17, 22 share 16:11 selection 6:5 shared 16:13 shares 16:15 12:4:20,22 sheet 82:19 share 13:20 shared 16:3:0 17:22 shares 16:13 selling 12:14 13:6 12:14 13:6 12:14 13:6 selling 13:17, 22 sheet 12:14 13:6 selling 12:14 13:6 selling 12:14 13:6 12:14 13:6 12:14 13:6 12:14 13:6 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19 13:19	,	1		
16 122:4,10 127:5 142:6 second 40:8 46:20 67:7 74:17 75:2 104:16 151:18 149:1,3,6,8,12,1 4 150:1,5,7,17,22 secondary 39:4 46:3,16,19 47:1 169:19 179:13 184:9 58:1,13 104:5 122:7 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 16:5 17 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 16:5 17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 sheets 20:15 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sheet 82:11 84:21 sense 17:22 sheet 82:11 84:21 shipting 130:2 184:21 shipting 130:2 184:21 shipting 130:2 184:21 stip 13:8 shipting 130:2 184:21 shi		01.01		l
143:6,13		f f		share 9:5 59:2
143:6,13 146:11,13,14,16 149:1,3,6,8,12,1 4 150:1,5,7,17,22 166:1,10,11 49:16 54:5 55:8 184:9 58:1,13 104:5 122:7 58:17 77:15 82:9 122:7 58:17 77:15 82:9 122:7 58:17 77:15 82:9 122:7 104:14 27:11,12 127:11,12 139:14 130:19 135:15 166:13 130:19 135:15 166:13 138:20 138:20 124:20,22 124:		1 Second 40°8 46°70		124:13 125:3
149:1,3,6,8,12,1 153:20 section 103.20 175:22 secondary 39:4 46:3,16,19 47:1 49:16 54:5 55:8 58:1,13 104:5 58:17 77:15 82:9 secretary 12:1 27:11,12 sense 47:22 102:6 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 184:21 sense 47:22 102:6 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 184:21 sense 47:22 102:6 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 184:21 ship 47:11 sense 47:22 102:6 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 184:21 ship 47:11 ship ment 122 155:9,10 163:19 133:8 36:3,11 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 4,16 139:1,7,14, 21 20:142:19,2	*	67.7 74.17 75.2	select 50:19 51:21	138:20
150:1,5,7,17,22		5 9 12 1 104.10 131.10	selection 66:5	shared 163:20
166:1,10,11		133.20		
169:19 179:13 184:9 58:1,13 104:5 58:1,13 104:5 122:7 58:17 77:15 82:9 sheets 20:15 ship 47:11 shipment 122 155:9,10 shipment 122 155:9,10 shipments 4:1 simplement 122 155:9,10 shipments 4:1 simplement 122 155:9,10 shipment 3:15 simplement 122 155:9,10 shipment 122 155:9,10 shipment 3:1 simplement 122 serious 181:8 serious 181:4 serious 181:4 serious 181:4 serious 181:4 serious 181:4 serious 181:4 se		4621610451	12:14 13:6	shares 16:5 17:15
184:9 58:1,13 104:5 122:7 selling 52:17,22 58:17 77:15 82:9 sheet 82:11 82 sheets 20:15 saw 20:7 55:6 62:13 97:2 104:14 secretary 12:1 27:11,12 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 shell 94:1 97: 98:6 100:13 socale 44:16 65:3 66:14 69:19,20 80:4 114:12 159:22 section 19:12 64:15 138:10 174:2 sensitive 156:5 sensitive 156:5 shifting 130:2 184:21 scaling 44:15 65:13 66:21 90:6 Scaling 44:15 65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 185:3 36:3,11 separate 21:18 22:1,22 24:7 26:10 27:12 29:4 30:16 31:19 33:8 36:3,11 shipment 122 155:9,10 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 156:1,6,22 97:11 168:7 seciong 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 21:2 serve 106:2,4 service 31:6 37:7 116:2 122:21 124:6 service 31:6 37:7 14:1,6,7,10,21 14:1,6,7,10,3,10,2 school 83:18 scope 13:5 19:12 screen 15:14 screened 21:11 seeking 21:15 22:7,8,14 services 124:5 184:22 serving 105:5 116:4 173:10,12		,11	sell 82:20	i i
saw 20:7 55:6 secretary 12:1 sending 134:17 sheets 20:15 62:13 97:2 104:14 27:11,12 sense 47:22 102:6 shell 94:1 97: 98:6 100:13 scale 44:16 65:3 66:14 69:19,20 64:15 138:10 130:19 135:15 shifting 130:2 80:4 114:12 174:2 sensitive 156:5 ship 47:11 159:22 sections 64:22 separate 21:18 22:1,22 24:7 ship 47:11 65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 26:10 27:12 29:4 30:16 31:19 33:8 8:8 18:12 70 scenario 114:6 185:3 30:16 31:19 33:8 8:8 18:12 70 123:8 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 secions 48:14 84:4 serve 106:2,4 8:8 18:12 70 schoule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,3 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7 154 screen 4 21:11 140:0,0 17 116:4 173:10,12		17.15		
62:13 97:2 104:14 secretary 12:1 27:11,12 sending 134:17 sense 47:22 102:6 130:19 135:15 166:13 shell 94:1 97: 98:6 100:13 scale 44:16 65:3 66:14 69:19,20 80:4 114:12 64:15 138:10 174:2 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 184:21 scaling 44:15 65:13 66:21 90:6 sections 64:22 67:1 separate 21:18 22:1,22 24:7 26:10 27:12 29:4 30:16 31:19 33:8 36:3,11 shipment 122 155:9,10 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 124:3 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 4,16 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 156:1,6,22 seeing 48:14 84:4 97:11 168:7 serves 65:10 142:1 service 31:6 37:7 140:2,8,15,1 22:1,22:21 124:6 140:2,8,15,1 20 142:19,2 143:8,20,21 scope 13:5 19:12 seeking 21:15 22:7,8,14 serving 105:5 144:1,7 154 screened 21:11 seeking 21:15 22:7,8,14 serving 105:5 146:14		122.7	58:17 77:15 82:9	sheets 20:15
104:14 27:11,12 sense 47:22 102:6 98:6 100:13 scale 44:16 65:3 66:14 69:19,20 64:15 138:10 130:19 135:15 166:13 shifting 130:2 80:4 114:12 174:2 sensitive 156:5 ship 47:11 ship 47:11 159:22 sections 64:22 separate 21:18 ship ment 122 155:9,10 scaling 44:15 sector 79:11,14 22:1,22 24:7 shipment 122 155:9,10 SCC 180:5 sectors 123:22 30:16 31:19 33:8 sinpment 4:1 skipment 4:1 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios securities 79:5 serve 106:2,4 139:1,7,14,3 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 16:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,3 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 scroen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7, 154 screen 4 21:11 seeking 21:15 serving 105:5 116:4		4 10 1	sending 134:17	shell 94:1 97:19
scale 44:16 65:3 section 57:12 166:13 184:21 80:4 114:12 174:2 sensitive 156:5 ship 47:11 159:22 sections 64:22 separate 21:18 ship 47:11 scaling 44:15 65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 22:1,22 24:7 shipment 122 SCC 180:5 sector 79:11,14 30:16 31:19 33:8 sipments 4:1 scenario 114:6 185:3 36:3,11 serious 181:8 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 124:3 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 seeing 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 221 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,1 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7 154 screen 4 21:11 123:0 17 116:4 173:10,12		27:11,12		98:6 100:13,21
66:14 69:19,20 80:4 114:12 159:22 scaling 44:15 65:13 66:21 90:6 SCC 180:5 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 156:1,6,22 school 83:18 scope 13:5 19:12 screen 421:11 sections 64:22 67:1 sections 64:22 67:1 sector 79:11,14 185:3 sector 79:11,14 185:3 sectors 123:22 124:3 serious 181:8 serious 181:8 serve 106:2,4 serve 65:10 142:1 service 31:6 37:7 116:2 122:21 124:6 seeking 21:15 171:16 seeking 21:15 service 31:5:5 184:22 serving 105:5 116:4 serving 105:5	scale 44:16 65:3) (),),,)		shifting 130:22
scaling 44:15 sections 64:22 separate 21:18 shipment 122 65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 22:1,22 24:7 shipment 122 SCC 180:5 185:3 30:16 31:19 33:8 shipments 4:1 scenario 114:6 185:3 sectors 123:22 124:3 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 secing 48:14 84:4 serve 106:2,4 139:1,7,14,1 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 16:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,7 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7 154 screened 21:11 126:12,15 184:22 144:1,7 154 screened 21:11 126:14 116:4 173:10,12		:19,20 64:15 138:10		
scaling 44:15 67:1 separate 21:18 simplicit 122 65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 22:1,22 24:7 155:9,10 SCC 180:5 sector 79:11,14 30:16 31:19 33:8 8:8 18:12 76 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 124:3 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 seeing 48:14 84:4 serve 106:2,4 139:1,7,14,3 156:1,6,22 97:11 168:7 service 31:6 37:7 140:2,8,15,3 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 scope 13:5 19:12 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7 154 screen 21:11 seeking 21:15 serving 105:5 162:14 screened 21:11 116:4 173:10,12		.12	sensitive 156:5	l -
65:13 66:21 90:6 sector 79:11,14 26:10 27:12 29:4 shipments 4:1 SCC 180:5 sectors 123:22 30:16 31:19 33:8 shipments 4:1 scenario 114:6 sectors 123:22 serious 181:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios seeing 48:14 84:4 serve 106:2,4 139:1,7,14,1 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 16:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,1 school 83:18 126:12,15 126:12,15 124:6 144:1,7,154 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 144:1,7,154 screened 21:11 serving 105:5 116:4		(7 1		shipment 122:22
SCC 180:5 185:3 30:16 31:19 33:8 8:8 18:12 76 scenario 114:6 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 serious 181:8 123:8 scenarios Securities 79:5 serve 106:2,4 138:7,10,11 155:13,14,16,18 seeing 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 30:16 31:19 33:8 scenarios secious 181:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios seeing 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 12:1 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 140:2,8,15,1 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 143:8,20,21 screened 21:11 seeking 21:15 serving 105:5 173:10,12	<u> </u>	15		ĺ
scenario 114:6 144:5,20 sectors 123:22 124:3 36:3,11 123:8 138:7,10,11 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 156:1,6,22 Securities 79:5 seeing 48:14 84:4 97:11 168:7 serve 106:2,4 serves 65:10 142:1 service 31:6 37:7 116:2 122:21 124:6 140:2,8,15,1 140:2,8,15,1 124:6 schedule 167:12 school 83:18 scope 13:5 19:12 screen 15:14 screened 21:11 seeking 21:15 22:7,8,14 services 124:5 184:22 serving 105:5 143:8,20,21 144:1,7 154 162:14 173:10,12		10.7.2		
scenario 114:6 sectors 123:22 144:5,20 124:3 serious 181:8 scenarios 155:13,14,16,18 seeing 48:14 84:4 156:1,6,22 97:11 168:7 service 31:6 37:7 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 school 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 screened 21:11 136:4 138:7,10,11 4,16 139:1,7,14,1 139:1,7,14,1 12:1 116:2 122:21 12:1 124:6 20 142:19,2 14:1,6,7,10 14:1,6,7,10 12:15 184:22 144:1,7 154 162:14 173:10,12		100.00	36:3,11	
scenarios Securities 79:5 serve 106:2,4 4,16 155:13,14,16,18 seeing 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 ,21 156:1,6,22 97:11 168:7 service 31:6 37:7 140:2,8,15,1 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 141:1,6,7,10 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 184:22 144:1,7 154 screened 21:11 serving 105:5 173:10,12		17.0	serious 181:8	138:7,10,11,12,1
secing 48:14 84:4 seeing 48:14 84:4 serves 65:10 142:1 139:1,7,14,15,21 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 141:1,6,7,16 scope 13:5 19:12 seeking 21:15 seeking 21:15 services 124:5 143:8,20,21 screened 21:11 seeking 21:15 serving 105:5 16:4	, i		serve 106:2,4	1
156:1,6,22 97:11 168:7 service 31:6 37:7 140:2,8,15,1 schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 141:1,6,7,10 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 scope 13:5 19:12 seeking 21:15 184:22 142:14 screened 21:11 serving 105:5 162:14 173:10,12			serves 65:10 142:1	139:1,7,14,15,20
schedule 167:12 seek 99:5 116:2 122:21 141:1,6,7,10 school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 20 142:19,2 scope 13:5 19:12 seeking 21:15 184:22 142:17,154 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 162:14 173:10,12			service 31.6 37.7	140:2,8,15,16,19
school 83:18 126:12,15 124:6 scope 13:5 19:12 171:16 services 124:5 screen 15:14 184:22 screened 21:11 serving 105:5 126:12,15 143:8,20,21 124:6 143:8,20,21 124:19,2 143:8,20,21 124:19,2 143:8,20,21 124:10 143:8,20,21	schedule 167:12		116:2 122:21	141:1,6,7,10,16,
scope 13:5 19:12 171:16 services 124:5 143:8,20,21 screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 184:22 162:14 screened 21:11 116:4 116:4		1061015	124:6	20 142:19,20,22
screen 15:14 seeking 21:15 184:22 162:14 screened 21:11 serving 105:5 173:10,12		171.16		I ' ' I
screened 21:11 22:7,8,14 serving 105:5 173:10,12	•	seeking 21:15	184:22	1
streeneu 21.11		22:/,8,14		
38.3 SCCRS 120.9,17 Shipped 142.4		seeks 126:9,17		shipped 142:4,9
screening 4:6.7:21 141:10,15 1/2:2 sets 155:19 shipping 48:5		*		shipping 48:5
seven 14:13 59:12,13	S	21.0		, <u>, ,</u> ,
36:22 37:1,5 shocked 173:1	36:22 37:1,5	:1,5		shocked 173:1
38:1 39:15,16 seems 138:5,6 Seventy 178:12 shop 76:22	38:1 39:15,16	15,16 seems 138:5,6	Seventy 1/8:12	shop 76:22

Page 36

short 30:18 120:19	128:3 136:17	56:8,10,13,14	sold 67:12
132:19 180:9,11	simplest 166:14	59:10,15 68:9	Solutions 2:2
181:7	simplistic 156:6	70:11,13,22 77:5 78:15 80:13	somebody 68:2
shorter 106:5	simply 30:15	92:19 95:15	80:18 83:2
short-term 185:8	119:19 176:17	97:9,11 98:22	somehow 90:8
showed 56:8,10	simultaneously	99:17 100:7	136:9
showing 18:2	165:8,9	103:10,11 105:18 106:9	someone 83:19
103:12 107:16,17	single 17:2 54:14	107:14 111:6	something's 21:7
139:13	74:21 94:3 153:4	112:4 120:20,21	somewhat 132:14
shown 21:1 59:14	160:1,4 168:17	132:16 133:8	somewhere 80:20
78:6,12,14	single-stage 60:4	142:17 143:17 145:12 148:22	102:5
89:2,3 108:22	site 143:4 144:7	149:5 162:9	sorry 27:2 33:13
109:20 119:1	sitting 33:18	170:10 178:18	35:11,19 38:16
121:8 137:2	situation 66:10	180:4,13 183:12	40:18 48:17
179:12 180:3,12	131:13 186:4	187:2	56:17 59:20 62:5 68:3 120:22
shows 20:1 51:16 52:14 124:7	situations 43:7,8	slides 9:21 91:17	183:10
142:17 156:20	163:8	109:13 141:3 142:16 161:18	sort 17:17 21:5
182:10 187:2	six 12:1,9 153:2,8		38:22 51:18 57:6
sick 155:21	158:22 159:17	slight 122:10	114:14
sidebar 9:7	size 46:15 54:8	slightly 95:21 103:4	sound 35:8,18
sides 148:17	55:6 56:22 57:9 58:12 62:19		49:13
	65:5,20,22 66:22	small 22:5 78:13,17 81:11	source 123:22
significant 23:6 25:21 31:12	67:14	136:22 151:6	143:4
41:18 42:12	69:12,13,14	153:22	sources 16:11,13
45:16 60:2,9,22	74:17 92:14	170:6,10,11	20:14 51:1 79:3
105:11 157:4	164:21 165:19 186:1	171:6,9,17,19	88:18 111:21
158:10,22		173:17,19 185:7,8	117:11 118:13 126:9,13 140:4,9
significantly	sizes 66:2 69:1 90:5	ŕ	141:11 143:18
25:16,18 26:3		smaller 17:6,14 19:14 20:6 25:18	south 98:13
46:6	sizing 25:4	44:12	space 34:7 55:5
silent 9:6	skewed 18:12	smart 88:2	63:16 92:13
similar 23:10	slide 16:14,21	snapshot 17:17	138:20 139:17
33:16 36:9 70:3,6 94:20	17:12,17 18:2,19 20:1 21:2 37:4	*	140:6
103:20 106:18	38:15 39:1 40:8	social 180:1 181:1,10,14,17	spaces 12:16
107:5 123:12	41:4 50:17 52:14	soft 60:18	span 34:17
137:3	53:4,19 54:11		speak 8:22
simple 47:16	55:21	software 9:15	9:1,16,18 23:17

	1 46		
36:16 59:18	standard 12:10	159:1 163:1	streams 86:16
74:12 164:10	14:4,5 18:20	165:5	strength 25:3
speaking 166:22	20:3 24:20 27:19	started 75:18	strong 164:19,20
178:6	28:18,20,21	starting 18:17	9 ,
spec 20:15	36:10 40:11 43:15 124:8	starts 46:6	strongly 31:21
special 30:6	139:6 142:8		structure 105:7
specific 91:12	143:11,13	Stas 2:7 6:15	stub 23:20
94:11	145:1,18,19	state 36:7 40:12	studies 148:13
	154:5 156:8	state/local 124:6	stuff 82:12 130:18
specifically 39:19 50:7 71:5 91:21	165:14 179:11	stated 20:10	subgroup 136:20
126:8 137:7	183:6	171:16	137:8 170:10
141:12 147:2	standards 1:9 5:5	statement 133:13	subgroups 153:22
172:12 180:18	11:15,18 12:2,5,8 13:17	Statements 4:3	· •
specifics 120:6	14:12 40:1	States 82:7	submarining 23:2
speed 101:14	110:2,4 124:15		submit 10:2 81:7
148:7	126:20 138:16	statics 165:20	175:20 187:3
spoke 157:6 167:5	139:7 141:16	stating 69:5	submitted 73:2
spoken 169:1	142:2 143:1,3	statute 11:14	subsection 177:8
	144:18 151:21 152:9 153:19	27:11	substantial 171:1
spot 170:6	154:11 172:4	statutory 27:6	substantially
spots 159:4 160:7	179:4,13,22	stay 87:22	170:16
spreadsheet 52:4	180:8 184:8,21	steady 36:6 40:12	succinctly 154:11
109:5,6 151:22	standby 96:16	steady-state	sufficiently 8:17
spreadsheets	97:1	40:13,14,15	, ,
153:9	standing 167:20	steel 71:22	suggested 151:8
square 92:9,13	standpoint 26:2	72:14,15 76:12	sum 182:10
94:5,7	132:2	step 51:6 53:6	summarizes 53:19
stage 14:16,17	Stanonik 3:13	58:16 80:20,22	summary 7:14
59:21	102:1,2 119:7,8	82:14,17 85:4	51:16 97:1 109:7
stages 42:8	120:4,10	87:18 102:13	182:10 185:14
stainless 71:22	125:8,9,21	112:3	summed 52:11
72:15 76:12	133:16 136:15	steps 80:17	142:8
stakeholder	148:20,21 181:4 182:3,8,13	stock 143:22 144:1	summertime
147:15	183:9,10	stomach 137:20	102:20
stakeholders	185:16,21		supervisors
20:16	start 5:9,13,22 6:1	stopped 65:13	174:16
standalone 55:13	27:1 46:10 49:2	straight 73:16 96:6 149:7	supplement
56:9 58:9	77:1 90:4 142:18		155:12
	143:7 145:7	stream 83:10	

<u></u>	1 ag		
supplementing	synergy 169:6	TE 97:9	118:8,11
48:13	system 16:19	team 65:15 157:20	122:6,13 125:22
supplied 113:12	24:15,16 25:9	tear 50:20 57:6	139:5,7 140:4 145:6 150:3
suppliers	31:2,9 32:18	tear-down 20:18	143.0 130.3 180:6
174:14,16	58:7 62:20 63:3 118:22	23:7 44:12 51:21	terrible 35:11
supplies 16:22		52:2 53:14 56:14	
supply 12:15 13:7	systems 25:3	57:2	test 13:22 14:1,2 24:21 33:17 36:5
support 32:11	45:14,17 46:7 48:13 104:11	tear-downs 51:2	24.21 33.17 36.3 37:21 38:6,8,9
64:15 81:10,11	40.13 104.11	52:1 62:12	40:9,11,14,18,22
supposed 125:14		tech 51:9 54:4	134:6 158:21
* *	table 51:16 89:4	71:4 146:6	160:13,19,21
sure 10:12 23:22 33:3,17,18 60:10	92:19 95:15	technical 11:22	161:1 163:14,20
76:19 88:11 96:4	97:17 124:7	64:14 171:11	tested 24:18,19
99:19 101:16	137:4 182:10	technological 37:6	33:16
148:15 161:21	takeaway 38:22	technologies 2:6	56:15,16,17,18
172:1,21 173:2	taking 15:17 25:7	16:9 20:12,20	testing 14:9 51:3
181:21 183:11	32:18 93:6 121:1	21:2,10 22:8,10	133:10 158:7,8
surface 39:7,11	139:20 167:10	36:9 37:14,18	159:2,8,20 160:2,3,4,9,10,1
54:2 57:4	talk 5:21 21:9	38:4,11 39:1,18	6 165:16,17
61:11,15	48:22 52:21	40:3 44:6 185:3	tests 159:6
surfaces 159:4	54:10 59:3	technology 2:18	
surprised 130:9	90:4,17 162:15	4:5 7:20	thank 5:19,20 6:8 22:16 24:12
174:18	talked 49:18 94:19	15:1,18,20 16:1,8 20:9	25:12 30:16 32:4
surrounding 8:5	116:19 122:10	22:2,13 44:14,16	35:4,12 44:18
survey 68:3 123:8	talking 16:16	53:9 155:7	47:19 49:10 50:4
173:5	52:16 104:13,21 116:18 130:5	telephone 103:5	56:11 61:3,6
surveys 122:22	144:3 174:19	temperature 31:7	64:12 68:6 69:4
174:5	186:8	32:22	72:9 73:8,9,11 75:6 88:13,14
survival 139:1	tape 45:7 95:11	temperatures	90:12,16 96:11
SW 1:20	111:5 113:12	60:19 160:20	97:13 98:17
	114:18 115:8	tend 42:19	101:4,19 102:21
sweeping 162:7	116:5		103:1 105:15
switching 141:17	Target 87:13	tenfold 18:7	106:6 107:12
182:21 183:4,5	targets 152:4	term 28:1,2,5	112:20,21 114:8 116:9,14,16
sympathetic	tax 79:16 152:3	terminal 31:9	120:22
164:15		terms 76:7 92:2	120:22
synchronizing	taxes 79:15 88:20,21,22	93:4 96:17 98:6	136:18 145:17
166:13	00.40,41,44	100:12 103:16	147:13 149:16
		105:9 111:11	150:13 151:12

	1 ag	-	
153:13 161:10	158:16,17	188:2,17	86:8 107:17
168:11,12	There'll 9:3	thorough 111:10	115:4,6 139:14
178:7,20 183:2	there're 160:18	thoughts 64:9	147:6 177:19
186:20,21		71:13 76:14	186:6
thanks 5:11 7:8	there's 7:13	90:13 101:22	tore 56:16
10:8 11:6 36:13	21:17,18 24:9 29:20 30:21	thousand 97:5	total 74:2 91:4
61:2 69:6 70:1	38:20 45:14,15	173:15	107:18 108:14
106:8 120:14	47:15,17 56:1		110:5 127:13
187:1,6,8,12	63:22 64:3 76:22	thousands 157:11	140:1 143:10,14
that'd 9:8	82:5 86:6 87:18	threefold 182:12	144:10 169:11
that's 12:6,11 20:3	92:19,22 103:21	threshold 94:5	184:12,15
23:5 25:16 26:18	114:11,15 116:2	throughout 11:11	totally 9:11 26:17
27:21,22 28:16	119:2 121:15	60:2	134:2
40:9,20 41:2	125:18 136:15		totals 182:1
42:13 44:1,20	145:15 148:9	thus 130:6	touch 132:11
45:1,2,8,10	150:3,5 151:5	thwart 135:10	159:14
46:1,19 57:11	153:1,7,9	tie 75:21	161:18,20
58:5,9 62:21 63:1,6 65:12	159:15,22 168:13 173:12	tied 156:22	toward 41:10
66:15 69:4			
73:6,18,21	thermal 13:19	ties 129:21,22	towards 185:14
86:1,3,7,12 87:7	14:7 38:7,12	timeframe 163:10	186:18
93:16 94:16 96:3	40:14,15,18,22 41:6 43:22	timeline 11:20	traces 11:9
103:8 112:16	57:5,8 71:6	timely 10:5	traditionally
113:10,20 122:5	91:11 93:18	•	25:15
123:4 124:10	103:18,19	tiny 38:13	trained 15:3
129:9 131:20,22	119:12	today 5:7,19 8:19	
132:1,14 134:13	they're 17:10,11	12:6,12 14:19	Trane 2:15 6:9 24:13 26:12
149:12 151:2,7	19:15 25:2,10,11	91:2 120:3	32:11 44:19
153:4,11	26:16 29:17	155:6,21	48:18 60:7 63:14
162:7,11 163:6,10 166:2,6	31:13 36:5 37:3	157:5,18 162:14 168:7 170:1	69:8 71:16 82:4
174:17	42:11 46:2 48:5	187:10	84:8 87:5 89:19
175:7,9,13,16	52:11 55:14		99:11 115:1
176:4,10	82:12 83:5,9	today's 10:2	129:15 158:13
177:2,3,7,12	85:12 89:3,14	ton 180:4,9,11	TRANSCRIBER
178:18 181:20	92:10,11 96:8	181:6,7 182:14	189:1
186:12	100:14 134:8	tons 48:3 181:7	
themselves 48:21	145:13 146:17	tool 154:6	transcript 9:3 188:7 189:4
81:12 135:6	151:10 159:18		
thereafter 188:5	162:3 178:2	tooling 158:3,4	transforms 30:13
	they've 102:17	tools 153:9	transition 74:21
therefore 146:2	THOMAS	top 25:9 85:2,5	75:1,4

translating 57:20	turning 6:3 9:2	102:17 105:8	13:12,17 16:18
transport 47:14	two-hour 5:12	125:11,14	19:13,15,21
travel 5:16 9:13		129:13 147:8	22:21
	two-stage 41:12 60:3,11	154:15 157:20	23:2,8,9,12,19,2
trend 110:1 145:6	ŕ	understanding	0 24:22 29:16,19
trends 108:13	two-tenths 73:18	158:1,9 169:2	30:13 31:9
109:19	type 11:13 16:6,15	understands	34:19,22 35:22
117:16,20 147:3	27:16,18,21 30:5	130:16	43:21,22
trial 145:18	77:20 92:4,16		44:4,5,13 45:19 46:1,10,12 47:11
185:22 186:2	105:6 133:2	unfortunately 136:16	48:5,20 54:14
tried 105:16	163:18 184:14		55:13 56:9,15,16
	types 24:11 39:21	uniform 14:2	60:4 67:19 69:3
trigger 29:3	78:3	unintended	70:4 83:3,5
truck 48:7 49:3	typewriting 188:6	135:10	90:1,5 94:8
true 99:16 147:18		unique 112:16	98:10 116:2,4,7
151:10 188:7	typical 51:22 95:5	•	119:13 120:18
	173:6	uniqueness 82:18	126:1 132:21
try 35:14,16 51:21 53:5 54:19	typically 5:22	unit 12:18 13:12	134:3 136:8
135:16 162:6	16:18 54:14	18:11 24:14,18	139:2 156:12,13
164:11	55:13 174:7	25:15,21,22 26:1	159:11 167:3
		28:10 29:2 30:20	173:15 174:4
trying 9:10 15:5	<u>U</u>	31:1 32:15 45:1	186:5
42:11 98:4	U.S 1:5 2:4,6,8,17	47:2 55:16 56:16	unit's 132:5
105:21 110:13	3:1 5:6 7:6	58:10 66:5,7 67:7 73:22 76:7	unmute 9:18 35:5
125:10	79:5,9,12 173:5	84:12 87:17	
TSD 16:11 21:4	Uh-huh 26:22	93:19 94:5,10	unmuted 49:9
37:22 96:10	UL 14:5	95:1 96:20	64:11 73:10 102:22
141:4 152:11,16		115:4,10 118:16	
153:4,11 155:20	ultimate 83:22	121:17,19,21	unoccupied 43:9
179:17 180:17 184:16 185:10	unable 171:5	128:11,12,13,15,	unplug 115:11
	unacceptable	18 129:8	unusual 131:13
TSL 145:21 146:3,4,5,6,7,12,	134:20	131:6,7,9,11,17	updates 11:11,20
15,18 156:20	unavailability	134:20 136:2 143:18 144:11	<u>.</u>
179:12 180:13	39:21	143.18 144.11	upfront 157:2
182:20	uncertainty 109:1	156:18 160:2,3	upgrading 159:12
TSLs 184:9	unchanged 120:13	161:7 174:3	upon 165:5
		186:2,7,10	upper 45:21 64:21
tubing 176:14	unclear 22:5	unitary 31:3,4	99:18
turbulators 39:13	underestimated	166:15	upstream 179:7
turn 9:6,14 71:11	135:16	United 82:7	upward 160:17
186:21	understand 26:5	units 12:18	upwaru 100.1/
	41:21 64:6 96:4	umus 12.10	

	1	 	1
upwards 161:8	variations 159:16	visible 76:20	wash 129:9
useful 9:12	variety 16:12	voluntary 152:4	Washington 1:21
93:10,11 147:17	20:13 83:15	von 3:14 6:11	5:7
usually 42:10 53:7	105:18	44:10,11 76:5	wasn't 101:16
64:3	various 25:19 79:2	114:1 175:18	water 45:14
utilities 25:10	159:12,18	176:7,9,12	watts 50:1
utility 28:2,3,10	vary 80:2		96:19,22
32:1 37:8 39:21	vent 112:12	<u>W</u>	ways 87:12
45:2 49:6 184:5	ventilating 164:18	wage 173:16	· ·
utilization 41:19		wages 173:6	wear 76:20 159:5
utilize 106:14	ventilation 25:7 50:2	wait 98:21 160:20	weather 187:7
utilize 100.14		waiting 130:8,9	weatherized
	ventilation- targeted 31:6	160:22	17:3,11 18:7,8
vacuum 114:16		Walmart 82:6,9	21:19 38:18,19
115:12	venting 38:17	87:13,15,16	56:9 111:1
vague 157:14	111:12,19 112:14	warm 1:10 5:5	Web 10:1
		10:14,20	36:14,15
validate 154:13	versa 129:2	11:12,15,18	webinar
validated 154:22	version 80:15	12:4,13,17,21,22	5:15,17,21 9:9
validation 157:17	versus 18:6 24:14	13:6,9,11,21	11:2 187:8
value 83:19 97:3	28:11 34:8 69:16	14:3,6 28:7,8,10	website 153:2
123:18	83:16 112:5,17	31:14 36:10	websites 17:21
142:10,11,12	via 10:1 17:1	89:6,11 90:19 104:22 105:12	we'd 86:18 130:1
143:7,16 144:13	36:14 78:8	118:3 125:2	148:15
146:17 154:10 156:19 162:10	vice 129:1	140:10,14,16,20	weeks 158:22
177:2,3 180:10	Victor 2:13	141:14 142:3	weight 52:3
181:13,21	4:9,11,15 6:18	144:22 153:19	<u> </u>
182:13	77:9,11 81:2	164:13,22	weighted 123:20
values 28:18	88:15 90:16	166:16,17	weighting 59:2
96:10,17 97:2	95:14 96:13	167:15 168:4,15 170:21 176:6	89:10 90:7
119:4 142:14	98:17 106:12 107:12 110:1,3	177:4 180:20	welcome 4:2 5:3
180:1,6 181:1	112:4 116:17		9:9 63:5 71:13
182:2	121:13 125:15	warm-up 42:3,18 43:9	102:1
valve 75:3	132:13 147:6		welcoming 5:9
valves 67:3	149:17 150:16	warrant 22:21 24:7 33:8	we'll 5:20 7:19,21
variability 109:1	151:16 153:3		8:4,19 9:18 10:6
	178:19,20	warranty 88:10	11:3 21:8 49:4
variable 101:9,13	182:17	warrantying	50:9 52:20 54:10
156:9	view 162:21	84:12	59:5 72:6
			76:15,16,17

	<u> </u>	i	
99:14 135:16	76:1 77:6	85:2,6 97:10	wrapped 115:7
146:10	104:8,22 107:20	128:11,13,18	writing 101:21
Wentzel 3:14	108:3 109:4,11	154:9 160:11	120:6
6:11,12 44:10,11	155:5 158:14	161:7	
76:5,6 114:1,2	164:5 171:15	wholesale 87:1	written 10:2,4
175:18	178:3,14	wholesaler	187:12
176:7,9,12	What'd 19:17	78:17,20 79:6	wrong 114:19
we're 5:9,13 7:17	whatever 42:14	81:22 82:20	161:6
10:13,18 12:12	86:14 87:13	88:19	
14:21 16:15	103:5 125:22		<u> </u>
18:2,3,18,19	128:15 129:11	whom 188:2	yearly 143:22
21:14 22:6,13	131:22 134:22	who's 178:5	year-round 73:16
35:5,13 36:19	135:15	wide 157:9	104:12
39:15,19 40:22	136:5,11,13	wider 105:14	Yep 55:22
46:8 47:8,9,12	150:20 170:2	106:19 175:22	•
48:14 52:15	183:15		yet 8:14 26:10
57:18 58:11,13	186:6,9,12	window 76:19	30:11 32:6 37:3
59:7 67:18 71:17	whenever 8:11	wise 67:13	45:10 119:12
77:8 81:1,13	11:19 80:10	wish 5:15 9:17	149:12 153:1
84:19 85:15,22	whereas 31:9	10:19 36:16	York 136:12
86:13 90:17 93:6		102:13 106:11	you'll 116:7
94:3,20 98:4	where's 80:17	wishes 7:14 8:16	120:16 165:20
99:2 100:12,20	WHEREUPON		you've 9:1 60:10
104:13,21 105:8	77:3 187:14	withdrawal	66:17 72:4
107:11,13,17	whether 14:12	111:3,12 112:22	105:10
110:10,13 116:18 120:11	21:18,22 22:9,10	118:22	130:2,3,5,7
121:17 122:18	23:6 24:6,22	witnessed 76:1	132:18 150:19
121:17 122:18 123:2,5,9 125:21	26:10 39:17	work 15:6 31:10	132.10 130.17
126:22 129:7,22	42:13 63:6,7	67:21 102:16	
130:5 135:3	65:15 66:20,21	132:15 157:17	Z21.47-2006 14:4
138:6 139:16,20	67:22 68:2 102:6		40:11
140:4 141:6	129:17	worked 102:5 114:14	
144:18 148:18	Whitwell 3:16		zero 56:2 105:5
150:2 151:14	49:7,10,11,14	worker 173:16	125:4
158:18 159:13	64:10,12,13	workers 172:19	
166:22 168:6	65:19 66:19	173:3,6,14	
169:22 170:2	67:8,10	working 1:19 9:17	
173:11 174:1,19	73:9,11,12		
178:21	116:11,13	works 36:15 85:6	
181:19,21 186:8	120:20,22	90:11	
we've 26:9 36:14	121:4,12 122:15	worried 136:6	
47:6 53:21	whole 25:1	Wow 162:5	
71:1,12 72:3	34:13,17 66:7		
	2 12 , 2 0 0 , 7		